

Dimitry Kirsanov

Pro Se

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, *et al.*,¹

Debtors.

)
) Chapter 11
)

) Case No. 22-10964 (MG)

) (Jointly Administered)
)
)

The Honorable Martin Glenn
Chief Bankruptcy Judge
United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York
Alexander Hamilton U.S. Custom House
One Bowling Green New York, NY 10004

NOTICE OF FILING OF CONFIRMATION HEARING TRANSCRIPT

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on ECF Doc #3881, it is noted that “that the Court requested that the Debtors make available for the public the full transcripts for the CEL Token Hearing and the Confirmation Hearing”

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Mr. Kirsanov notes the final full transcript of the closing arguments for the Confirmation Hearing were not submitted by the Debtor’s as far as Mr. Kirsanov can tell. Mr. Kirsanov agrees with the Court, that the full transcript for the Confirmation Hearing should be available to the Public. Mr. Kirsanov has acquired such copy to provide on the docket.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that attached hereto as Exhibit A, are true and correct copies of the transcripts for the final confirmation hearing and closing arguments on October 30th, 2023.

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Celsius Network LLC (2148); Celsius KeyFi LLC (4414); Celsius Lending LLC (8417); Celsius Mining LLC (1387); Celsius Network Inc. (1219); Celsius Network Limited (8554); Celsius Networks Lending LLC (3390); Celsius US Holding LLC (7956); GK8 Ltd. (1209); GK8 UK Limited (0893); and GK8 USA LLC (9450). The location of Debtor Celsius Network LLC’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 50 Harrison Street, Suite 209F, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.

I remain respectfully,

Dimitry Kirsanov, Pro Se Creditor

/S/Dimitry Kirsanov

EXHIBIT A

October 30th, 2023

**HEARING re HYBRID CLOSING ARGUMENTS RELATED TO PLAN
CONFIRMATION.**

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 Case No. 22-10964-MG

4 - - - - - x

5 In the Matter of:

6

7 CELSIUS NETWORK LLC,

8

9 Debtor.

10 - - - - - x

11

12 United States Bankruptcy Court

13 One Bowling Green

14 New York, NY 10004

15

16 October 30, 2023

17 2:02 PM

18

19

20

21 B E F O R E :

22 HON MARTIN GLENN

23 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

24

25 ECRO: KAREN

1 HEARING re HYBRID CLOSING ARGUMENTS RELATED TO PLAN
2 CONFIRMATION.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2

3 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

4 Attorneys for the Debtor

5 300 North LaSalle

6 Chicago, IL 60654

7

8 BY: CHRIS KOENIG

9

10 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

11 Attorneys for the Debtor

12 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

13 Washington, DC, DC 20004

14

15 BY: T.J. MCCARRICK

16

17 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

18 Attorneys for the Debtor

19 601 Lexington Avenue

20 New York, NY 10022

21

22 BY: ELIZABETH H. JONES

23

24

25

1 WHITE & CASE LLP

2 Attorneys for the Official Committee of

3 Unsecured Creditors

4 555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700

5 Los Angeles, CA 90071

6

7 BY: AARON COLODNY

8

9 WHITE CASE LLP

10 Attorneys for the Official Committee of

11 Unsecured Creditors

12 1221 Avenue of the Americas

13 New York, NY 10036

14

15 BY: KEITH WOFFORD

16 JOSHUA WEEDMAN

17

18 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

19 Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee

20 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006

21 New York, NY 10014

22

23 BY: SHARA CORNELL

24 MARK BRUH

25

1 MCCARTER ENGLISH, LLP

2 Attorneys for the Ad Hoc Group of Borrowers

3 245 Park Avenue

4 New York, NY 10167

5

6 BY: DAVID J. ADLER

7

8 U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

9 100 F Street, NE

10 Washington, DC 20549

11

12 BY: THERESE A. SCHEUER

13

14 VENABLE LLP

15 Attorneys for Ignat Tuganov

16 151 West 42nd Street

17 New York, NY 10036

18

19 BY: JEFFREY S. SABIN

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 OFFIT KURMAN

2 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Earn Account Holders

3 300 East Lombard Street, Suite 2010

4 Baltimore, MD 21202

5

6 BY: JOYCE A. KUHNS

7

8 DANIEL FRISHBERG, Pro Se

9

10 IMMANUEL HERMANN, Pro Se

11

12 RICHARD R. PHILLIPS, Pro Se

13

14 OTIS DAVIS, Pro Se

15

16 DIMITRY KIRSANOV, Pro Se

17

18 ARTUR ABREU, Pro Se

19

20 JOHAN BRONGE, Pro Se

21

22 DAVID SCHNEIDER, Pro Se

23

24 CATHY LAU, Pro Se

25

1 ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:

2

3 ARTUR ABREU

4 KATHERINE AIZPURU

5 JASMINE AMAND

6 CHRIS BECIN

7 ANDREW BEHLMANN

8 JEFFREY BERNSTEIN

9 ED G. BIRCH

10 JOEL BLOCK

11 KYLE BRAY

12 GRACE BRIER

13 NURALDEEN BRIFKANI

14 VTOR CUNHA

15 SANTOS CACERES

16 ROBERT CAMPAGNA

17 ANDREW CARTY

18 RICKI CHANG

19 CHRISTINA CIANCARELLI

20 JOSHUA CLARK

21 CHRISTOPHER COCO

22 LAFAYETTE A. COOK

23 CARL J. COTE

24 DAVID J. DALHART

25 STEFFAN DAVIES

1 THOMAS DIFIORE
2 TRISTAN DIAZ
3 SIMON DIAZ
4 SIMON DIXON
5 SHARON DOW
6 SCOTT DUFFY
7 JOHN PETER DZARAN
8 BEN EADES
9 JAMES ENGEL
10 DAVID AVERY FAHEY
11 FLORENCE FLANNIGAN
12 REBECCA GALLAGHER
13 JASLEIGH GEARY
14 DARIUS GHEORGHE
15 BRADLEY GIARDIELLO
16 MICHAEL GRAUBERT
17 ANTHONY GREENE
18 KATHRYN GUNDERSEN
19 CAMERON GUTHRIE
20 MIRA HAQQANI
21 GABRIELA ZAMFIR HENSLEY
22 ROBERTO HERNANDEZ
23 SAMUEL P. HERSHEY
24 KAITLYN A. HITTELMAN
25 LUCAS HOLCOMB

1 MITCHELL HURLEY
2 ALI JAMSHID FAR
3 MIKE JOHNSON
4 GREG KACZKOWSKI
5 DAVID KAHN
6 YARA KASS-GERGI
7 RAVI KAZA
8 ANNE S. KEASEY
9 MARTIN E. KEDZIOR
10 PHILIP KHERZI
11 LEA KLORANE
12 CARLO KUHRT
13 CHRISTOPHE LACKEY
14 DAN LATONA
15 JEAN-PHILIPPE LATREILLE
16 JOSEPH LEHRFELD
17 BRIAN S. LENNON
18 MARK S. LEONARD
19 NICOLE A. LEONARD
20 JASON LU
21 KEVIN M. MANUS
22 JEREMY MARONPOT
23 CHASE MARSH
24 JERRY MASSEY
25 KEITH MCCORMACK

1 ERIK MENDELSON
2 TOM MERCURI
3 ALEX MICHAELS
4 LAYLA MILLIGAN
5 KEITH NOYES
6 DONALD L. POYNTER
7 ERIK PAPP
8 MILIN PATEL
9 JOHN PATOUHAS
10 BRETT A. PERRY
11 GREGORY F. PESCE
12 RICHARD R. PHILLIPS
13 MACIEJ PORCZEK
14 LALANA PUNDISTO
15 CRAIG RASILE
16 ANNEMARIE V. REILLY
17 MARK ROBINSON
18 SHAYA ROCHESTER
19 JONATHAN RODRIGUEZ
20 JENNIFER ROOD
21 MICHAEL ROSELLA
22 WAYNE P. ROTHENBERGER
23 CHASE C. RUBIN
24 JOSEPH E. SARACHEK
25 MIKE SARKISSIAN

1 DAVID SCHNEIDER
2 NOAH M. SCHOTTENSTEIN
3 SAM SCHREIBER
4 WILLIAM D. SCHROEDER
5 DAVID SENES
6 EZRA SERRUR
7 MATTHEW W. SILVERMAN
8 HANNAH SIMSON
9 DON SMITH
10 LUKE SPANGLER
11 COURTNEY BURKS STEADMAN
12 KEYAN TAJI
13 LUCY THOMSON
14 ANHMINH TRAN
15 DAVID TURETSKY
16 ELVIN TURNER
17 VICTOR UBIERNA DE LAS HERAS
18 WILLIAM MATTHEW UPTEGROVE
19 MELISSA L. VAN ECK
20 VETON VEJSELI
21 CAROLINE WARREN
22 CHRISTIAN DANIEL ERIC WASER
23 ZACH WILDES
24 MARTIN WILLIAMS
25 TAK YEUNG

1 ANDREW YOON
2 ZAHARIS
3 TANZILA ZOMO
4 JARNO BERG
5 ROBERT M. KAUFMANN
6 RAKESH PATEL
7 HEIN VAN DER WIELEN
8 RICK ARCHER
9 BRITTANY SUZANNE BIAS
10 SOMA BISWAS
11 BEN CLARKE
12 MIA COOPER
13 DREW DUFFY
14 RAMON GONZALES
15 UDAY GORREPATI
16 SANDALI HANDAGAMA
17 TAYLOR HARRISON
18 PATRICK HOLOHAN
19 DIETRICH KNAUTH
20 MIKE LEGGE
21 SERBAN LUPU
22 JONATHAN RANGLES
23 TIMOTHY REILLY
24 CAROLINE SALLS
25 PETER J. SPROFERA

1 VINCE SULLIVAN

2 MAUDE TIPTON

3 ZACHARY ZABIB

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 CLERK: All rise.

3 THE COURT: Please be seated. Just give me a
4 moment, Mr. Koenig. Good afternoon.

5 MR. KOENIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chris
6 Koenig, Kirkland & Ellis, for the Debtors. Is there
7 anything you want to address the outset, Your Honor?

8 THE COURT: No. Let me just, you know, the list
9 of parties who can make opening statements -- closing
10 arguments, rather, was filed and has allocations of time for
11 both the Debtor and the Committee. I'm going to permit, if
12 they want to reserve time for rebuttal, you need to let me
13 know now how much time you want to reserve.

14 MR. KOENIG: Yes. I'll reserve 15 minutes for
15 rebuttal.

16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'm going to
17 have one of my law clerks keep track of time as we're going
18 through. Let me just cover the use of demonstratives. So
19 the Court has received, let's see, one, two, three -- five
20 demonstratives to be used. Mr. Phillips a little while ago
21 emailed a -- I think it must've been a PowerPoint to the
22 Court.

23 He had previously filed, I guess, something as ECF
24 3913. I'm not going to permit the use -- I don't know
25 whether there's any changes from what he previously

1 circulated. We have the ECF docket numbers. I gather that
2 can be pulled up on the screen. I'll permit him to use
3 that. For security reasons, if nothing else, we don't
4 permit late sent things that can't be scrubbed for viruses
5 and things like that. So I'll permit him to use what was
6 previously circulated to the Court.

7 But, all right, Mr. Koenig, let's go ahead.

8 MR. KOENIG: Deanna, could you please make Mr.
9 Jose Lopez a co-host for sharing the demonstratives?

10 CLERK: All right. He is a co-host.

11 MR. KOENIG: Wonderful. Okay, thank you. Your
12 Honor, Celsius' bankruptcy case has gone on for well over a
13 year. This case has sparked strong opinions and pushback
14 from our accountholders, which is more than understandable
15 given that they were defrauded and they lost access to their
16 investments for over a year. Our goal throughout these
17 cases have been to build consensus and return as much value
18 as possible to creditors as quickly as possible, and we've
19 clearly done just that.

20 Just to remind the Court about the voting results
21 really quickly -- sorry, next slide. The -- nearly 98
22 percent of our accountholders voted to accept the plan and
23 every accountholder class separately voted to accept the
24 plan. And the interesting thing is there's actually very
25 few open issues remaining for the Court to decide here

1 today. Nearly most of the confirmation requirements
2 pursuant to Section 129 of the Bankruptcy Code have been
3 established by the Debtors that are actually uncontested.

4 We filed a very robust brief in support of
5 confirmation in Slide 37 of this presentation -- which we
6 don't need to skip to -- lays out each of the confirmation
7 factors and points to the evidence that's in the record,
8 satisfies each of those factors.

9 This case is very complicated and there's a lot of
10 strong opinions on all sides. But in this argument, I'm
11 going to focus on what the Court has to focus on today and
12 that's the remaining outstanding objections and the relevant
13 legal standards.

14 THE COURT: Am I correct, you -- I think I saw it
15 in ECF a little while ago the revised disclosure -- findings
16 of fact and conclusions of law.

17 MR. KOENIG: That's right, Your Honor. What we've
18 done is we worked through the weekend with some of the
19 remaining objecting parties, including most notably the U.S.
20 Trustee, the SEC, and several other parties and I'm pleased
21 the report and we'll be reporting in a little bit more
22 detail, we've resolved a number of objections and that was
23 what the revised order was meant to address was to
24 incorporate agreed language.

25 We understand that account holders want all of

1 their crypto back. That is simply not possible under the
2 circumstances. Celsius does not have it. It's also not the
3 legal standing for confirmation; although, to be clear we're
4 striving to return as much crypto as possible under the
5 plan.

6 For the most part, the relevant legal standard
7 before the Court today is best interests because for each of
8 the remaining objecting parties, their class voted to accept
9 the plan. And so the question is, does the plan meet the
10 best interest test with any -- with respect to any
11 dissenting parties? So just really quickly, I want to -- I
12 want to overview some of the recently resolved objections
13 and highlight the remaining objections.

14 We've built a lot of consensus in this case over
15 time. We've worked constructively to resolve as many issues
16 as possible. We have a number of parties that affirmatively
17 support the plan. Of course, the Creditors Committee, each
18 of the formal ad hoc groups of creditors in this case, Ignat
19 Tuganov, and many other. Many account holders that used to
20 oppose everything in these cases now support the plan, too.
21 And as I mentioned a moment ago, we've resolved many
22 objections since the start of the confirmation hearing
23 itself, starting with the U.S. Trustee.

24 We've resolved nearly everything with the U.S.
25 Trustee. I understand that there's a handful of open issues

1 that she still has. I'll let her articulate her remaining
2 issues and then I'll respond in rebuttal. One of the issues
3 I wanted to flag for Your Honor was you raised the issue at
4 the conclusion of the trial of the idea of naming the
5 released parties in a little bit more detail. So following
6 the hearing, we met and conferred with the U.S. Trustee and
7 the Committee and we've come to an agreement on some
8 additional disclosure that should help to bolster the
9 record.

10 What we will do is we will file a list of the
11 released employees that will be attached to the confirmation
12 order. It's about 70 individuals. And we've modified the
13 confirmation order to make additional disclosures about the
14 releases as well. We're naming the members of the Special
15 Committee of the Debtors by name. We're referencing the
16 members of Fahrenheit by name. The references to the Ad Hoc
17 Groups in the releases are qualified by referencing the Rule
18 2019 disclosures they filed just so the record is clear
19 about what is the member of an Ad Hoc Group at any
20 particular time.

21 We've resolved a number of other objections as
22 well, in addition to the U.S. Trustee who again has a few
23 issues remaining. We've resolved with the consumer privacy
24 ombudswoman. There's language in the confirmation order. I
25 understand she no longer opposes confirmation. I believe

1 she's going to file a final report just for the record.
2 We've resolved with the securities law plaintiffs. We've
3 resolved regarding the ADR procedures which Your Honor has
4 heard about on a number of different hearings and we finally
5 managed to close that out. And Pharos, who objected early
6 in this case, resolved its objection -- withdrew its
7 objection as well.

8 So if you look at the parties who are going to
9 speak today that oppose confirmation, there's only a few
10 people that still oppose the plan and they can be grouped
11 into a few main categories, being first CEL token obviously,
12 the board appointment issues, the retail loans, and the
13 emergence incentive plan.

14 Let me start with CEL token. So CEL token was the
15 most contested issue during the confirmation trial. There
16 are strong opinions by both CEL token holders who want to
17 maximize the recovery and non-CEL token holders who don't
18 want to be diluted by the CEL token holders. The CEL token
19 settlement was designed to try to resolve this contested
20 issue and get support for a middle ground of 25 cents per
21 CEL token, and that settlement was overwhelmingly accepted
22 by both CEL token holders and non-CEL token holders alike.

23 I talked about the general support for the plan
24 but for CEL token holders specifically, 98.71 percent in
25 number and 96.06 percent in dollar amount voted to accept

1 the plan --

2 THE COURT: There is no class but there were --
3 there was a separate report done that isolated out the CEL
4 token holders in each of the voting classes.

5 MR. KOENIG: That's exactly right, Your Honor. We
6 tried to do that just so we could understand what the
7 separate support for the settlement was from the most
8 effective group of creditors, that being the CEL token
9 holders.

10 So that means that the questions before the Court
11 on CEL token are actually pretty narrow. The first question
12 is, should the CEL token settlement be approved, and the
13 second settle -- the second question is, does the CEL token
14 treatment meet the best interest test. The answer to both
15 questions is yes. First, the settlement meets the standard
16 set forth in this district for settlements pursuant to
17 Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

18 This Court has, for better or worse, had the
19 opportunity to consider many settlements in this case and
20 the standard throughout has been the iridium factors. I
21 won't focus on all the factors, but just to focus on key
22 ones, this litigation would certainly be protracted and
23 complex if it were fully litigated, in particular, some of
24 the security issues that have been raised. Both CEL token
25 holders and non-CEL token holders overwhelmingly voted to

1 accept the plan and the settlement.

2 So the question for the Court is whether the
3 settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of
4 reasonableness, and it does not, particularly when the
5 settlement was incorporated in the plan and creditors
6 overwhelmingly voted to accept the plan. Turning to best
7 interests, the plan's treatment of CEL token clearly meets
8 the best interest test as well.

9 The threshold question is, what is the value of
10 CEL token under a Chapter 7 liquidation, at which point,
11 best interest test would be violated. And again --

12 THE COURT: On the petition date.

13 MR. KOENIG: On the petition date. And again, I
14 said the word value and that was intentional. Value is
15 different from price, as both Mr. Galka and Mr. Faraj
16 testified during the trial. So Mr. Compagna from Alvarez
17 and Marsal testified about the issue of at what value of CEL
18 token as of the petition date the best interest test would
19 no longer be met. And his testimony on this point was
20 actually uncontroverted.

21 He said that the point at which the best interest
22 test is no longer met is any value for CEL token under a
23 Chapter 7 liquidation that is at or above 34 cents for the
24 orderly winddown and any value at or above 36 cents for the
25 NewCo transaction. So the question before the Court is

1 simple. Is the value of CEL token as of the petition date
2 in a Chapter 7 liquidation lower than 34 cents?

3 Now, the Court heard from both Mr. Galka and Mr.
4 Faraj on this point and they actually agree on a lot of the
5 key points. They both agreed that CEL token is a utility
6 token. They agree that CEL token had no utility after the
7 pause. They agree that the market for CEL token was
8 dislocated and disrupted, and the market price is not a
9 reflection of the actual value of the CEL token on the
10 petition date for that reason.

11 They also agree that the only remaining value for
12 the CEL token as of the petition date was speculative value.
13 That is, that CEL token would have some utility in the
14 future because they both agreed it had no utility as of the
15 pause, much less the petition date. The main difference in
16 their analysis is what is the speculative value of CEL token
17 as of the petition date?

18 Mr. Galka's testimony was very clear. The value
19 of CEL token as of the petition date is zero or near zero.
20 On the other hand, Mr. Faraj's testimony is confusing,
21 inconsistent, and unreliable. He agreed with Mr. Galka that
22 CEL token had no utility post pause and that the only
23 remaining value as of the petition date was speculative.
24 Nonetheless, his analysis and his report focused on actual
25 trading data from before the pause, which is when CEL token

1 still had utility on the platform.

2 So how could that have any bearing on the
3 speculative value of CEL token on the petition date when he
4 admits that there was no utility anymore? And that's really
5 the key flaw in Faraj's testimony and why it should be given
6 no weight. On the one hand, he says that the only remaining
7 value as of the petition date is speculative because there's
8 no utility, but he uses pre-pause pricing to support his
9 theory on valuation.

10 There's lots of other reasons why Mr. Faraj's
11 testimony is not reliable and should be given no weight.
12 I'm not going to waste a lot of time on this argument
13 focusing on it, but a couple of the key points, he's not a
14 qualified expert, for the reasons that we set forth in the
15 motion in limine that we filed at Docket No. 3817 prior to
16 his testimony. He doesn't have a degree in this area. He's
17 never performed this type of analysis before. He's never
18 presented to a Court before.

19 His report is not even his opinion. It's an
20 artificial intelligence that actually wrote the report. And
21 he relied on the artificial intelligence for more than just
22 typing words on the page. He had to ask the AI substantive
23 questions including, notably, whether the analysis he was
24 using could be used to value CEL token as of the petition
25 date. That was an astonishing fact and that alone should be

1 disqualifying in and of itself.

2 There's some other reasons that his testimony
3 isn't reliable, either. First, he admitted that it took
4 longer to read the report than to write it. He admitted
5 that there were significant errors including that he gave
6 the artificial intelligence that wrote the report, the wrong
7 date ranges for the analysis. He testified that the dates
8 should have been May 21st to June 9th, that the AI analyzed
9 for the trading data, but the report instead said "The value
10 from June 9th to June 12th might be the most representative
11 of CEL's share value in the least manipulated market
12 conditions."

13 That wasn't what he meant. It was just a goof.
14 And Mr. Faraj and his artificial intelligence are both
15 confused about key issues. He asked the artificial
16 intelligence at one point about something relating to the
17 "petition (pause) date." Those dates are different dates.
18 They're a month apart and that's a really substantive
19 difference.

20 The value of CEL token almost certainly declined
21 during that period as the pause went on and the CEL token
22 utility did not return. That's what Mr. Ferraro testified.
23 But Mr. Faraj is confusing the petition date and the pause
24 date, not only here but in other places in his report as
25 well. That's all I have to say about Mr. Faraj.

1 Mr. Faraj was Mr. Davis' purported expert witness,
2 but Mr. Davis himself also testified about CEL token. Mr.
3 Davis is certainly vocal and even persistent about CEL
4 token, but his testimony is also internally inconsistent and
5 should be given no weight. He suggests four different
6 values for CEL token in his sworn testimony. Each one is
7 more outlandish than the last.

8 He suggested in the same document that the value
9 of CEL token as of the petition date should be either 81
10 cents, 86 cents, \$2.01, or \$2.88. At the same time, he
11 admits he's not an expert on valuation and none of those
12 four prices are the same price that his purported expert
13 came up with either.

14 Now, during cross examination, Mr. Davis was
15 confronted with various contemporaneous statements that he
16 made about CEL token and its value and utility. For
17 example, post-petition, he called CEL token worthless. On
18 many occasions even before the pause, he openly complained
19 about CEL tokens' lack of utility. Now, on cross
20 examination he tried to explain those away as mere
21 hyperbole, I think is the word he used. But those
22 statements are his contemporaneous reaction to CEL token
23 when it is not during a trial that Mr. Davis personally
24 stands to economically benefit from.

25 So I would respectfully submit that when the Court

1 evaluates Mr. Davis' testimony, it's clear that Mr. Davis'
2 views on CEL token shift to meet whatever his objective is
3 at the moment. When he was trying to convince Mr. Mashinsky
4 to add utility to the CEL token prepetition, he said that
5 CEL token had no useful utilities.

6 When he was trying to prop up his own importance,
7 he told Mr. Mashinsky that he orchestrated the short
8 squeeze. He then testified in Court that he didn't
9 orchestrate the short squeeze. And now when Mr. Davis
10 stands to benefit economically from the value of CEL token,
11 he's insisting that it is worth up to \$2.88 as of the
12 petition date.

13 Before moving on from CEL token, I just want to
14 flag one more CEL token related item for Your Honor. In our
15 supplemental brief, we explained this a little bit. The
16 plan actually contemplates that it's a defined term, the
17 other CEL token claims which are defined as claims related
18 to the purchase or sale of CEL token, would be subordinated
19 pursuant to Section 510(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

20 During this trial, we have endeavored to provide
21 the Court with evidence to make a finding on the value of
22 CEL token that would obviate the need for the Court to reach
23 a ruling on the security issue or the applicability of
24 Section 510(b). So, what we've done is we've modified the
25 confirmation order to make that more clear with respect to

1 this other CEL token claim issue.

2 The reason we can do that is the class claim
3 settlement actually resolves almost all of these types of
4 claims. Again, the class claim settlement provided every
5 accountholder with the opportunity to opt out and if they
6 did not opt out, their claim was limited to the crypto on
7 the platform. So if they opted out, they still have the
8 right to pursue their other CEL token claim for purchase or
9 sale of CEL token, and that will be resolved during the
10 claims allowance process.

11 Your Honor does not need to decide that issue
12 today. It has been carved out of the confirmation order so
13 that all Your Honor has to decide today is, is the value of
14 CEL token less than 34 cents, not is CEL token a security.
15 And again, we explained this in a little bit more detail in
16 our supplemental brief that we filed last week.

17 So turning to the retail loan issue having gone
18 through --

19 THE COURT: Let me stop you there.

20 MR. KOENIG: Sure.

21 THE COURT: Few minutes. I want to go through the
22 -- how you arrive at figures for CEL token under the NewCo
23 scenario, winddown scenario, and the liquidation scenario.

24 MR. KOENIG: Sure.

25 THE COURT: Okay. So under the NewCo scenario,

1 what is the projected percentage recovery? Not the value of
2 the token itself, but the percentage that they would recover
3 from whatever that value is.

4 MR. KOENIG: Right. It's 67 percent under the
5 NewCo scenario. What was what was in the disclosure
6 statement.

7 THE COURT: And the 25 cents, that's equal to
8 0.1675.

9 MR. KOENIG: Mm hmm.

10 THE COURT: Okay. On the orderly winddown, it's
11 what, 61.2 percent?

12 MR. KOENIG: That's right, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: And that translates into 0.1530.

14 MR. KOENIG: Mm hmm.

15 THE COURT: And the liquidation projecting it as
16 47.4 percent?

17 MR. KOENIG: That's right, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: All right. And that's 0.1185. All
19 right. So if the CEL token is valued at 25 cents, what does
20 that mean for the actual recovery under the three different
21 scenarios, NewCo, orderly winddown, liquidation recovery?

22 MR. KOENIG: Right. So Your Honor, what you would
23 do is you would take the 25 cents and you would multiply it
24 by the recovery under the NewCo and the orderly winddown, 67
25 cents for the -- 67 percent I should say, to avoid

1 confusion, and the lower number, the 61.5 or whatever the
2 number is on the orderly winddown.

3 And then what you have to do is on the other side
4 of the equation, I've mentioned several times how lawyer
5 math is challenging, but when you have -- what you take, is
6 you take Mr. Compagna's testimony that at 36 cents is when
7 it is violative. You take the 36 cents and you multiply it
8 by the liquidation value of 47 and change, and then you
9 compare those two numbers and that's the point at which they
10 intersect.

11 There's the -- can we go back to the slide that
12 had the graph on how that works? And that's how Mr.
13 Compagna ran those calculations was on the bottom, you have
14 the CEL token claim in the liquidation and the liquidation,
15 that line is multiplying the prices, the value, I should
16 say, on the bottom by the 47 and change that's under
17 liquidation, and then the lines that are left to right are
18 the steady state value of here's where it would be violated
19 under the NewCo scenario.

20 THE COURT: Okay. So actually, it's forty -- to
21 determine the liquidation value, it would be 47.4 percent
22 times what?

23 MR. KOENIG: Times -- so if you go to 34 cents and
24 you multiply 34 cents times 47 and change --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. KOENIG: -- the number you get should be --
2 that's the inflection point of 25 cents times the orderly
3 winddown amount.

4 THE COURT: I think that's 15.3 percent -- cents.

5 MR. KOENIG: I'm saying, you're going to do both
6 calculations and those numbers should be almost identical.
7 That's where the inflection point is.

8 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

9 MR. KOENIG: Okay, so moving on to the loans
10 issues, the retail loans. So the main objector here is Mr.
11 Bronge and this is the issue of whether or not the
12 cryptocurrency that was transferred to Celsius to support
13 the loans is property of Celsius or property of ---

14 THE COURT: Which number slide is that on the
15 screen?

16 MR. KOENIG: Number 19, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I have the slide
18 deck in front of me.

19 MR. KOENIG: I believe that even Mr. Bronge admits
20 that Version 9 transferred title to Celsius. The question
21 he has raised is whether Version 7 transferred title. So if
22 we click through. So here is Version 7 with some of the
23 relevant language highlighted. And it says, "You, Borrower,
24 grant Celsius the right" -- words, words, words to, and then
25 we see the highlighted language we've seen before --

1 THE COURT: Pledge, repledge, hypothecate, et
2 cetera.

3 MR. KOENIG: Right. And then there's some other
4 keywords here, too, "with all attendant rights of
5 ownership."

6 THE COURT: Got it.

7 MR. KOENIG: We think that's very clear. Now if
8 you go to two more slides, there's a redline between Version
9 7 and Version 9 that shows the words that were changed
10 between Version 7 and Version 9. And what Mr. Bronge is
11 focused on is at the bottom here where it was an A and now
12 it's a 1, the words were in Version 7, "you may not be able
13 to exercise certain rights of ownership." And in Version 9
14 it says, "you will not be able to exercise any rights of
15 ownership."

16 So what we would submit to Your Honor is the
17 language was already clear in Version 7. We think this is
18 the same analysis as your Earn opinion, when you ruled that
19 Version 5 was clear, not it just got more clear over time.
20 And what I would focus on, "you grant Celsius the right to"
21 -- words, words, words -- "pledge, repledge, hypothecate
22 with all attendant rights of ownership." Those are the key
23 words. These other words make it a little bit more clear,
24 but we think that it was clear enough before.

25 Moving on to the board appointment issues, I

1 suspect that Mr. Colodny is going to address this topic more
2 squarely in his remarks, given that the Committee was the
3 one to select the board. But I wanted to make a couple of
4 observations from the Celsius side of things. The standard
5 for the Court is Section 1129(a)(5)(A)(ii) of the Bankruptcy
6 Code which asks whether the board selection is consistent
7 with the interests of creditors and with public policy.

8 On the one hand, you have sworn testimony from a
9 Committee member, Major Mark Robinson, regarding the board
10 selection process and how robust it was. There's actually
11 been more testimony on this point than any case I've ever
12 been part of. Usually, we just file a schedule and it's
13 part of a plan supplement and off we go. But being as it
14 may, on the other hand, the only evidence that you actually
15 have is the sworn testimony of what amounts to a
16 disappointed and resentful would-be board member, Mr. Rick
17 Phillips.

18 Mr. Phillips admitted during cross examination
19 that he would not have objected to the plan if he was
20 selected to the board. He even voted for the plan even
21 after he learned that he was not selected to the board. And
22 those two points are enough to discount his testimony and
23 give it no weight. The testimony of Major Robinson was
24 extensive and credible. Mr. Phillips is not. The decision
25 for the Court is simple on this point.

1 Turning to the emergence incentive plan, I'm not
2 actually certain if anybody's even objecting to this
3 anymore. We resolved with the U.S. Trustee regarding the
4 emergence incentive plan, but I just want to point to a
5 couple of the uncontroverted facts in evidence.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Ubierna?

7 MR. KOENIG: I don't -- I didn't see him objecting
8 -- I didn't see him providing closing this afternoon, Your
9 Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. KOENIG: But again, just for the record, I
12 want to make sure that we point to the record and talk about
13 the legal standards for a moment. First, I want to say we
14 know that executive bonuses are a hot button issue for
15 accountholders who were defrauded and are not going to
16 receive 100 percent of their investment. But the management
17 team who defrauded those account holders is gone.

18 This is a different management team, none of whom
19 were involved in the prepetition wrongdoing. The management
20 team led by Chris Ferraro who was hired just a few months
21 before the petition date, Mr. Ferraro got a battlefield
22 promotion, first to chief financial officer and then to CEO
23 after the Special Committee told Mr. Mashinsky to either
24 resign or be fired.

25 This new management team ran into the burning

1 building to help stabilize this business and get
2 distributions back to accountholders. These employees have
3 stayed with Celsius for over a year to see this through on
4 sub-market pay. The simple fact of the matter is we would
5 not be here today without these people working around the
6 clock to maximize value and get distributions out to
7 creditors.

8 What these executives are being incentivized to do
9 under the EIP is key to creditor recoveries. The metrics
10 include making liquid crypto distributions available. The
11 target is within 30 days of the effective date. There's
12 over \$2 billion of liquid crypto distributions that have to
13 be made.

14 That requires negotiating distribution agreements
15 with PayPal and Coinbase, significant coordination with
16 those distribution agents to make sure that they're ready to
17 distribute all of this liquid cryptocurrency to our
18 stakeholders. And Celsius itself is going to keep its
19 platform open for 90 days to make distributions to Custody
20 holders under the plan.

21 So there are several possible standards of review
22 for the EIP. We outline this further in our confirmation
23 brief. The main issue is, does Section 503(c) of the
24 Bankruptcy Code apply or not. We think the right standard
25 is best interest and that Section 503(c) doesn't apply at

1 all. We think that that is the case because the
2 distribution is not to be made by a Debtor.

3 It's going to be made by a post-effective date
4 Debtor. It's going to be overseen by the plan
5 administrator, not by a Debtor. The Bankruptcy Code doesn't
6 apply to the post-effective date Debtors or to NewCo or any
7 other post-emergence entity and it shouldn't apply to this
8 payment either. But we think that we meet Section 503(c)
9 even if that section does apply, because the EIP is an
10 incentive-based program with performance metrics that are
11 not easily achievable, and that's what the evidence showed.

12 So Mr. Ferraro testified that it was a herculean
13 effort, the work that had to be done, particularly with
14 respect to the distributions, and that it would normally be
15 months and months of negotiation that we were packing into a
16 short amount of time. Next slide.

17 He pointed out that these targets were a stretch
18 and that several of them are not going to be achieved and
19 that they were trying like hell, but that he wasn't sure
20 that they were going to meet those metrics. He also pointed
21 out that these metrics were negotiated extensively between
22 the financial advisors for the Debtors and the financial
23 advisors for the Creditors Committee.

24 Ms. Hoeinghaus testified about the market, the
25 market salaries, and other compensation for executives and

1 that the EIP participants of Celsius were significantly
2 below the market. If you can go to the next slide. And she
3 testified that an incentive program was "very much necessary
4 here to ensure that market levels of compensation would be
5 offered to key executives." Next slide.

6 And the -- she pointed out that the EIP costs were
7 significantly lower than most other KEIPs in other
8 bankruptcies. Next slide. And she also concluded that the
9 EIP is "very reasonable in terms of the amounts and the
10 opportunities." Next slide.

11 Your Honor, that covers the big buckets of issues.
12 I just want to cover really quickly, Mr. Kirsanov's issue.
13 He makes a variety of arguments and I'm not going to try to
14 preempt all of them, but I wanted to make a few quick
15 points. He's now claiming that we improperly changed his
16 Custody vote on the plan, but just to reiterate, he accepted
17 the Custody settlement and actually withdrew his Custody
18 coins off the platform consistent with that settlement.

19 That settlement and order specifically provided
20 that any accountholder who accepted the settlement and voted
21 no on the plan or abstained from voting would be deemed to
22 have voted to accept. It was clear in the settlement. It
23 was clear in the disclosure statement. But even assuming
24 Mr. Kirsanov is right on that point, which he's not, there
25 would be no legal difference. He suggests that the subclass

1 of Custody CEL token holders voted no on the plan, if his
2 vote was not changed, consistent with the Custody
3 settlement. But there is no such subclass.

4 That doesn't change the legal standard before the
5 Court. There is simply a class of Custody holders who
6 overwhelmingly voted to accept the plan. Mr. Kirsanov also
7 argues that we violated the Custody settlement which is
8 perplexing given that he actually withdrew all of his coins
9 off the platform when he was entitled to withdraw back in
10 May.

11 I think he's complaining that it took us too long
12 to make distributions, but the settlement only required that
13 Celsius make distributions "as soon as reasonably
14 practicable," and we did just that. We made distributions
15 about a month after the settlement opt-in period ended in
16 April. The remainder of his argument is essentially the
17 same as the other CEL token holders' argument. To the
18 extent the Court finds that the value of CEL token is -- on
19 the petition date is higher than 34 cents in a liquidation,
20 the plan is going to fail best interest. If it's lower than
21 34 cents, it's going to pass best interest and his objection
22 should be overruled.

23 Your Honor, the last thing I want to talk about
24 before sitting down is the SEC and Form 10. We've talked
25 before about the Form 10 process. That's a condition

1 precedent to the effective date. Since we last discussed
2 this at the beginning of the confirmation hearing, we have
3 continued to work constructively with the SEC, the Creditors
4 Committee, and Fahrenheit to move this important item
5 forward.

6 We've been discussing a preclearance letter with
7 the SEC and the other parties, which if the SEC approved,
8 would pre-clear one of the key issues for the Form 10, which
9 is the fact that Celsius will not have audited financials
10 for the historic customer facing businesses, other than the
11 mining business. We will have audits of the mining
12 business.

13 And because Celsius' historic customer facing
14 businesses will not be continued by NewCo -- the Earn
15 program, the Loan program, et cetera -- we believe it is
16 totally appropriate to not provide audits of those
17 businesses through the Form 10 process. We think there's no
18 benefit of those audited financials for a defunct business
19 line. But it is a typical SEC rule that audited financials
20 of all the predecessor entity would be provided as part of
21 the Form 10, so we need to seek SEC approval of a waiver of
22 this provision under the circumstances, which we have done.

23 And just to be clear, this process would be needed
24 under any scenario, be it the NewCo scenario or the orderly
25 winddown. Anything that includes a new company that issues

1 equity has to go through this process with the SEC. We've
2 continued to have constructive discussions with the SEC. We
3 have not received their signoff. We're pleased with our
4 recent constructive discussions. We hope to continue to
5 make progress in the near term.

6 Now, if and when the preclearance letter is
7 received, we will promptly file the Form 10 itself with the
8 SEC. That Form 10 will include the audited financials of
9 the mining business. We're currently expecting we can file
10 that Form 10 sometime in mid-November. The filing of the
11 Form 10 kicks off an automatic 60-day waiting period.

12 Now, from our constructive discussions with the
13 SEC, it seems that it might take longer than that automatic
14 60-day period to receive and incorporate all of the SEC's
15 comments. We've committed to work with the SEC to ensure
16 that all of their comments are incorporated before the Form
17 10 goes live, so it is possible that it may take longer than
18 60 days for the Form 10 to go live.

19 On the other hand, we've committed to making
20 liquid crypto distributions to our account holders under the
21 plan as soon as possible. And so as we go through this
22 process post-confirmation, we, the Creditors Committee,
23 Fahrenheit, we're all going to continue to evaluate the
24 timing of the SEC process. If it looks like the Form 10
25 process is going to drag a little bit and take us beyond

1 very early in 2024, I suspect we will come back to Your
2 Honor with some sort of post-confirmation motion in aid of
3 distributions or something that would allow us to modify the
4 plan to make distributions sooner to accountholders.

5 It's not an issue for today. We wanted to update
6 Your Honor and the other parties on this important process
7 and let everybody know we're going to be evaluating this
8 issue. We want to get liquid crypto distributions out to
9 people as soon as possible, even if the Form 10 isn't yet
10 approved.

11 Now, we have had the goal of opening liquid crypto
12 distributions by the end of this year. We will continue to
13 evaluate it, but obviously, these transactions are very
14 complicated. We want to make sure that all these
15 distributions can be made smoothly, securely, fairly, all
16 the rest of it. Currently, it seems more likely than not
17 that those distributions probably begin the first few weeks
18 of January instead of the last few weeks of December, and we
19 think that there's probably another benefit to having
20 distributions begin in January for accountholders anyways.

21 This is not tax advice, but if distributions are
22 received in December they would have to file and pay taxes
23 in April of 2024. If those distributions are received a few
24 weeks later in January, those taxes would not be due until
25 April of 2025. So we'll continue to evaluate the process,

1 provide updates to Your Honor and to the parties, but we
2 wanted to update you on this important process. So with
3 that, unless Your Honor has any questions for me, I will sit
4 down.

5 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Koenig.

6 MR. KOENIG: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: All right. Who's arguing for the
8 Committee?

9 MR. COLODNY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Aaron
10 Colodny from White & Case on behalf of the Official
11 Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

12 THE COURT: Are you reserving any of your time?

13 MR. COLODNY: As always, I'm batting second, so I
14 think that some of my comments would be duplicative and I'll
15 try to streamline. I'd like to deserve 10 minutes at the
16 end, and hopefully take 20 minutes, probably less.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

18 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, these cases have been
19 challenging. Unlike a lot of large Chapter 11 bankruptcies
20 that we see where the main creditors are companies, the
21 primary creditors here are individuals who entrusted their
22 coins and in some instance, their life savings to Celsius.
23 At the beginning of this case, it faced a complete wipeout.
24 That's a lot different than what we normally encounter and
25 the Committee and its professionals were acutely aware of

1 our responsibilities here.

2 These cases involve relatively new and novel
3 industry thrust into a period of prolonged distress. The
4 legal framework and the application of bankruptcy law to
5 that industry was uncertain and they were made a lot more
6 difficult by the fact that Celsius misled its customers and
7 that the company's financial records, investments, and legal
8 agreements were a mess.

9 It's against this backdrop that the Committee was
10 faced with the complicated task of investigating the
11 prepetition actions of the Debtor, assessing the legal
12 rights of the creditors and equity holders of the Debtors,
13 and how to allocate the value among those constituencies
14 maximizing the value of Celsius' distressed mining business
15 and other liquid assets, creating a way for creditors to
16 monetize their share of those liquid assets and addressing
17 the constant and quite natural questions, concerns, and
18 criticisms from our constituents.

19 By working in good faith with creditors,
20 regulators, and the Debtors, the Committee was able to work
21 with the Debtors to formulate a plan that addresses each of
22 these issues. Causes of action against wrongdoers like Mr.
23 Mashinsky and others who took advantages of customers will
24 be preserved. All available assets will be distributed in
25 an equitable manner. NewCo will be formed and the best in

1 class management team will grow the management moving
2 forward and the shares of Newco are intended to be traded on
3 a public market.

4 The overwhelming support from the plan is a
5 testament to those good faith efforts. It's also evidence
6 of an overwhelming desire to exit Chapter 11, cut off the
7 administrative burn, and return value to creditors. Now,
8 Mr. Koenig detailed a number of objections in his remarks.
9 I'm going to focus on two. The first is the value of CEL
10 token, which I hope not to be duplicative, and the second is
11 Mr. Phillips' demand to reconstitute the board and request
12 that Committee professionals be excluded from the
13 exculpations.

14 Turning to the first issue concerning the CEL
15 token, as Mr. Koenig went over, the Court does not need to
16 determine at this time whether CEL token is a security.
17 That arrangement is now noted in Paragraph 262 of the
18 revised confirmation order which was filed this morning at
19 3937. That provision's unchanged from the previous version
20 that the Debtors and the Committee filed following the
21 closing of confirmation -- or evidence at confirmation.

22 So the relevant question, as Your Honor went
23 through with Mr. Koenig, is whether the proposed 25 cent
24 settlement of the value CEL token provides the dissenting
25 holders with at least as much as they would receive under

1 general liquidation under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.
2 The testimony presented at confirmation unequivocally
3 supports this finding.

4 Your Honor went through Mr. Compagna's testimony
5 which shows that below 34 cents, the best interests of
6 creditor test is met. No party has disputed that. Mr.
7 Galka of Elementus testified in his opinion, the market
8 price of CEL token was not indicative of its value on the
9 petition date because the market was extremely dislocated.
10 He believes that CEL token had de minimis and likely zero
11 value as of the petition date.

12 And Your Honor asked the \$208 million question of
13 Mr. Galka, if he had a view of hypothetically what the value
14 of CEL token would be if you knew at the petition date the
15 Debtors would be liquidated, in other words, the
16 hypothetical Chapter 7 liquidation that the best interests
17 of creditors test provides. And Mr. Galka's response, "I
18 think in almost all circumstances, I would see the value as
19 being zero." That's at the October 4th hearing transcript,
20 Page 38 Lines 8 through 13.

21 That testimony is not seriously contested by the
22 creditors who rejected the proposed CEL token settlement.
23 Mr. Otis Davis sought to introduce the expert testimony of
24 Mr. Hussein Faraj, and as detailed in the Debtors' and
25 Committee's motion to exclude Mr. Faraj's testimony and was

1 detailed by Mr. Koenig which is filed at Docket No. 3817,
2 Mr. Faraj's opinion is not reliable. But even if the Court
3 were to consider Mr. Faraj's testimony, it supports Mr.
4 Galka's de minimis value. \Both experts agree that CEL
5 token was manipulated prior to the petition date. Both
6 agree that the market for CEL token became severely
7 dislocated prior to the petition date. Both agree that
8 because of that dislocation, the market price of CEL token
9 on the petition date was not an accurate indication of its
10 value and both agree that CEL token had not intrinsic value
11 on the petition date.

12 Both agree that the CEL token had speculative
13 value on the petition date. Mr. Faraj, however, offers no
14 opinion as to that speculative value. Rather he looks at
15 prices prior to the pause date to determine a fair market
16 value. Mr. Galka, on the other hand, states that as a
17 utility token of a bankrupt company where the platform was
18 not likely to survive, the speculative value of CEL token
19 was likely de minimis on the petition date.

20 And if the Court assumes the platform was not to
21 survive, as it must in a hypothetical Chapter 7, the value
22 is likely zero. Even Mr. Davis' testimony supports this
23 proposition. Specifically, when asked why he called CEL a
24 worthless token after the petition date, Mr. Davis admitted
25 it was because it didn't have any utility and he admits it

1 did not have any utility following pause date. That's not
2 hyperbole.

3 Based on the evidence presented, the issue is not
4 close. The CEL token settlement was accepted by an
5 overwhelming majority of creditors and it should be approved
6 under 9019. It also meets the confirmation requirements of
7 the Bankruptcy Code.

8 Now, turning to Mr. Phillips' objection as to the
9 exculpation of the Committee professionals and members.
10 Section 1103(c) of the Bankruptcy Code grants the Committee
11 broad authority to formulate a plan and perform other
12 services that are in interest of those represented. As the
13 Third Circuit found in in re: PWS, which is 228 F.3d 224,
14 that provision implies both a fiduciary duty to the
15 Committee's constituents and a limited grant of immunity to
16 the Committee's members and professional.

17 In the LATAM decision, Judge Garrity reiterated
18 that position when he said, "It is well settled that an
19 exculpation clause approved at confirmation may exculpate
20 estate fiduciaries like a Committee, its members, and estate
21 professionals for their actions in a bankruptcy case, except
22 where those actions amount to willful misconduct or gross
23 negligence."

24 Judge Garrity went on further to describe the
25 purpose of exculpation stating that "exculpation provisions

1 are frequently included in Chapter 11 plans because the
2 stakeholders all too often blame others for the failures to
3 get the recoveries they desire, seek vengeance against other
4 parties, or simply wish to second guess the decision makers
5 in the Chapter 11 cases." And that LATAM opinion is 2022
6 Bankruptcy Lexus 1725 at star 158.

7 Here, the exculpation provisions included in
8 Section 8(e) of the plan include carveouts for bad faith,
9 gross negligence, and willful misconduct. It also
10 explicitly limits the actions to the period during these
11 cases. These provisions are customary, they accurately
12 restate the law, and they should be approved. Now here, the
13 Committee members and its professionals have all proceeded
14 in good faith. That includes attending over 120 official
15 meetings and countless other calls with the Committee, the
16 Debtors, bidders, mining counterparties, the regulators, and
17 other contract counterparties.

18 The Committee has taken a proactive role to
19 protect the interest of customers in these cases, including
20 by moving swiftly to ensure that wrongdoers were removed
21 from power, that coins were secured, and that estate causes
22 of action were preserved which included preparing and filing
23 its own draft complaint which is preserved under the plan.

24 The Committee cooperated with the examiner in her
25 investigation of the Debtors and communicated with the

1 acountholders both individually and through public town
2 halls. The Committee attended and participated in the
3 auction to determine the plan sponsor. It participated in
4 mediations regarding the treatment under the plan and
5 litigation and it conducted a thorough process to select the
6 proposed board of directors for NewCo.

7 Now throughout that process, the Committee's
8 advisors have made sure to keep its members informed of the
9 facts in the case, applicable law, the Debtors' financial
10 condition, and their discussions with other parties. And
11 while not remarkable, I want to highlight that our Committee
12 members have directly interfaced with the other principals
13 involved in these Chapter 11 cases.

14 Our two co-chairs have weekly meetings with Chris
15 Ferraro, the interim CEO of the Debtors. Our Committee
16 members met with the bidders throughout the auction. They
17 also interviewed the board of director candidates and all of
18 those interviews were videotaped so that those Committee
19 members that did not attend could watch the interviews.

20 I also want to be clear that contrary to what Mr.
21 Phillips may believe, the decisions made throughout these
22 cases have been made by the members and not their advisors.
23 That was made abundantly clear by Major Robinson when in
24 response to a question from Mr. Phillips of whether the
25 advisers were involved in the decision to select Fahrenheit

1 as a plan sponsor, Mr. Robinson unequivocally stated, "They
2 advised us, but we were the ones who voted and made the
3 decision." That's at the October 3rd hearing transcript,
4 Page 223 Lines 7 through 14.

5 And as we previously disclosed to the Court, prior
6 to the beginning of the confirmation hearing, the Committee
7 members unanimously ratified all decisions that have been
8 made throughout these Chapter 11 cases. Now, this was not
9 the typical Committee representation. Rather, the Debtors
10 look to the Committee to make difficult decisions regarding
11 the formulation of the plan of organization and the
12 Committee is aware that its decisions directly impact the
13 recovery of creditors, and many creditors disagree with
14 certain of those decisions.

15 However, there can be no question has adeptly
16 represented its constituency throughout these Chapter 11
17 cases. Put simply, the Committee as a whole have dedicated
18 the better part of the last 15 months to working in good
19 faith to reorganize Celsius. That also includes Mr. Keith
20 Noyes, who has dedicated hundreds of volunteer hours working
21 in good faith to advance the interests of Celsius' unsecured
22 creditors and any insinuation that the Committee has acted
23 in bad faith is completely unfounded.

24 Now, I want to return to the confirmation
25 standard. To confirm the plan, this Court must find that

1 the selection of the board is consistent with the interests
2 of creditors and public policy. And here, the evidence
3 demonstrates that it was. Your Honor heard testimony from
4 Major Mark Robinson regarding the Committee's efforts
5 specifically with respect to the board process.

6 Mr. Robinson testified the Committee considered 45
7 candidates for board positions. Those candidates were
8 select -- sourced from direct inquiries from interested
9 candidates like Mr. Phillips and suggestion from other
10 active creditors like the Earn Ad Hoc Group. The Committee
11 also asked its advisors to submit candidates from their
12 professional networks. All connections with advisors were
13 disclosed to the Committee. All candidates except the three
14 committee members who submitted themselves for positions
15 were considered for all spots and that includes again, Mr.
16 Phillips.

17 Those members who put their hat in the ring
18 recused themselves from voting on the two positions they
19 were eligible for and while the Committee advisers
20 participated in certain of those meetings, the discussions
21 of each individual's candidacy was largely driven by the
22 members of the Committee and the Committee members had many
23 independent discussions regarding the proposed candidates.

24 Most importantly, the Committee members selected
25 the candidates that they thought were best suited for the

1 job and would best advocate for the interests of creditors
2 who following the effective date would be NewCo
3 shareholders. The board was announced in the second plan
4 supplement which was filed at Docket No. 3444 -- getting
5 quite high now -- on September 8th, 2023. Mr. Phillips was
6 -- testified that he was provided with advance notice of
7 selections.

8 Mr. Phillips and all the creditors had ample time
9 to conduct discovery into the board selection process prior
10 to the beginning of the confirmation hearing. Mr. Phillips
11 and all of the creditors were provided with the opportunity
12 to cross examine Mr. Robinson and none of the testimony
13 elicited rebutted any of the testimony I just recited.

14 There is no evidence of any inappropriate
15 relationship between the board and the members of the
16 Committee who selected the board. There is no evidence the
17 Committee acted on less than full information and there's no
18 evidence that the proposed board does not reflect the
19 Committee's business judgment as the best candidates for the
20 role, nor does the evidence establish that Mr. Phillips was
21 not given a fair opportunity.

22 Mr. Phillips has an unsupported theory to the
23 contrary, which lacks any evidentiary or legal support. Put
24 simply, Mr. Phillips believes that the Committee is not
25 capable of making its own decisions and was led by the nose

1 by its professionals.

2 He believes that it is provable malpractice for a
3 professional to, upon the request of its clients, provide
4 them with its recommendations for potential candidates, for
5 that professional to select candidates based on its own
6 experience working with board members instead of just simply
7 nominating strangers, for the professional to disclose its
8 experience and connections to those individuals to both its
9 clients in the Court, and to have its clients and instruct
10 its clients and advise its clients to consider all available
11 candidates.

12 That cannot be the case. Mr. Phillips has
13 identified no breach of duty by the professionals or the
14 Committee here. At best, he's trying to substitute his
15 business judgment for those of the creditors who were
16 appointed as a fiduciary; and at worst, he's trying to
17 weaponize his disappointment in not being selected. Neither
18 have any merits.

19 Finally, although Mr. Phillips attempts to
20 minimize the three board observers, it's everyone's hope
21 that they will be an important part of the NewCo board room.
22 Those creditors will have a seat at the table, receive the
23 information the board receives, and provide input to improve
24 the NewCo for all shareholders.

25 Mr. Phillips' issues with the valuation of NewCo

1 are likewise unsound. For instance, he points to a
2 reduction in the initial investment of Fahrenheit from 50
3 million to 33 million, but he ignores Mr. Kokinos' testimony
4 that the full \$50 million investment is required if the plan
5 sponsor serves the entire five-year term and the arrangement
6 allows the company to terminate the management team earlier
7 if it does not perform as anticipated.

8 In essence, this feature gives a valuable option
9 to NewCo to move on from the chosen management team if
10 things are not going according to plan. Now, we all hope
11 that's not the case, but provision to Mr. Phillips' site was
12 a hard fought improvement that was won for creditors, not a
13 material detraction as he says. He also selectively recites
14 on the record when -- excuse me, Your Honor. When he
15 questioned Mr. Kokinos, he again selectively argues that
16 this should have been structured as a termination fee. It's
17 more Monday morning quarterbacking.

18 Similar, he claims that more -- that NewCo should
19 be valued at more than a third less than the opinion of the
20 Debtors' experts because certain creditors, and a large
21 amount of creditors, elected to receive more liquid
22 cryptocurrency than Equity. It's not true. It is true that
23 more liquid crypto -- that more creditors elected for liquid
24 crypto, but there are many reasons that they could have.

25 For instance, there's a significant tax

1 consequence for certain creditors, if they receive equity
2 versus liquid cryptocurrency. That's disclosed in the
3 disclosure statement and many creditors may have made their
4 choice for that reason. It's not indicative of the equity
5 value. Now, the Court doesn't need to look any further to
6 see Mr. Phillips' true motive than his testimony that he
7 would have not objected to the plan if he had been selected
8 for a member of the new board.

9 Fiduciaries -- In conclusion, Your Honor,
10 fiduciaries who act in good faith throughout the Chapter 11
11 cases and adeptly represent the interests of their
12 constituents should not be subjected to a disgruntled
13 individual's second guessing of their decisions. And to be
14 clear, for so long as it exists prior to the effective date,
15 the Committee will continue to uphold its fiduciary duty and
16 evaluate whether the orderly winddown presents a superior
17 recovery both economically or because of other issues.

18 Now, I want to touch on one point that Mr. Koenig
19 raised at the end of his statements regarding the SEC
20 approval process, and I think that Mr. Koenig did an
21 incredible job of summarizing where we stand in that process
22 for everybody. We have made and my clients have made it a
23 priority to co-operate and encourage the Debtors to
24 cooperate with government regulators. We view those
25 entities as a key constituency in these Chapter 11 processes

1 and understood that we needed to work with the regulators to
2 ensure that creditors and the investing public were
3 protected and that justice was served.

4 Now, actions speak louder than words, and the
5 Committee and the Debtors have demonstrated that commitment
6 throughout these Chapter 11 cases. We engage in regular
7 discussions with the state and federal regulators. We
8 agreed to only distribute Bitcoin and Ethereum on account of
9 the Earn and Borrow obligations. We -- the Debtors
10 cooperated with investigations by the DOJ, the SEC, the
11 CFTC, the FTC into the pre -- into their prepetition conduct
12 which led to the entrance of consensual resolutions with all
13 agencies.

14 The Debtors and the Committee agreed to include
15 the language in the plan regarding exculpation that was
16 appealed in the Voyager case. We have discussed and
17 resolved issues regarding the proposed distribution
18 agreements. We have delayed the equitable subordination
19 trial so that the DOJ may proceed with its case in chief
20 first and avoid any prejudice, and we reserved -- resolved
21 all comments to the plan and the confirmation order with
22 state and federal regulators.

23 I don't want to labor the point at what is
24 required to exit Chapter 11, but I want to state that the
25 Committee is focused on getting distributions out to

1 creditors as soon as possible and reducing the burden of
2 these Chapter 11 cases. The Debtors in the Fahrenheit, for
3 their point, have agreed. They echo that sentiment and they
4 have committed not to hold up distributions if it's possible
5 to go effective prior to the registration statement.
6 However, that's not an easy feat.

7 There's a lot of hard work to be done before
8 distributions can be made and other issues in how to
9 structure the occurrence of the effective date, if that
10 registration statement is not effective. Now, Mr. Koenig
11 previewed this, but it may be necessary for us to come back
12 before Your Honor for an additional motion in furtherance of
13 confirmation so that those distributions can be made, but I
14 want to make it clear.

15 The Committee is committed to and will push to get
16 distributions out as soon as possible. The voting results
17 speak for itself and it's time to move forward and out of
18 Chapter 11.

19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Colodny. All right,
20 Ms. Cornell, are you going to do the --

21 MS. CORNELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Shara
22 Cornell on behalf of the Office of the United States
23 Trustee. We have heard from the Debtors and the Committee
24 throughout these cases, and even today. These are
25 complicated, unique cases of first impression. While this

1 is true, that does not mean that there aren't common or
2 routine issues also. A lot of focus has been on how to get
3 these unique cases to resolution, but the "usual issues"
4 also exist in this case. Exculpation provisions in a plan
5 of reorganization are still just as important as are
6 consensual and affirmative third-party releases.

7 The United States Trustee started his review of
8 the plan with a long list of issues with the proposed
9 exculpation and release provisions, and after many
10 discussions with the Debtors, I'm pleased to report to the
11 Court that the Debtors have accepted many of our requested
12 revisions so that we are able to narrow the outstanding
13 issues before the Court this afternoon.

14 However, I would like to first quickly describe
15 some of those changes that we believe have vastly improved
16 the plan. First, the Debtors have removed two entities that
17 are not yet in existence from the exculpation provision.
18 The plan administrator and the litigation administrator have
19 been removed. However, as we'll get to later, NewCo is
20 still receiving the full benefits of the exculpation
21 provision.

22 The Debtors have added a defined temporal scope to
23 the escalation that limits all exculpation to actions from
24 the petition date through the effective date only, and while
25 Aegean is not binding on this Court, it is instructive and

1 the Debtors have also limited the actions to be exculpated
2 to those more in line with the Aegean standard. These
3 changes will help remove ambiguity and confusion for any
4 party down the line trying to assess whether or not they're
5 barred from further litigation.

6 The Debtors have also provided more information
7 regarding who the exculpated parties are. There is now a
8 reference as to which members of Ad Hoc Groups will be
9 included for referring to the filing of 2019 statements by a
10 certain date. The Debtors have also provided a list of
11 exculpated current and former employees, again helping to
12 eliminate ambiguity and confusion down the line.

13 Members of the board will also be identified and
14 footnotes to relevant documents in this case that will
15 definitively identify exculpated parties have also been
16 added throughout the provision. And with respect to the EIP
17 or the emergence incentive plan that Mr. Koenig discussed
18 earlier, I just wanted to add that the Debtors -- I wanted
19 to add to the Debtors' presentation that these awards are
20 not mandatory and that even if the benchmarks are met,
21 they're still discretionary and the revised documents
22 reflect this negotiation between the United States Trustee
23 and the Debtors, and I believe that's a very important
24 nuance to mention for the Court.

25 With all of this said, we could not agree on

1 everything. The BRIC is still an exculpated party despite
2 not being a retained professional and merely serving as a
3 backup bidder. The BRIC have not been involved since the
4 beginning of this case and are not entitled to the same
5 exculpation as retained professionals and their exculpation
6 should either be qualified to the extent they worked on the
7 backup bid or they should be removed.

8 There's also limited information regarding PayPal
9 and Coinbase, both of whom are serving as distributing
10 agents in these cases. The exculpation currently provides
11 for exculpation for affiliates, but there's no way for
12 parties to know who these parties are. The United States
13 Trustee has requested that a supplement be filed prior to
14 the plan going effective, identifying what entity is
15 actually doing the distributions so that exculpation can be
16 limited to parties that are actually doing the work and so
17 that any future litigants can easily ascertain who has been
18 exculpated. Additionally --

19 THE COURT: I just want --

20 MS. CORNELL: I'm sorry.

21 THE COURT: -- understand. Those last comments
22 with respect to affiliates of PayPal and --

23 MS. CORNELL: And Coinbase.

24 THE COURT: -- and Coinbase. Okay.

25 MS. CORNELL: Thank you. Additionally, as alluded

1 to earlier, the Debtors did remove both the plan
2 administrator and the litigation administrator two post-
3 effective created entities from the exculpated parties list.
4 However, NewCo, also an entity not yet in existence, that
5 will not be in existence until emergence, is still included
6 as an exculpated party. Because NewCo does not and cannot
7 exist prior to the temporal scope of the exculpation
8 provision, it should be removed.

9 I'm also pleased to report to the Court that after
10 lengthy and protracted discussions with the Committee, we've
11 agreed to language regarding the makeup of the Litigation
12 Oversight Committee as well as an appropriate carveout for
13 the Committee's professional exculpation. The exculpation
14 carveout will apply to both the United States Trustee as
15 well as to all parties in interest and it will carveout any
16 reliance of Committee professionals on knowing, in this case
17 known or should have known, ultra vires actions of a
18 purported Committee member. And upon recent information
19 from various litigants --

20 THE COURT: Do I understand, did you say that the
21 U.S. Trustee is going to be exculpated?

22 MS. CORNELL: No. No, Your Honor. What I said
23 was the exculpation will carve out the rights of the United
24 States Trustee and all parties in interest. I'm sorry.

25 THE COURT: All right.

1 MS. CORNELL: Upon recent information from various
2 litigants received last week and the raw data received from
3 the voting tabulation also received just last Thursday by
4 the United States Trustee, it became clear that certain
5 creditors that had opted into the Custody settlement back in
6 May and then in turn voting on the plan, attempted to opt
7 out of the third-party releases. Although their vote was a
8 yes for the plan, that in turn made their --

9 THE COURT: And the disclosure statement said, you
10 vote in favor of the plan and you accept it.

11 MS. CORNELL: Absolutely, Your Honor. However,
12 the Debtors understood our concerns regarding knowing and
13 affirmative consent with the plan and the releases not
14 having been drafted at the time of the Custody settlement
15 and they've agreed to honor those valid -- honor those
16 otherwise invalid optouts for those Custody settlement
17 users. And that --

18 THE COURT: How many of those are there?

19 MS. CORNELL: There are 20, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Is that reflected in the revised order
21 that's been submitted?

22 MS. CORNELL: It should be, Your Honor. Was it
23 filed this morning?

24 MAN: Yes.

25 MS. CORNELL: Yes, I believe it's in the one that

1 was filed this morning.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MS. CORNELL: So these individuals will have their
4 optouts for releases validated.

5 There is one other issue regarding the optouts of
6 the third-party releases. While the provisions of the plan
7 may be clear to bankruptcy attorneys, that's not what is
8 always clear to others reading the plan. Here, the raw
9 voting data shows that thousands of creditors that voted in
10 favor of the plan also attempted to opt out of the third-
11 party releases, despite their vote in favor of the plan and
12 despite the plan's instructions, and we wanted to make sure
13 Your Honor understood that there was confusion and that
14 while this was clear to bankruptcy lawyers, it may not have
15 been as clear for others.

16 And we're given to understand that there were
17 thousands, possibly as many as 5,000 creditors, that did try
18 to vote in favor of the plan and also opt out of the
19 releases. These variables were not clearly identified
20 earlier, but we felt as though the Court should be made
21 aware of this because it does involve a significant number
22 of creditors that were clearly confused.

23 To circle back for Your Honor, our outstanding
24 issues as we see them today, one, Coinbase and PayPal should
25 have any affiliate identified in order to receive

1 exculpation. Two --

2 THE COURT: Let me just --

3 MS. CORNELL: Sure.

4 THE COURT: I want to be sure I'm understanding --

5 MS. CORNELL: Absolutely.

6 THE COURT: -- that. Is it acceptable to the U.S.

7 Trustee if the affiliates of PayPal and Coinbase are

8 specifically identified by name? I'm not sure when that --

9 MS. CORNELL: Yes, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: -- before the distributions?

11 MS. CORNELL: Yes, Your Honor. That would be
12 acceptable. We just want to know who is -- included in this
13 exculpation.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Koenig, is that a problem? I
15 mean, by then, you know.

16 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, Chris Koenig, Kirkland &
17 Ellis for the Debtors. We've talked -- we've been talking
18 throughout --

19 THE COURT: I know, but I'm --

20 MR. KOENIG: -- the process. We've been talking
21 to PayPal and Coinbase. They're super critical to our
22 ability to get distributions out --

23 THE COURT: I understand.

24 MR. KOENIG: They are understandably concerned.
25 They want to make sure that they have the full protection of

1 exculpation. I mean, my view is --

2 THE COURT: Let them provide the list.

3 MR. KOENIG: I've been talking to them about --

4 THE COURT: I don't consider it to be
5 unreasonable.

6 MR. KOENIG: We will continue our discussions with
7 them. We will continue our --

8 THE COURT: I may order it.

9 MR. KOENIG: And I'll speak to them, but they're
10 so important to our process that --

11 THE COURT: I understand.

12 MR. KOENIG: -- tried to facilitate this because
13 they are --

14 THE COURT: I mean, it's consistent with the --
15 wanting the Debtors to identify the releasees by name.
16 There were certainly -- there were categories, but is it
17 possible to identify them by name? I find it hard to
18 believe that it's unreasonable to expect PayPal and
19 Coinbase, if you tell us the names, they're going to get
20 exculpated.

21 MR. KOENIG: We will continue our discussions with
22 them. What I would say is I'd like for certain the deadline
23 should be closer to the effective date or even after the
24 effective date because we just don't know today --

25 THE COURT: I understand.

1 MR. KOENIG: -- these are going to --

2 THE COURT: I'm just trying to -- I want to make
3 sure I understand what these issues --

4 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay. The next issue that I wrote
6 down was NewCo listed as an exculpated party.

7 MS. CORNELL: Yes, that NewCo should not -- should
8 be excluded from --

9 THE COURT: You want to --

10 MS. CORNELL: -- the exculpation provision as it
11 is not in existence during the relevant time period. And
12 number three, that BRIC's exculpation should be qualified to
13 only work done on the backup bid or not exculpated at all.

14 THE COURT: That -- let me push back on that.

15 MS. CORNELL: Yeah.

16 THE COURT: So I -- obviously, I was not intimate
17 -- I certainly knew about the discussions with BRIC, but I
18 don't know, you know, all the steps in the negotiation that
19 ultimately led them to be the backup bidder, and why is it
20 unreasonable to exculpate BRIC? Is there something that you
21 believe that they did that should disentitle them to an
22 exculpation? The problem I have is that I want releases and
23 exculpation as clear as it can be so you don't get into
24 litigation about who's covered by it. To narrow -- come up
25 with the description of what conduct it is that they're --

1 did they exist on the scene until they were part of a
2 negotiation to be a bidder or a backup bidder?

3 MS. CORNELL: That's fair, Your Honor. I think a
4 lot of it does have to do with the fact that we aren't quite
5 clear about what they have been doing throughout the case
6 other than serving as a backup bidder. So your question is,
7 why should they be exculpated for the whole case. To our
8 information and knowledge, what they've done so far is just
9 serve as the backup bidder and nothing else. So their
10 exculpation should be limited to any of that and nothing --

11 THE COURT: Well, that's what they wound up as.

12 MS. CORNELL: That's what they wound up as.

13 THE COURT: I understand --

14 MS. CORNELL: Yes.

15 THE COURT: But the problem I have, Ms. Cornell,
16 when the case is going on and you get parties, either
17 they're actually, you know, they're a potential bidder or
18 you get them into negotiation, are they -- are people going
19 to want to get involved in negotiation during the course of
20 the case if somebody's going to turn around and sue them
21 after? It's very easy to file a lawsuit.

22 MS. CORNELL: Right. That's fair.

23 THE COURT: That's my problem.

24 MS. CORNELL: That's fair, Your Honor; however,
25 the exculpation could be limited to just the work that they

1 did in preparing and serving as a backup bidder. They would
2 still be exculpated for that work, but they need not be
3 exculpated for everything thereafter or before that. Why do
4 they need the same exculpation as Kirkland & Ellis or White
5 & Case that have been here since the very beginning --

6 THE COURT: All right, I have your point.

7 MS. CORNELL: -- and have been here until the very
8 end?

9 THE COURT: This one I don't think you're getting
10 a lot of traction.

11 MS. CORNELL: That's all right, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Are there other -- just, were
13 there other open points? Because you sort of went through
14 some things that --

15 MS. CORNELL: Just one more.

16 THE COURT: -- you agreed with the Debtor or were
17 able to work out. I want to make sure, what's an open
18 issue.

19 MS. CORNELL: You know what, just those three.
20 And I think Your Honor --

21 THE COURT: NewCo, exculpation of affiliated
22 parties of PayPal and Coinbase, and BRIC.

23 MS. CORNELL: Mm hmm.

24 THE COURT: Those three. Okay.

25 MS. CORNELL: So I think Your Honor will agree

1 that the efforts of the Debtors to narrow the scope of our
2 objection today has made these proceedings much smoother. I
3 want to personally express my own appreciation for the long
4 phone calls and emails with both the Committee and with the
5 Debtors in this case. It's definitely been a long journey.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

7 MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, I have one clarification
8 to Ms. Cornell's comments.

9 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead, Mr. Colodny.

10 MR. COLODNY: So Ms. Cornell --

11 MS. CORNELL: Sorry.

12 MR. COLODNY: Aaron Colodny from the Committee on
13 behalf of -- Aaron Colodny from White & Case on behalf of
14 the Official Committee. This is much nicer than when you
15 make me stand next to Mr. Koenig.

16 MS. CORNELL: I was just thinking the same thing.

17 MR. COLODNY: Doesn't look great. Ms. Cornell
18 referred to the exculpation as a carveout. It is not a
19 carveout to the exculpation. It's a reservation of rights
20 for arguments that may be made. To fall -- or the
21 exculpation as I said in my report is a statutory grant to
22 fiduciaries, and so anyone asserting that White & Case --
23 that causes of action against my firm while outside of that
24 would have to either prove that we are acting ultra vires-ly
25 or we committed bad faith, gross misconduct, the litany of

1 lists that are carved out in the exculpation.

2 THE COURT: Let me say, historically, exculpation
3 was intended for estate fiduciaries, whether that was the
4 Debtor or professionals and, you know, Debtors' counsel, the
5 people the Debtor would work with, the Committee. My view
6 is that exculpation has largely been accepted broader than
7 that for parties who are engaged in good faith in
8 negotiating a plan and all things attendant to it.

9 So I go back. I don't have it in front of me, but
10 you know, if you read Judge Wiles' comments in the Voyager
11 case, I very much share his views that we don't want people
12 to be able to turn around and sue people who were -- maybe
13 creditors who in good faith for a result. They're not
14 estate fiduciaries, but they're participating in the plan
15 process in good faith in an effort to reach a consensual
16 plan.

17 If they're facing the threat of lawsuits by other
18 disgruntled creditors who don't agree with what they did, it
19 makes it very hard to achieve a consensual result. For
20 those reasons, I think what historically may have been
21 viewed as exculpation just for estate fiduciaries has
22 extended beyond that to other active participants in the
23 plan process. We may not agree with that, but I mean,
24 that's what I think, where the law has moved.

25 Mr. Colodny, where you're -- you know, your firm

1 and the Creditors Committee, estate fiduciaries. That's the
2 classic case for exculpation.

3 MR. COLODNY: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 MS. CORNELL: If it would be easier for Your
5 Honor, for me to --

6 THE COURT: Why don't you move --

7 MS. CORNELL: Sure. If it would be easier, I can
8 read the provision into the record that you have more
9 context at this point.

10 THE COURT: Sure.

11 MS. CORNELL: If that's -- that's just easier and
12 makes it --

13 THE COURT: This is your -- you're reading now
14 what? What was agreed or --

15 MS. CORNELL: This would be as it relates to
16 exculpation for the Committee professionals, the reservation
17 of rights that Mr. Colodny just alluded to.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MS. CORNELL: If that makes it easier.

20 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.

21 MS. CORNELL: So we've come to an agreement on
22 this. We haven't signed anything or dotted any I's, but
23 we're in agreement on this language.

24 THE COURT: -- back you on that.

25 MS. CORNELL: "All rights are reserved for the

1 U.S. Trustee or any party in interest to argue that any
2 Committee professional acted in knowing reliance on any
3 excluded Committee member. All rights and defenses are
4 reserved for any Committee professional with respect to any
5 argument by the U.S. Trustee or any party in interest that
6 such professional acted in knowing reliance on an excluded
7 Committee member that acted in ultra vires manner. For the
8 avoidance of doubt, knowing in this context means knew or
9 should have known."

10 Do you have any other questions for me, Your
11 Honor?

12 THE COURT: I don't.

13 MS. CORNELL: Thank you very much.

14 THE COURT: All right. Does anybody want to be
15 heard from the Ad Hoc Borrowers Group?

16 MR. ADLER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David
17 Adler from McCarter and English on behalf of the Retail
18 Borrower Ad Hoc Group. I'll be brief.

19 First, I wish to extend my appreciation to the
20 Debtors and the Committee for the hard work that they've
21 done throughout this case and especially throughout the
22 month of October. It's been a very long month for me. I'm
23 sure it's been a very, very long month for them.

24 Second, obviously the Retail Borrower Ad Hoc Group
25 is a settling party. We've entered into an agreement that's

1 embodied in the plan pursuant to which the borrowers who
2 consented to it have agreed to be treated in a certain way
3 under the plan.

4 We raised three issues in response to the proposed
5 findings of fact and conclusions of law, the confirmation
6 order that was filed a week ago last Friday, and I'm pleased
7 to report that I think two of them are resolved. The first
8 one is, as I noted at the beginning of the confirmation
9 hearing, that the Retail Borrower Advance Obligation
10 Repayment Election had not been included on the ballot and
11 the Debtors changed -- modified the plan to note that it
12 would be included in the repayment notice that is sent to
13 the borrowers.

14 But still there are issues about timing about the
15 repayment, so I proposed language in my objection which is
16 ECF 3928 and in the eighth plan supplement that was filed
17 today. Mr. Koenig gave me a nice lesson in speed reading.
18 I believe it's Paragraph 323 which is on Page 338 of 365,
19 where he essentially acknowledges that the Debtors will
20 continue to engage commercially reasonable efforts to deal
21 with these issues that might come up in the future regarding
22 the repayment. So I think that paragraph addresses that.

23 MR. KOENIG: And sorry, Mr. Adler. That's the
24 confirmation order. Just for the record, that's the
25 confirmation order that you're reading from not the plan

1 supplement. Just -- I wanted to make sure that --

2 MR. ADLER: You're correct. That was the --

3 MR. KOENIG: Sorry for interrupting.

4 MR. ADLER: No, he's -- Mr. Koenig is absolutely
5 correct. That is the modified confirmation order. That was
6 filed at 10:59 today.

7 THE COURT: What's the issue that's not resolved?

8 MR. ADLER: It was resolved.

9 THE COURT: I thought you said there were three
10 issues --

11 MR. ADLER: Well, that's -- I'm dealing with
12 first, the first one.

13 THE COURT: Yeah, I wanted to get the -- go ahead.

14 MR. ADLER: All right, I'll breeze through it,
15 Your Honor. Second one is the litigation or the plan
16 administrator agreement. There was an issue about the power
17 of the Plan Oversight Committee. We raised that in an
18 objection on Friday or in our response, I should say. That
19 was addressed in the plan supplement today on Page 55 which
20 is ECF 3935. And it essentially defaulted back to what was
21 in an earlier plan supplement with a little bit of
22 clarifying language.

23 What is not resolved, Your Honor, the third issue
24 is, I guess it's Paragraph 269. We --

25 THE COURT: Paragraph 269 of the confirmation?

1 MR. ADLER: The confirmation order, which is where
2 we had asked the Court. There are a handful of borrowers
3 who objected and we don't really believe that it's necessary
4 for the Court to make the determination on the ownership of
5 the collateral with respect to all borrowers, and we think
6 the Court need only deal with the borrowers who objected to
7 the plan, and not all borrowers. And that issue still
8 remains the same in the modified confirmation order that was
9 filed today and we think that the Court does not need to
10 address the issue for all borrowers. We would just suggest
11 that it be dealt with for the objecting borrowers.

12 THE COURT: I'm at a little bit of a loss because
13 if I determine the ownership, why is it different for the
14 objecting Borrowers from anyone else? I mean, the language
15 is the language.

16 MR. ADLER: But if Your Honor issues a ruling, the
17 borrowers, my group, has not put in any documents or any
18 argument with respect to why ownership belongs to the
19 borrowers rather than to the estate. And to the extent --
20 it's not going to come up here, but to the extent that there
21 becomes an issue later on with respect to facts implications
22 on transfer of ownership, that is the concern.

23 Does that make sense, Your Honor?

24 THE COURT: I understand the tax issues,
25 unresolved tax issues if there's been transfer of ownership

1 and they received -- what those potential issues are.

2 Obviously I'm not being asked and I'm not resolving any tax
3 issues.

4 Mr. Koenig, what's the position with that?

5 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, again, Chris Koenig,
6 Kirkland Ellis, for the Debtors. This is not our issue.
7 The reason we did not include it in the confirmation order
8 is the same reason that Your Honor identified. We were
9 perplexed as to how Your Honor could make a ruling to some
10 borrowers and not to others, but it's not really for us to
11 decide. If Your Honor is comfortable, we're not going to
12 stand in the way. But that was the reason why we didn't
13 include it in the first instance. We thought it was a
14 decision for Your Honor to make.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Adler, do you have proposed
16 language that -- first I would say generally I don't like to
17 decide more than I need to decide. Have you proposed
18 specific language that named the specific borrowers as to
19 which the ownership issue would be addressed in the order?

20 MR. ADLER: I think we proposed language in
21 ECF3928 that just said that Court was making a determination
22 only with respect to the objecting borrowers.
23 Alternatively, I would suggest that if the Court deems it
24 necessary to use the phrase "accountholders" or "borrowers",
25 that there be a sentence that says nothing in this decision

1 or order shall have any --

2 THE COURT: I will look at the issue. I'm not
3 committing one way or the other. I will look at the issue.
4 Okay?

5 MR. ADLER: Okay. Those were my three issues,
6 Your Honor. Like I said --

7 THE COURT: Well, two of them resolved and one
8 we're talking about now.

9 MR. ADLER: Correct.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Adler.

11 MR. ADLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, just real quickly, this
14 is not our issue, but if Your Honor is looking for a way to
15 try to satisfy Mr. Adler, I think one way that you could
16 perhaps do it is best interest test is something you're
17 going to need to decide with respect to anybody that's did
18 not affirmatively support the plan. I think if the
19 borrowers owned the collateral, the plan would likely fail
20 best interest. What you could do, as opposed to saying
21 you're only deciding for the objectors, I suppose you could
22 say you're only deciding it with respect to anybody that did
23 not affirmatively vote to support the plan because Section
24 1129(a)(7) says that. I think that could be a way through
25 this if that's something -- if you're trying to satisfy Mr.

1 Adler but also make sure that you're making the rulings
2 necessary for confirmation. I think that that would get Mr.
3 Adler what he wants and maybe satisfy Your Honor. But
4 that's a middle ground and you're not deciding more than you
5 have to.

6 THE COURT: I guess what I would ask maybe the two
7 of you, or Mr. Adler, if you would file one more piece of
8 paper on the docket indicating essentially what Mr. Koenig
9 just said. Okay?

10 MR. KOENIG: We're comfortable with whatever Your
11 Honor is comfortable with. And that might be a way through
12 it. So I just wanted to...

13 THE COURT: All right. Thanks very much.

14 MR. ADLER: And we will do so, Your Honor. Thank
15 you.

16 THE COURT: Next is the Ad Hoc Earn account.

17 MS. KUHNS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Joyce
18 Kuhns, Offit Kurman, for the Ad Hoc Earn Accountholders.

19 On behalf of the Earn Ad Hoc Group, we would like
20 to commend the Debtor, its management, and its professionals
21 and the Committee and its professionals for running an
22 extremely efficient five-day confirmation process in a very
23 complex case assisted by court protocols that has
24 demonstrated we believe compliance with all the requirements
25 needed to confirm the plan before you.

1 As we observed in the Ad Hoc Earn groups opening,
2 not everyone got everything they wanted here. But everyone
3 was given an opportunity to be heard in this courtroom
4 whether in person or via Zoom, which was greatly
5 appreciated, Your Honor.

6 Since this may be the last opportunity for the Ad
7 Hoc to be heard by the larger creditor constituency, we
8 would like to clarify what its role has been and what some
9 of its members will continue to do to promote creditor
10 interest going forward.

11 The Ad Hoc Earn group consists of creditors who,
12 like all creditors, were the targets of a massive fraud and
13 suffered significant losses as a result. They regrouped and
14 in fact contributed additional dollars, critical dollars to
15 form a group committed to building consensus on the way to
16 achieve the best outcome for Earn accountholders as quickly
17 as possible while respecting the position of other
18 creditors.

19 I would like to once again thank in particular the
20 steering committee members, Immanuel Herrmann, Brett Perry,
21 Nick Farr, and Joe Lehrfeld.

22 The Ad Hoc Earn group believes that the \$2.5
23 billion it claims voting in favor of the plan is a testament
24 to their efforts and the efforts of others to productively
25 engage with each other and work cooperatively towards the

1 exit. It is significant that the Ad Hoc's sightline did not
2 end with the exit but extended to what would happen
3 afterwards to enhance recoveries to creditors and future
4 equity holders through Newco and the litigation oversight
5 committee namely by creditors assuming a seat at the table
6 of each.

7 There has been some criticism of the board
8 selection process. We believe the testimony of Major
9 Robinson was credible and thorough regarding how NewCo board
10 selections occurred, a process in which a number of Ad Hoc
11 Earn members participated as interviewees.

12 While we were not successful in security voting
13 seats on the NewCo initial board and admittedly were
14 disappointed in that, there will be three Earn creditors
15 participating in NewCo board meetings as board observers
16 along with the two co-chairs of the committee who will have
17 voting rights.

18 The board observers are each significant Celsius
19 creditors. They will each have access to the same
20 information as all board members. While the board observers
21 may not have a vote, they have a voice and the ability to
22 influence outcomes. And each in fact has been a positive
23 influencer in this case. The Ad Hoc is grateful that these
24 creditors have again stepped up to further the goal of
25 working to maximize recoveries.

1 With respect to the NewCo board, it is important
2 to further note that a public board must not only have
3 members who are diverse in skillsets, gender, race, and
4 ethnicity -- in particular to satisfy NASDAQ requirements --
5 but who are committed to work together as a group to advance
6 the company and enhance returns to equity.

7 We are pleased to say that there already exist
8 solid working relationships and mutual respect among the
9 creditor representatives to this board, a critical and
10 promising starting point. The Earn Ad Hoc and Earn Borrow
11 groups will each have a representative and therefore a voice
12 to speak for Celsius creditors and former accountholders on
13 the Litigation Oversight Committee as well. The long and
14 the short, Your Honor, is that the work does not end here,
15 but another phase will begin after the effective date with
16 our constituents' continued representation in the recovery
17 process.

18 Your Honor, we have listened in throughout this
19 confirmation hearing and are hopeful and in fact anticipate
20 after much hard work and the dedication of many that a
21 confirmation order will enter soon. And we will then be
22 looking forward to a prompt exit and the long-awaited
23 commencement of distributions which we hope will occur this
24 year, but if not, as soon as possible in 2024.

25 Thank you for your time, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Thank you very much,. All right.

2 Next is the Withhold Ad Hoc Group. I think Ms. Kovsky-Apap
3 indicated that she withdrew her request, which I
4 appreciated.

5 Next is the Securities and Exchange Commission.

6 MS. SCHEUR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Therese
7 Scheur for the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

8 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

9 MS. SCHEUR: With me on the line is William
10 Uptegrove, also from the U.S. Securities and Exchange
11 Commission.

12 Your Honor, the SEC filed a limited objection and
13 reservation of rights at Docket 3522. The Debtors have
14 incorporated changes to respond to the issues raised in our
15 informal comments and limited objection. The SEC continues
16 to reserve its rights to object to any winddown motion if
17 one is filed. And as stated in our reservation, the SEC is
18 not opining as to the legality under the federal securities
19 laws of the transactions outlined in the plan.

20 Your Honor, with respect to the status of the Form
21 10, as Mr. Koenig noted, the Debtors have sought pre-
22 clearance to file the Form 10. I'm not a part of the pre-
23 clearance process and therefore am not able to confirm the
24 Debtor's discussions with other staff or the descriptions of
25 those discussions. But my understanding is that the pre-

1 clearance process remains ongoing.

2 If the Debtors obtain pre-clearance, they would
3 then file a Form 10 which would be reviewed by the court fin
4 staff before it could become effective, and this process
5 could take several months. Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: I guess my only request -- and only a
7 request -- is that the staff do all it can to facilitate
8 this process going forward. The SEC will make the
9 determination it believes required in the facts and
10 circumstances. All I'm asking is -- and I think the Debtors
11 and the Committee certainly from the Court's standpoint have
12 been doing all it can to facilitate speedy process. I would
13 appreciate it if the SEC would do likewise. The SEC will
14 make whatever decision it believes is the correct one. I
15 just hope the process will move forward. So if there are
16 any bumps in the road, we can try to work those out along
17 the way. I appreciate it.

18 MS. SCHEUR: Understood, Your Honor. We can take
19 that back. Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

21 All right, Mr. Frishberg is next.

22 MR. FRISHBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. As we all
23 can agree, this case has been very long and complex.
24 Numerous creditors have raised various issues and various
25 complaints about the plan. But the matter of the fact is

1 the plan is the best one we have at this point in time. A
2 year ago, an orderly winddown would have been better, but we
3 have come too far and spent way too much money to do
4 anything other than push through with this NewCo as fast as
5 humanly possible. It may be a bitter pill to swallow for
6 some creditors, and there are a few ways to make it a bit
7 less bitter. I will suggest them later.

8 The main one is that we ensure that NewCo location
9 trust does not have anyone who worked at Celsius employed
10 there, whether that be the janitor or all the way up to the
11 top. The creditors were promised a new company with
12 completely new management, and we will hopefully have that.
13 I do not want anyone who was at Celsius -- and that goes all
14 the way up to Mr. Ferrara, although he has done a great job
15 in this bankruptcy thus far.

16 I would like to thank everyone that has helped
17 make this plan as well as made this a relatively smooth
18 bankruptcy and get us (indiscernible) professionals, the
19 U.S. Trustee, Your Honor, and everyone else.

20 I would also like to specifically thank the
21 attorneys from Offit Kurman, Joyce Kuhns and Jason Nagi, who
22 gave Earn a (indiscernible). Without them, Earn would be in
23 a different position (indiscernible).

24 This plan, while it's not perfect, it's the plan
25 we have. We need to get out of bankruptcy as soon as

1 possible before any more assets are dissipated. Your Honor
2 should approve this plan with or without the suggestions I
3 made.

4 Let's see. (indiscernible) carveouts from
5 (indiscernible) carveouts (indiscernible) the parties would
6 likely smooth the process of exiting bankruptcy, but further
7 makes it a bit less of a bitter pill to swallow.

8 We must exit Chapter 11 and we must stop
9 (indiscernible). We have to exit as fast as humanly
10 possible, and that is why Your Honor should wait the
11 mandatory 14-day stay period for appeals. I support the
12 plan being approved, and I would like to reserve the rest of
13 my time for rebuttal if possible.

14 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Frishberg. The only
15 parties who are getting rebuttal are the Debtor and the
16 Committee.

17 MR. FRISHBERG: Okay.

18 THE COURT: I think I made that clear. So if you
19 want to use the rest of your time, be my guest.

20 MR. FRISHBERG: It's fine. Thank you, Your Honor.
21 The plan should be approved as soon as possible. Have a
22 good day.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

24 Mr. Sabin, I think you are next.

25 MR. SABIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jeff

1 Sabin of Venable, counsel for Ignat Tuganov, one of the
2 three class claim representatives in this case, a party to
3 the plan support agreement, a participating in the plan
4 mediation, and Earn Rewards only customer and still today
5 would be a member of the Litigation Oversight Committee.

6 Mr. Tuganov and I believe it is now time for this
7 Court, as you have done throughout these cases, first to
8 carefully consider the voluminous record including the five
9 days of confirmation hearings, testimony, argument, admitted
10 evidence, and all pleadings related thereto as presented and
11 advanced and argued on all sides by the Debtors, the
12 Committee, the plan supporters, plan objectors, interested
13 regulators, and most importantly articulated by numerous pro
14 se creditors.

15 And then after you so consider it, we believe you
16 should confirm the plan. It is the very first to my
17 knowledge reorganization of a cryptocurrency business and
18 would otherwise permit, subject to satisfaction of certain
19 conditions, the occurrence of an effective date so that
20 customers, hundreds of thousands of them, and other
21 creditors, can begin to receive the contemplated
22 distributions of bitcoin, Eth, NewCo common stock, and
23 potentially net recoveries from retained causes of action.

24 Stated simply, Your Honor, the process and
25 procedures experienced to arrive here today have been

1 challenging to say the least. And I highlight five
2 particular indicia of those factors.

3 One, the sheer number of customers and creditors
4 and the uniqueness of their claims and the use and
5 consequences of today's instant communication vehicles that
6 otherwise at times cause confusion, at times cause perhaps
7 misunderstandings of fact. But we cannot otherwise stop
8 what is in today's world.

9 The second factor, the uniqueness of legal issues
10 created by the Debtor's prepetition business lines and
11 agreements.

12 The third, the lack of any clear regulatory
13 principles, rules, or decisions regarding the Debtor's
14 services and the various digital assets used therein and the
15 potential effect of those issues on customer claims in the
16 structure of this plan.

17 Fourth, the need for findings or understanding of
18 what, when, and how the Debtors arrived in this case almost
19 15 months ago and the important role that the examiner, the
20 UCC, the Debtors, and others played in helping creditors
21 understand the unfortunate circumstances that led us here.
22 And more importantly, the potential the way the plan is
23 structured to preserve claims not only for the creditor
24 body, but for the regulators to otherwise do their job.

25 The fifth, the importance of various settlements

1 leading to and/or embodied in the proposed plan and the
2 various respective best efforts of the Debtors, the
3 Committee, and the various settling parties to achieve
4 compromise and consensus so that distributions can hopefully
5 begin soon and this case indeed can evidence equitable
6 distribution to creditors.

7 Now that the focus of objectors and respondents
8 has become clearer, as you have heard, there remain but a
9 handful of issues of fact and/or relevant law to
10 confirmations. Mr. Tuganov as a plan support party
11 continues to work with the Debtors and the UCC to address
12 and finalize some revisions that he previously delivered to
13 the Debtor's counsel and, like Mr. Adler, I took that course
14 in speedreading and I'm happy to say that I have read in
15 full, Your Honor, the proposed revisions to the findings of
16 fact and conclusions of law set forth in Docket 3937 and I
17 am happy to say that almost all of Mr. Tuganov's suggested
18 revisions to that document have been incorporated and
19 included.

20 At this point, we are hopeful that the remaining
21 issue with the retail borrowers can be resolved
22 consensually. I only point out that besides best interest,
23 also relevant to that language for consideration might be
24 the effect of any fact-finding regarding title to collateral
25 on the preference exposure and the way it's dealt with under

1 the plan.

2 In any event, Your Honor, many thanks to the
3 various federal and state regulators for resolving their
4 respective claims by effectively subordinating billions of
5 dollars of allowed claims to permit distributions to
6 creditors pursuant to the plan. And two, by permitting the
7 distributions of more than \$2 billion of Bitcoin and Eth.
8 Hopefully soon the distribution of new common stock in a
9 public reporting company which will have as its business
10 cryptocurrency mining and the staking of eth. The creditors
11 can only hope and expect that if indeed this Court confirms
12 the plan and that soon thereafter an effective date will
13 occur, that distributions can flow, whether it's before the
14 end of this year or early in 2024.

15 Finally, as others have done, I wish to say
16 special thanks to the Debtor's management team, to the
17 special committees, and most importantly, to the lead
18 counsels for the Debtors and the UCC and their respective
19 team members for their tireless efforts to get us to this
20 point today. Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Sabin.

22 All right, Mr. Herrmann. Just so everybody knows,
23 Immanuel Herrmann is next. Then we're going to take a ten-
24 minute break and then we will resume.

25 Mr. Herrmann?

1 MR. HERRMANN: Thank you. Good afternoon, Your
2 Honor. Immanuel Herrmann, pro se. I am speaking today in
3 support of the confirmation of the Chapter 11 plan before
4 this Court. It's been a long road to get here and I wanted
5 to mention a few highlights of the case that I believe made
6 a big difference in getting where we are today.

7 First, the examiner's report. Earlier in the
8 case, there were concerns that what happened with customers'
9 deposits would be brushed under the rug and in particular to
10 the detriment of customers like me and other Earn
11 (indiscernible).

12 The Court wisely agreed with pro se Earn creditors
13 David Adler and other objectors at the time informed the
14 examiner to increase the scope of her report. Doing so
15 allowed phase two of the examiner's report to take place.
16 And this portion of the report made a huge difference in
17 getting us further.

18 Second, the formation of the Earn Ad Hoc. I would
19 like to thank my fellow steering committee members Brett
20 Perry who went to mediation with me in New York, Nick Farr,
21 Joe Lehrfeld, along with other members of the Ad Hoc. And
22 of course Joyce Kuhns and Jason Nagi, our amazing counsel at
23 Offit Kurman.

24 Third, the class claims process, which is kudos to
25 the UCC for coming up with that, which resolves the

1 bellwether litigation which was a huge step forward with the
2 case.

3 I know firsthand as a potential bellwether
4 claimant just how crazy that litigation would have been, and
5 it was welcome to -- it was great that we had a process to
6 resolve that.

7 Many of these issues, including substantive
8 consolidation as well, were all resolved through the
9 mediation which took place in New York. Here, the formation
10 of the Earn Ad Hoc was absolutely essential to that
11 mediation occurring, which brought us to where we are today.

12 In the months before mediation, the case seemed
13 quite set. It seemed that Earn customers such as myself
14 might get just pennies on the dollar, meaning that the
15 largest creditor group might oppose the plan and that it
16 could resolve in a contested plan with a huge amount of
17 litigation. But fortunately we were able to resolve these
18 issues (indiscernible) and here we have before the Court a
19 plan that has overwhelming support from all the major
20 creditor classes.

21 In mediation we got what I believe is rough
22 justice for Earn subject to the limit of bankruptcy code.
23 To get there, several of us put our own interests aside for
24 the greater good. It was a huge success and I would like to
25 thank the UCC and the debtors for organizing that mediation

1 and for all the work they have done to get us --

2 THE COURT: And I want to thank Judge Wiles.

3 MR. HERRMANN: Judge Wiles was wonderful, yes. I
4 also would like to thank him as well. Thank you, Your
5 Honor.

6 We also -- yeah, so now that the votes are in, I
7 think it's crystal clear a vast, vast majority of creditors
8 support the treatment in the plan and support exiting
9 Chapter 11 and moving on with our lives. The plan doesn't
10 everyone happy. It has some frustrating elements, some
11 things that have to do with the Bankruptcy Code, like
12 dollarizing claims on the petition date, which is pretty
13 terrible in a crypto context where prices keep rising.

14 But at the end of the day considering the
15 Bankruptcy Code, it's roughly equitable and it contained
16 shared sacrifice, which is what Earn customers fought for
17 and what's fair.

18 There are of course outstanding little issues with
19 the plan apart from the financial treatment of creditors.
20 And I think the Court should consider a vote for the plan by
21 creditors is really a vote for economic treatment in the
22 plan.

23 There are some well-thought-out objections from
24 other parties that have been raised here which I won't
25 repeat except to say that I believe the Court should

1 seriously consider those objections and make the plan even
2 better while preserving the treatment we all agreed to. But
3 at the end of the day regardless of which changes are or are
4 not made, the Court should move forward with confirmation of
5 this plan and get us out of Chapter 11. Thank you very
6 much.

7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Herrmann. All right.
8 We're going to take a break for ten minutes.

9 Mr. Phillips is up next. Mr. Phillips had filed
10 slides as ECF3913 if you are able to tee those up. Okay?
11 Ten-minute break. Thank you.

12 (Recess)

13 CLERK: All rise.

14 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right, we are
15 back in session. We're going to begin with the closing
16 argument of Mr. Phillips. Mr. Phillips?

17 MR. PHILILPS: Thank you, Your Honor. I
18 appreciate the Court hearing me. So presenting from a PDF
19 is a little hard, but Deanna, if you could advance it to at
20 least the title page?

21 THE COURT: Mr. Lopez is one of the people in the
22 courtroom who is from Kirkland who is operating the slides.
23 Okay? But we are on to your first page.

24 MR. PHILILPS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Just so everybody is clear, this is

1 ECF 3913. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips.

2 MR. PHILILPS: Thank you. I appreciate the Court
3 hearing me out on my limited objection. And I want to make
4 clear that I don't stand in the way of plan confirmation. I
5 just would like to see it modified to be more favorable to
6 creditors.

7 Mr. Lopez, if you could go to the next slide,
8 please. So since the disclosure statement order was issued
9 in late August, there have been a number of changes to the
10 plan that when taken individually weren't that bad, but when
11 taken together did materially change the plan in an
12 essential way that they were adverse to creditors.

13 The plan was advertised as a NewCo that was
14 creditor-owned and creditor-controlled. Yet when the
15 appointments were made to the NewCo board, there were no
16 creditors appointed to the board other than the self-
17 appointed UCC members. And also on the Litigation Oversight
18 Committee, the only creditors who were appointed were the ad
19 hocs and from the UCC itself. One of those appointments has
20 now been reversed.

21 Importantly, the creditor controlled the board was
22 reduced in the original plan from a ratio of two-and-a-half
23 creditors appointees to Fahrenheit appointees. So only two
24 creditor appointees to one. We saw the resignation of the
25 lead investor, Michael Harrington of Fahrenheit from the

1 NewCo board and we saw this critical decrease in the
2 investment upfront of support for the NewCo from \$50 million
3 to \$33 million, which was detrimental to the NewCo equity
4 value.

5 We saw the stripping of the Litigation Oversight
6 Committee of key oversight (indiscernible). I understand
7 potentially that this has been reserved in Plan Supplement 8
8 that was filed I guess today. Not sure. I haven't been
9 able to read everything. I did not take the pre-law school
10 course in speed reading, so I have yet to catch up on all of
11 the documents. And that the plan administrator under the
12 plan administration agreement I believe is Chris Ferrara,
13 who -- and he is given oversight over the Employee Incentive
14 Program, or the Emergence Incentive Program depending on
15 which title you want to use. But he is also a 25 percent
16 beneficiary of the entire pool. So the changes that have
17 been made have been consistently to the detriment of the
18 creditor. Next slide, please.

19 So there's a number of conflicted board
20 appointments. And I think you heard Mr. Colodny say today
21 that essentially they wanted all the appointments to these
22 committees to be people that they knew, i.e. the
23 professionals and were not necessarily in the best interest
24 of creditors. They wanted people that were ex-employees of
25 Weinberg, current employees of Perella Weinberg even if, you

1 know, one of those current employees has multiple
2 outstanding tax judgements and liens and doesn't even
3 qualify as an independent director because of his current
4 employment with Perella Weinberg and on the Celsius matter.

5 We have partners of White & Case being appointed
6 to the Litigation Oversight Committee to ensure that they
7 can be hired. And again you have Mr. Ferrara overseeing a
8 plan that he is a 25 percent beneficiary of.

9 The only really conflict people that were
10 appointed were, you know, thanks to the ad hoc the Earn Ad
11 Hoc and the Loans Ad Hoc where we did have three court-
12 appointed observers. And I left off Simon Dixon's name
13 here. Joe Lehrfeld, and Simon Dixon appointed. And on the
14 Litigation Oversight Committee, David Adler and Cam Crews.
15 Next slide, please.

16 So what's important here is how these actions were
17 really viewed by creditors. As opposed to having a
18 creditor-controlled company, we didn't get that. No
19 unconflicted creditors on the board (indiscernible). And
20 this was really important. I can't tell you how detrimental
21 that was to the view of creditors of the NewCo equity. And
22 it's not just me. I've talked to multiple creditors who saw
23 that.

24 So instead of getting actual board members, we got
25 pacifiers. We got a pacifier of three board observers who

1 were appointed with the consent of the Earn Ad Hoc who have
2 no actual power. Yes, they are in the meeting, yes, they
3 can get the materials. But what was failed to be mentioned
4 by either Colodny or Ms. Kuhns is that they can be thrown
5 out of any board meeting by a simple majority vote of the
6 board, which is the same vote that it would take the board
7 to take any action that they want, input, or observation
8 from the board observers.

9 If was actually the appointment of one of these
10 board observers, Simon Dixon, that led to the resignation of
11 Michael Harrington from the board, which again, was a
12 negative event that's going to be viewed negatively not just
13 by creditors, but by the market as a whole.

14 And finally, that reducing the upfront investment
15 from \$50 million to \$33 million, even if the overall
16 investment is maintained at \$50 million, reduces the
17 available price support upon listing of the stock and thus
18 does not give it the same kind of stability and leads to
19 more likely a (indiscernible) stock. And with the
20 cumulative event of these things was bad from the creditor's
21 standpoint.

22 Also I need to point out that Mr. Colodny's
23 closing slide was that wouldn't have actually filed my
24 objection had I been appointed to the board. It's not just
25 that I hadn't been appointed. If they appointed creditors

1 to the board, I wouldn't have filed it. And that's the
2 whole point here is that if creditors had been appointed to
3 the board, three out of four of these factors -- the no
4 creditors on the board, the pacifier board observers, and
5 the Michael Harrington resignation from the board -- they
6 would not have occurred had creditors been appointed to the
7 board by the committee under the advice of Perella and White
8 & Case. Next slide, please.

9 So what happened after this occurred? Creditors
10 ran from actually taking equity. So the Committee and
11 Debtors have made a big point that, well, there's lesser
12 reasons that people would have chosen liquid crypto. True.
13 There are multiple reasons that people want liquid crypt.
14 And that's another reason that they should want the orderly
15 winddown because they get more liquid crypto from the
16 orderly winddown. But more importantly what they haven't
17 addressed, and it's borne out by the facts, is that nobody
18 wants the NewCo equity even at a significantly-reduced price
19 of 30 percent. There's only \$178 million of claims which
20 represent 14 percent of the people that toggle but it
21 represents only seven percent of the people that were
22 eligible to actually toggle to buying more equity at a
23 substantial discount of 30 percent from the Debtor's claimed
24 value of the equity (indiscernible). So it's clear that
25 that matters (indiscernible).

1 Now I'm going to go and look at what happened here
2 with the valuation. Go to the next slide, please.

3 We understand based on Mr. Keilty's testimony that
4 an inappropriate process was used. If you go back to the
5 days of internet companies and the dot-com era being
6 valued, people used all sorts of strange metrics like number
7 of unique clicks, eyeballs. And those were essentially
8 proxies for revenue or future revenue. The same mistake was
9 made here by Mr. Keilty and his team of scientists. They
10 used a revenue proxy which was based on enterprise value
11 (indiscernible) bitcoin mining compute (indiscernible). And
12 that's basically a discredited valuation technique. They
13 also used a discounted cashflow analysis and the forecasted
14 supply to the company was wildly inaccurate in its
15 predictions or even proven that way. The networks had a 450
16 hash rate as opposed to the 307 hash rate that was predicted
17 in the forecast. And all these things were predicated on
18 the May 31st valuation and circumstances have drastically
19 changed since May 31st. The company hasn't met its
20 operating plan, either.

21 There was no Holdco discount applied which is, you
22 know, a minor point. But part of that \$450 million that the
23 debtor claim shouldn't be discounted and is not applied on a
24 part-by-part basis, a Holdco discount is only applied at the
25 total company level because that's when you have that sum of

1 the parts that needs to be discounted. But part of that
2 \$450 million is actually needed to capitalize the mining
3 company, which is (indiscernible).

4 And then we finally had this first market test
5 that I've just talked about. And that clearly demonstrated
6 the overvaluation of the equity by at least 30 percent. The
7 discount would have to be more than 30 percent. Next slide,
8 please.

9 And so that leads us to the conclusion that the
10 orderly winddown is a superior recovery, distributes more
11 liquid crypto on the effective date. The Newco equity in
12 the baseline plan is way overvalued by a minimum of 30
13 percent. And one of the key differences is the orderly
14 winddown gives creditors what they want, which is a maximum
15 liquid crypto recovery by releasing that additional \$450
16 million in crypto that's being held back to secretly
17 capitalize essentially the mining business because that's
18 what (indiscernible) capitalize.

19 So in closing -- next slide, please -- get a
20 higher liquid crypto recovery using an orderly winddown and
21 that should be the fiduciary duty that is exercised by the
22 Debtor and Celsius. The Court should order a revaluation of
23 the two scenarios because they are way out of date given the
24 May 31st valuation date. And clearly the market test needs
25 to be included in that.

1 Professionals need to be held accountable here for
2 their actions. And they've certainly done a great job and
3 it's been a massive case. There have been a number of
4 things that they've done extremely well. But that doesn't
5 mean that everything should be excused and that there needs
6 to be a carveout from the exculpation or the actions
7 (indiscernible). And the (indiscernible) appointments of
8 the board and the Litigation Oversight Committee should be
9 reversed. Thank you so much for listening to me, Your
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Phillips. Thank you,
12 Mr. Phillips.

13 Next is Otis Davis. Mr. Davis?

14 MR. DAVIS: The only thing I would say we had a
15 big earthquake here this morning. We lost power. Power is
16 back. We had a few aftershocks. I just had one 20 minutes
17 ago. So if the Zoom goes out, I just want to let you know
18 ahead of time we lost power again.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Davis.

20 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
21 sincerely do not believe that the plan as it currently
22 exists is confirmable based on the disparate price of the
23 binding and court-approved 81 cents for cell token in
24 custody versus a (indiscernible) in Earn.

25 I want to talk about the binding custody

1 settlement agreement right now. I have 34,867 CEL tokens in
2 my custody account and 1.6 million CEL tokens in my Earn
3 account. The day the Debtors and the UCC agreed to a CEL
4 token custody settlement at Docket 2271 is the day all CEL
5 token holders tog 81 cents, which Your Honor ratified with a
6 signature which date is February 28th, 2023.

7 The custody settlement has a fixed the CEL token
8 price for custody holders at 81 cents regardless if they are
9 in custody or Earn. Because of this and this along, all CEL
10 token holders are entitled to get the petition date price of
11 81 cents as their valuation. The UCC, this Court, and the
12 Debtors are legally estopped from asserting or attempting to
13 undo this so-ordered and court-approved valuation of the CEL
14 token. Anything else is contrary to the rule of law, not
15 equitable, and is not supported by any facts, claims, or
16 arguments. As an aside, I would also note that the Custody
17 Ad Hoc Group did not file to support confirmation of the
18 plan.

19 Moving on to the Debtor's \$2 billion claim against
20 FTX et al., the Court cannot ignore the fact that the
21 Debtors have officially filed a \$2 billion claim FTX
22 bankruptcy against Alameda Research and FTX, et al. for
23 attaching Celsius with CEL token. In that filing, the
24 Debtors themselves are claiming that CEL token is valued at
25 \$2.88. This Court cannot ignore those legally-sworn

1 assertion in another pending case in this bankruptcy court.
2 The Debtors and their lawyers are ordering on perjury to
3 claim one value in the FTX case and are pushing another in
4 this matter. Self-serving and perjurious would be
5 (indiscernible) for their conduct which would not be
6 unnoticed. The Debtors arrived at the \$2.88 value by taking
7 the total number of CEL tokens in circulation which as 693
8 million, and multiplying by \$2.88 which gives you the \$2
9 billion claim number the Debtors filed in the FTX
10 bankruptcy. Your Honor, this \$2 billion claim the Debtors
11 filed in the FTX bankruptcy against FTX et al. undercuts
12 their entire argument in this matter and should subject
13 counsel to sanctions and costs. The basis of the \$2 billion
14 claim is damaging related to CEL token yet it's embarrassing
15 to see the UCC and the Debtors are actively trying to
16 devalue the very asset that can bring so much recovery to
17 all creditors. The \$2 billion claim against FTX will remain
18 with the estate post-confirmation but cutting recovery for
19 CEL token creditors will equate to an inequitable
20 distribution of value of this claim which relies 100 percent
21 of the value of CEL token. This cannot and will not be
22 allowed.

23 Moving on to Max Galka report and the supplemental
24 declaration. Objectively speaking, the Max Galka report
25 should be thrown out on its face. Mr. Galka's company,

1 Elementus, took over \$200,000 from Alameda Research who the
2 Debtors are currently suing as part of the aforementioned \$2
3 billion claim. Does that count into this amount? Clearly
4 yes. Mr. Galka and his company also have another vested
5 interest in devaluating Celsius' claims. One of the three
6 board members of Elementus, Vladimir Jelisavcic, who founded
7 and is currently the CEO of Cherokee Acquisition, has been
8 purchasing hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of claims
9 in this case assisted by the devaluation efforts of
10 Elementus and Galka. Mr. Galka and his reports are the
11 definition of a conflict of interest and anything that he
12 said should have no bearing on the value of CEL token. And
13 investigations should be commenced against the company and
14 the law and the law firm who pushed Galka on this Court. A
15 refund should also be given to the estate for the waste of -
16 - for the \$1,000 an hour paid to Galka and Elementus.

17 Moving on to speculative versus utility value for
18 cryptocurrencies. Your Honor, cryptocurrency valuation is a
19 complex amalgamation of various factors with utility value
20 being just one of the components. The digital currency
21 ecosystem showcases a plethora of coins and tokens, the
22 majority of which by traditional standards lack tangible,
23 intrinsic value. Despite this, many have achieved
24 significant market capitalizations and have become
25 cornerstones of the cryptocurrency world. Bitcoin is

1 commonly dubbed the gold standard of cryptocurrency of the
2 cryptocurrency world. Bitcoin stands as a testament to the
3 limited role of intrinsic value and digital currency
4 valuation. Bitcoin by its very design has no utility,
5 doesn't possess intrinsic value in the traditional sense,
6 yet it has established itself as a store of value to most
7 individuals in the crypto space and remains the most
8 dominant and valued cryptocurrency at 34,500 for Bitcoin,
9 underscoring the fact that forces beyond intrinsic or
10 utility value play an essential role in determining its
11 worth. It all comes down to speculation. Mime coins often
12 borne out of Internet trends and jokes further emphasizes
13 the point. Despite lacking any inherent utility or
14 intrinsic value, those mime coins like Dogecoin have
15 astounding market capitalization. Their value is largely
16 driven by community support, speculation, and market
17 sentiment rather than any tangible utility.

18 To argue that CEL token or any cryptocurrency for
19 that matter is valueless based on its perceived lack of
20 intrinsic value or utility is an illogical and legally-
21 flawed premise. The cryptocurrency market operates on
22 principals that often diverge from traditional financial
23 markets. Factors such as community trust, speculative
24 interest, and market sentiment often wield more insurance
25 than intrinsic value or utility.

1 Furthermore, the intrinsic value argument fails to
2 consider the potential future application of a token.
3 Before Celsius filed the Chapter 11, we were all under the
4 impression Celsius would reopen and CEL token would be
5 playing the exact same role as it always has. After the
6 Chapter 22 filing, that all changed. Yet just because a
7 token's utility value might be low or even nonexistent at a
8 particular point doesn't negate its potential future utility
9 or the speculative value attributed to it by the market.
10 And that is what matters. Asserting that the CEL token or
11 any cryptocurrency has no value based on a perceived lack of
12 intrinsic value is not just an oversimplification, but is
13 misleading and just plain wrong.

14 The cryptocurrency landscape replete with examples
15 like Bitcoin and various meme coins underly the fact that
16 intrinsic value is just one of the myriad of factors
17 influencing cryptocurrency valuation. The argument that CEL
18 token has or has no value based on this sole criterion lacks
19 depth and fails to capture the broader dynamics at play in
20 the digital currency world.

21 The following data from coin market
22 (indiscernible) showcase the expansive trading volume for
23 CEL token since its inception. Total lifetime CEL token
24 value traded, \$9.55 billion. Total CEL token value traded
25 up to petition date, \$6.6 billion. Total CEL token value

1 traded post-petition, \$2.6 billion. Non-dislocated market
2 data for total CEL token value traded, \$5.9 billion.

3 The concerns raised about potential market
4 manipulation and insider trading exist. However, the scope
5 and breadth of CEL trading activity totaling over \$9.55
6 billion over five years created the context within one must
7 examine these concerns. With a CEL token average high of
8 one-thousand-six-nine cents and an average low of one-
9 thousand-fifty-six cents. Principal here is the sheer scale
10 of this transaction. The clear manipulation or undue
11 influence on CEL token's value, there would need to be
12 evidence suggested by a significant portion the \$9.55
13 billion was controlled or influenced by insiders. Without
14 such evidence, further manipulation on such an expansive
15 market is akin to alleging that a single cup of water could
16 influence the water levels of the Hudson River. In light of
17 the presented metrics, it is valued for the Court to
18 differentiate between speculative claims and concrete
19 evidence. To date, no conclusive evidence has been provided
20 that indicates that a sizeable portion, let alone the
21 majority of the CEL token trading activity was manipulated
22 by insiders. As such, more allegations without substantial
23 truth cannot undermine the credibility and value of such a
24 broadly-traded token.

25 The litigation environment is replete with

1 accusations and charges. However, the cornerstone of
2 justice lies grounded in decisions and verifiable facts. As
3 the court ventures into determining the true value of the
4 CEL token, it is essential to weigh the methods and reports
5 grounded in factual data against speculative and unverified
6 claims. In the absence of evidence satisfying the burden of
7 proof regarding market manipulation, the Court must anchor
8 its judgment in objective data and methodologies presented
9 ensuring that justice both is fair and evidence-based.

10 Lastly, one matter which calls into question the
11 integrity of this entire matter is the alleged placement and
12 (indiscernible) immediately and formerly of White & Case,
13 the UCC's counsel --

14 THE COURT: That's it, Mr. Davis.

15 MR. DAVIS: (indiscernible).

16 THE COURT: Mr. Davis, that's it. We're done.

17 You filed that frivolous motion. I denied your motion. So
18 that's the end of the subject. Your time is up.

19 Mr. Kirsanov, you are next.

20 MR. KIRSANOV: Good afternoon, Your Honor, and
21 thank you for allowing me to speak today in opposition to
22 confirmation of the plan. As it stands, I do not believe
23 the plan is confirmable. And I will be addressing my
24 concerns today.

25 There are some very serious concerns I have which

1 include ballot integrity, which is alarming. But there have
2 been such contradictions to what has been clearly spawn into
3 the balloting.

4 Could I have my first slide please come up?

5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kirsanov -- 3918 is
6 Mr. Kirsanov.

7 MR. KIRSANOV: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. It will be up on the
9 screen in a second. Are you able to observe what we put up?

10 MR. KIRSANOV: Yes, Your Honor. I see it.

11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr.
12 Kirsanov. If you ask Mr. Lopez to switch pages, he'll do
13 that for you. Okay?

14 MR. KIRSANOV: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. I
15 would like to begin by addressing my journey with the issues
16 I have had with Celsius where I could not transfer funds
17 from my custody account as early as April of 2022 before the
18 freeze. I was locked into this bankruptcy against my will
19 with my CEL token. I was not even able to transfer my
20 initial full eligible custody settlement until the Debtor's
21 custody wallet shortfall issue was resolved weeks later with
22 a half a million CEL token deposit to their custody wallet.

23 My calls for disbursement in an alternative
24 cryptocurrency as called for in settlement were met with
25 silence. And numerous withdrawal attempts were cancelled

1 until the shortfall issue was resolved. Next slide, please.

2 As shown in the Blonstein declaration the assets
3 for CEL did not meet the liability ahead of the freeze.

4 Next slide, please.

5 Even expert witness Mr. Galka was not sure why I
6 could not withdraw my funds, and the error message did not
7 make sense. Next slide, please.

8 Mr. Galka had also indicated the price of CEL did
9 exceed several dollars following the bankruptcy. Next
10 slide, please.

11 I would like to talk about Mr. Max Galka's
12 support. When asking Mr. Galka when the custody wallet was
13 created, he had indicated he did not know. However, his
14 sworn report had indicated the custody wallet was created in
15 April of 2022. Mr. Galka had also testified he did not rely
16 on the exhibits when they were presented to him for his
17 report. Your Honor, you had mentioned that you were at a
18 complete loss when it came to this.

19 Mr. Galka had testified that his company obtained
20 Series A funding from Alameda Research. In the transcript I
21 submitted to the Court with regard to the criminal case of
22 the United States v. Sam Bankman-Fried, Ms. Ellison, the CEO
23 of Alameda Research, indicated in her notes discussing
24 selling billions of dollars' worth of Bitcoin if it went
25 above \$20,000 with Mr. Bankman-Fried, the CEO of FTX. The

1 price of Bitcoin at Celsius bankruptcy filing was \$19,880.
2 Your Honor, I find this extremely concerning. Next slide,
3 please.

4 I would also like to talk about how the bulk of
5 the ballot has been misrepresented. In this memorandum of
6 law by the Debtors, it is said that I had voted in favor of
7 the plan and that I am not a dissenting member. This is
8 misrepresentation of my vote and attempting to be weaponized
9 against my objection. Next slide, please.

10 Your Honor, in these final tabulation results in
11 the custody class, there are nine dissenting CEL token
12 holders with a monetary majority of -- excuse me --
13 \$197,912. It is unclear why the already-settled custody
14 class has a 25 cent CEL valuation here, or really any other
15 class as there has been no reading of valuation aside from
16 petition date values. Next slide, please.

17 Your Honor, in this slide from the Schedule F, my
18 custody assets reflect having \$749,200 CEL tokens in my
19 custody account. And at 25 cents of valuation, my voting
20 weight was \$187,300 in the custody class. This is \$600
21 below the rejections in the tabulation. Next slide, please.

22 In studying the top ten CEL holders in custody,
23 the next nine CEL custody holders; monetary weight could not
24 account for my voting weight. How could I have voted to
25 accept the plan when the balloting shows otherwise? Next

1 slide, please.

2 Your Honor, here are the detailed Schedule F
3 holders. What I found particularly interesting is CEL
4 holder number five's custody account was missing
5 (indiscernible) withdrawal Schedule D. However, it is found
6 in the statement of financial affairs. That amount was
7 almost 54,000 CEL tokens. The number five reached out to
8 me. And it was Mr. Otis Davis who did confirm indeed he had
9 CEL in custody and has it even today.

10 Not even the next top ten CEL holders in custody
11 could amount for my voting weight in the custody class.
12 From my understanding, he had about a 15 percent voting
13 turnout or 20 percent. To exceed my monetary voting weight,
14 the next 14 CEL token creditors in monetary value after me
15 would all have needed to vote no to pass my monetary value.
16 Next slide, please.

17 Your Honor, the CEO, CRO, and CFO, Mr. Ferraro,
18 was unaware that the CEL token holders in the custody class
19 voted to reject the CEL token settlement and they did reject
20 it in a monetary majority. Next slide, please.

21 Your Honor, my thoughts on the balloting are
22 straightforward. There has been misrepresentation. I do
23 not believe anybody else in the custody class could have
24 determined what their vote was had it not been for my
25 monetary majority rejecting as shown in the balloting. My

1 voting results were refused to be shared with me even though
2 they were listed as an exhibit.

3 Creditors deserve to know what their precise
4 voting results were. I believe Bankruptcy Code 1144 would
5 apply to this matter. Next slide, please.

6 Your Honor, in my interactions with the UCC and
7 White & Case, knowing about my situation with my CEL in
8 custody since February, they have not acted in my fiduciary
9 interest. I was never invited to talks as the largest CEL
10 token holder in custody and they have gone against my best
11 interest by seeking to devalue my assets by over \$400,000 of
12 petition date value.

13 I ask that you do not grant any releases from
14 answering why they have failed to act as a fiduciary to a
15 creditor such as myself.

16 I would also like to note that the Custody Ad Hoc
17 Group did not appear to make closing remarks in support of
18 the plan today. The Debtor's slide, however, indicates
19 affirmative support. The Custody Ad Hoc Group has rejected
20 my numerous requests for help even though -- even to become
21 a formal member for representation even though I have
22 financially contributed to their legal fight. Next slide,
23 please.

24 Your Honor, pursuant to the custody settlement, it
25 is clearly written that I was able to reject the plan of my

1 CEL token assets in the custody class as I was prevented
2 from withdrawing my pure custody assets as a result of
3 having an outstanding loan. The Debtor's counsel confirmed
4 this to me in dialogue. Pursuant to the Section 1125 of the
5 Bankruptcy Code, the requirement is clear to have adequate
6 information to make an informed judgement on the balloting.

7 I was not provided such clarity. And now my vote
8 is being misrepresented. My 6A ballot included my
9 (indiscernible) pure custody assets in dollarized value.
10 Next slide, please.

11 Your Honor, on the 27th of September after
12 balloting, there was a language change that went into the
13 plan indicating the deactivation date price will now be 25
14 cents for CEL token. At this price, neither the Debtor, the
15 UCC, or anyone can guarantee this price as higher or lower
16 than market values. After bankruptcy, the price of CEL
17 token exceeded several dollars. Even during this
18 confirmation hearing, the price exceeded 25 cents. Why is
19 anything less than market values or petition date values
20 attempting to be forced on me? This was an adverse change
21 and I interpret this violated Code 1127 as I am a dissenting
22 member. Next slide, please.

23 Your Honor, on this accountholder claims
24 calculation, it indicates CEL token in custody is exempt
25 from the 25 cent valuation, yet it was applied to the

1 custody class included in the monetary calculation in the
2 ballot. My liquidation value and my CEL token that is
3 classified as pure custody is 100 percent. That's 81 cents.
4 Next slide, please.

5 Your Honor, an example of another asset that
6 Celsius -- I will present to you the MANA ask. The petition
7 day value of MANA was 80 cents. The current price is
8 hovering around 30 to 35 cents. The Debtor must return
9 equal or greater value in accordance to the liquidation
10 value asset on deactivation pricing as well. Next slide,
11 please.

12 In Hawaii, the first 90 days of distribution on
13 the schedule indicates only cash and perhaps Bitcoin and
14 Ethereum would be distributed. All other asset account
15 types use petition-date values. How is it fair and
16 equitable for residents of Hawaii that an asset may not meet
17 its liquidation value?

18 THE COURT: Are you in Hawaii?

19 MR. KIRSANOV: I have a home in Hawaii, a place I
20 call home in Hawaii, but I am presently not in Hawaii, sir.

21 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to -- finish up.
22 You've already run out of time. But you're not one of the
23 people in Hawaii that's affected by this provision.

24 MR. KIRSANOV: Well, I would be affected.

25 THE COURT: You're not affected by it, Mr.

1 Kirsanov. Finish up.

2 MR. KIRSANOV: Next slide, please. Your Honor,
3 there are some instances where I have demonstrated where
4 best interests are not met in Hawaii in regards to the
5 activation date pricing. The activation date pricing also
6 affects everybody else. And with around a 20 percent voting
7 turnout, it is fair to say many people have moved on and
8 simply will receive a deactivation day dollarized check.
9 This must also meet best interests. And I do not believe
10 this meets best interest, and it violates Section 1129.
11 Next slide, please.

12 This is my last slide. It is the creditor's right
13 to pursue when they want to receive their assets. This is
14 not a decision that the Debtor makes. This includes cashing
15 the deactivation date check. All methods of distribution
16 must meet best interest. The current plan proposes to give
17 me 30 cents on the dollar when my liquidation value for my
18 pure custody CEL is 100 percent, which is 81 cents on the
19 dollar. I want to reserve all my rights with regards to
20 these concerns.

21 Your Honor, I want to thank you for allowing me to
22 make my closing arguments today. This has been an
23 incredibly difficult time to represent myself on short
24 notice after I interpreted an adverse change in the ballot.
25 I immediately became involved in the process the next day

1 when I interpreted them as such.

2 English is not my first language, and I do
3 apologize to the Court for any mistakes I've made in this
4 process. I have tried to communicate with the Court and
5 fellow creditors as best as I could.

6 I ask that you not confirm this plan, as there are
7 some very serious concerns that remain to be addressed.
8 Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kirsanov.
10 Artur Abreu. Mr. Abreu?

11 MR. ABREU: Can you hear me?

12 THE COURT: Yes, I can.

13 MR. ABREU: I turned on my camera. I'm not sure
14 if it's being seen. You never know with AI.

15 THE COURT: There you go.

16 MR. ABREU: Okay.

17 THE COURT: We can see you.

18 MR. ABREU: Yeah. I'm just going to give a
19 statement. It's about CEL.

20 Your Honor, I come before this court as an
21 international creditor who onboarded several of my family
22 members to join Celsius network. At the time, I took
23 diligent steps in reading Celsius Network terms of service,
24 careful reviewed my loan agreements and took loans against
25 CEL, BTC and ETH, all of which I paid around the LUNA event,

1 which was May 12th. I even contemplated the possibility of
2 small holds in the balance sheet before the polls. However,
3 I don't think anyone had any idea or was prepared for the
4 level of misrepresentation and how basic fundamental
5 practice were not implemented in Celsius.

6 My involvement with Celsius Network CEL token
7 issue started during the polls via Twitter, or now known as
8 X, eventually leading to a massive following of
9 approximately 4,000 accounts in two profiles. A substantial
10 portion of this following centers around CEL tokens and
11 concerns related to the potential manipulation of CEL. I
12 would not be here before this court if Celsius Network had
13 not suspended CEL withdrawals. When Celsius paused
14 withdrawals, in my opinion, that effectually categorized
15 itself as a debt that needed to be repaired. In doing so,
16 they eliminated the opportunity for CEL token holders to
17 mitigate their losses and speculate on the reorganization.

18 I also find myself before Your Honor as I firmly
19 believe there are material omissions to distort several key
20 issues, some of which you identified, such as the omission
21 of a CEL price from the initial Galka expert report and the
22 lack of representation for CEL token holders in the
23 decision-making process.

24 During the 28 September hearing, when Your Honor
25 inquired about potential consequences, top price were set at

1 the petition. The debtors contended that it will dilute
2 creditors. However, this dilution and impact of CEL were
3 never clearly stated in court or during the ballot process.
4 Is it possible to estimate the potential dilution? I
5 believe so, and I did so by utilizing the data submitted by
6 Celsius to the court of their coin reports, set appropriate
7 market prices and then expected recovery and the expected
8 recovery indicated in the ballot. In conjunction with a CEL
9 price of 20 cents and accounting for the excluded parties,
10 the dilution I reach was \$1.98. This was my calculation. I
11 cannot reach assess the metrics of the (indiscernible) box
12 or the withdrawal settlements.

13 I did file a motion 3835. I do not know if I made
14 it correctly, but it was just to put this number to the
15 court to have an idea what sort of average impact of the
16 recovery we are talking to creditors. I also question if
17 there was ever attempt by the debtors to reach a settlement
18 concerning CEL. Your Honor has consistently emphasized the
19 importance of settlement in bankruptcy proceedings, but I
20 question whether this can apply when dealing with a class
21 that lacks proper representation, consists of only a handful
22 of pro se creditors. I recall the palpable disappointment
23 expressed by Mr. Santos Caceres here in court when the
24 debtors claimed to have attempted to settle with him and Mr.
25 Caceres stated only 1 cent was proposed to increase in his

1 recovery. No real discussion ever happened.

2 I believe if a real settlement effort had ever
3 been undertaken, the discussion would have centered on the
4 broader impact of CEL on the estate. Measures have been
5 explored such as capping the price based on the total CEL
6 token claims, allocating some of the litigation proceedings
7 from actions against insiders and other parties linked to
8 CEL manipulation or maybe even utilizing Celsius tax experts
9 to offset CEL compensations with the future taxes these
10 formal employees will pay. It's my belief that no sincere
11 settlement effort was made, and I trust Your Honor grasps a
12 similar point.

13 In regards to the CEL price, it initial was
14 offered at 20 cents, one of the few of the initial coin
15 offering price of the creation of the company. Subsequently
16 it was raised to 25 cents. However, the debtors' expert
17 witness report failed to provide a specific price and
18 instead a supplemental report was filed with a broad market
19 price ranged from zero cents to 35 cents. It is a margin of
20 error of 100 percent.

21 I underline the expert repeated acknowledgments of
22 the challenges posed by setting a price primarily due to
23 locking of 94 percent of the CEL supply waiting Celsius and
24 the associated volatility in bid ask spreads and abnormal
25 volume being traded. However, the experts on charts, on his

1 reports, Pages 37, the first report, Pages 37, 38,
2 inadvertently revealed that the price began to dislocate
3 days prior to the poll's announcements. But there was a
4 brief two-week window before June 10th where CEL price
5 remained unaffected where the volume was within the normal
6 range, CEL token from users were available for withdrawal
7 and there were indications of Celsius Network less than
8 ideal financial state.

9 I question why this period was not utilized to
10 establish a price unaffected by the highlighted conditions
11 of Mr. Galka's own report. On the October 3rd hearing,
12 Christopher Ferraro, and I now quote him from the
13 transcript, "It's hard for me to understand a token that is
14 represented and market has a utility token in which the
15 disclosed statements say that if the platform were ceased to
16 operate, it will become worthless. It's hard to me to
17 believe why it will go up." To this, Mr. Galka in his
18 report stated it could even be zero. But some questions
19 remain. Did the former CEO ever inquire in social media
20 where CEL token should be included in a recovery plan?

21 In my inquiry to Mr. Christopher Ferraro, he
22 asserted that CEL was never excluded from the discussion and
23 there was an iterative process leading to the current plan.
24 Prior to the emergence of the novel proposal, there was a
25 leaked plan known as Kelvin, which even the UCC acknowledged

1 on their Twitter account featuring CEL token as a prominent
2 component.

3 Furthermore, the UCC member Thomas DiFiore in a
4 town hall on October 27, 2022 reiterated the intention to
5 maximize the use of CEL and the CEL tokens held in treasury.
6 Not only he failed to disclose that his CEL holdings had
7 been liquidated through a loan, a fact that I believe only
8 came to light during emergency meeting here in court. Given
9 the circumstances as of October 27, 2022, there remain a
10 palpable interest in preserving CEL value, and it's
11 difficult to argue that it had ever a zero price as of the
12 petition dates.

13 In the days leading to the petition, Celsius
14 Network continued to pay hundreds of millions of blockchain
15 overcollateralized loans, indicating that they possess a
16 level of liquidity that could facilitate a reorganization
17 effort. While I'm uncertain if Mr. Galka was privy to this
18 information, it is evident that UCC and Christopher Ferraro
19 at the very least misrepresented their belief that CEL token
20 had no value following Celsius bankruptcy filing. Its more
21 severe interpretation, it appears that they might have
22 misled the court under oath.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Abreu, you have one more minute.

24 MR. ABREU: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. I
25 could draw further in the case of VGX, a token from Voyager

1 that engaged in similar business activities to Celsius that
2 faced bankruptcy and operating within the same timeline.
3 It's perplexing to me that Mr. Galka did not regard VGX as a
4 comparable coin to compare CEL and instead chooses a token
5 like HEX, which has no utility, has an annual inflation rate
6 or stacking and lacks an official company or headquarters.

7 On the examiner's report, Shoba Pillay, on Page
8 124, indicates that Celsius purchased 558 million worth of
9 CEL tokens, a figure curiously omitted from Galka's report.
10 The actual customer estate, according to Mr. Galka, amounted
11 to 128 million. This is a wide error that I don't think it
12 was probably there.

13 So I'm ending my statement. In closing, I assert
14 that all creditors, except for insiders, are the victims in
15 this case to varying degrees. I dare to say that CEL
16 holders are among the most severely affected, starting from
17 the inception of Celsius Network, where internal
18 communications reveal the deliberate action of the fact that
19 their ICO, initial coin offer, did not reach the 50 million
20 mark. And from Mr. Galka's cross-examination, the average
21 purchase of CEL token over the counter was around \$3.72.

22 In the bankruptcy, the UCC in their social
23 platforms kept alluding to making use of the value of CEL in
24 the treasury until the end of October, and I believe only in
25 2022 was the 20 cents mentioned. Celsius removed the

1 ability for certain creditors to sell and prevent further
2 losses. I hope that I could at least contribute to properly
3 representing most of the issues that CEL token holders, and
4 hopefully this leaves some doubts to the judge.

5 I just want to finish to add to the fact that the
6 diluted impact looked small according to my calculations,
7 and that the current appreciation in the case of BTC and
8 other cryptos today has reached a two-year high which should
9 increase the average recovery by a few points, making it
10 very easy for the judge, if you so choose, to force the
11 petition price of CEL, that you will not affect the average
12 recovery that was highlighted for all voters in the ballot.

13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr.
14 Abreu.

15 MR. ABREU: Thank you for giving --

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 MR. ABREU: Thank you for giving me chance to
18 speak.

19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bronge?

20 MR. BRONGE: Hello. Can you hear me?

21 THE COURT: Yes, I can.

22 MR. BRONGE: Yes. Good afternoon, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Are you able to turn the camera on?

24 MR. BRONGE: I'm not able to turn the camera on,
25 I'm afraid. I hope that is all right.

1 THE COURT: Go ahead.

2 MR. BRONGE: Yeah. So first, I would like to say
3 that I have not accepted the plan, nor the class claim
4 settlement. And I have chosen here not to delve into the
5 detailed response to the debtors' presentation, as I have
6 meticulously and exhaustively addressed all their points in
7 my last filing on the docket, 3908. So I would hope they
8 actually will read this and understand my position.

9 Instead, I wish to draw your attention to the
10 three fundamental arguments that underscore the essence of
11 my case and that I have detailed in this filing at Docket
12 3908. First and foremost is the issue of the legal
13 ownership title. The ownership title on my bitcoin
14 collateral for the loan 31904 should not be a point of
15 contention. It is a legal fact, explicitly and
16 unambiguously stated in the governing agreements. I am the
17 holder of the legal title of the collateral as per the terms
18 of a binding agreement. I urge the court to positively
19 recognize and declare this fact. Challenging the legal
20 ownership title in this case is to undermine the foundation
21 of the legal system, the sanctity of contracts and the
22 rights of individuals to their property. Furthermore, more
23 in the view of my arguments and all the supporting evidence
24 that I have presented in Docket 3908, it's clear that the
25 court should also declare the same for my other three loans.

1 Secondly, I will bring your attention to the
2 unfair valuation of the collateral under the proposed plan.
3 The loan agreements in question explicitly state that the
4 conversion between digital assets and fiat currency shall be
5 done at market prices. The proposed plan deviation from
6 this clause is not just a breach of contracts, it's a
7 betrayal of the trust that should exist between a debtor and
8 a creditor. The proposed mix of petition and market prices
9 is very likely to result in additional loss of bitcoin
10 collateral for me. I implore the court to uphold the
11 integrity of the agreement made in good faith and order that
12 market prices will be utilized for all valuations and
13 conversions of collateral as per the terms set forth in the
14 loan agreement.

15 Thirdly, although I have myself substantial Earn
16 claims, I want to address the subordination of Earn
17 accounts. Many regulatory bodies have clearly classified
18 these accounts as securities and investment contracts
19 subject to specific rules and regulations. In my
20 questioning of Mr. Campagna, he also agreed that in a
21 Chapter 7 dissolution, I would get a better recovery as Earn
22 would be secured and subordinated.

23 Thirdly -- sorry. I would request that the court
24 rectify this injustice and ensure that the collateral claims
25 are rightfully prioritized in distribution, regardless of

1 the plan being confirmed or not.

2 So I additionally would like to address the case
3 law that the debtor had in their presentation today. It's
4 Secure Leverage Group v. Bodenstein that they use as support
5 for their claim that the collateral should be debtors'
6 property. My analysis of this case is that the debtor here
7 was kind of a trading broker firm, facilitating or
8 performing trades for customers and for customers' benefit.
9 The context is therefore very different to a straight
10 collateral loan agreement, where customers are not trading,
11 or where any trading done are not for the customer benefit.

12 In the loan agreement, customer provides funds as
13 security for a loan and pays an interest on that loan. Any
14 agreed use of the collateral is purely for the lender
15 benefit and risk, and this is stated in the loan agreement
16 and in the risk disclosure. Therefore, the context of that
17 case has little or no relevance to the loan agreements I
18 have with the debtor.

19 Your Honor, finally I would like to say it become
20 obvious in my last filing that the debtor and its counsel
21 have employed deceptive behavior and systematic mis-
22 referencing in their responses to my concerns. Thereby,
23 they are obfuscating the correct interpretation of
24 agreements, clauses and paragraphs in order to support their
25 own incorrect narrative. Examples of this are the omission

1 of the last paragraph of Clause 4B in Version 5 of the
2 general terms of service, the repeated and consistent
3 references to versions of agreements that are not valid for
4 my loans, and also renaming of claims and clauses.

5 Briefly, I would like to address what Mr. Koenig
6 said in his address this morning. I do not concede that
7 Number 9 version of the loan agreement transfers title. It
8 is at best ambiguous. I would also like to address his
9 statement that the text he presented on his Slide Number 20
10 transfers title. That identical text is by Your Honor
11 yourself said not to transfer title in the Earn ruling. You
12 can see that on Page 38 and 39.

13 So the intentionality has become clear when
14 considering that the debtors' efforts to exclude from
15 evidence Pages 1 to 13 of the Mashinsky declaration in
16 Docket 393. This particular piece outlines the validity
17 periods of various agreements and the debtor continuously
18 misrepresent which versions is valid. So deliberate
19 distortions of fact and manipulating references has created
20 ambiguity in my case where none exists, and it casts a
21 shadow over the integrity of this case. These behavior do
22 not only undermine the trust in the courtroom, but also
23 strikes at the very heart of justice. I implore Your Honor
24 to address this misconduct, to restore transparency and
25 trust that should be the bedrock of the legal system.

1 In conclusion, Your Honor, I seek the rightful
2 resolution on my case by the court declaring the collateral
3 my legal property, not part of the debtors' estate, but
4 encumbered until loan agreement is fulfilled, by using
5 market prices for any valuation of collateral and by
6 subordinating Earn accounts in any distribution.

7 I also request the court to put a stop to the
8 machinations and misbehavior of the debtor and its counsel
9 and to reaffirm the principles upon which this legal system
10 should rest: fairness, justice and the upholding of
11 contractual obligations. I sincerely hope Your Honor will
12 impartially weigh the evidence, uphold the law and deliver a
13 verdict that reflects the essence of justice. Thank you for
14 listening.

15 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Bronge.
16 David Schneider is next.

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: Can you hear me, Your Honor?

18 THE COURT: Yes, I can.

19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you. The first thing
20 is --

21 THE COURT: Are you able to turn a camera on?

22 MR. SCHNEIDER: No, sir. I'm using my phone.

23 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Schneider.

24 MR. SCHNEIDER: The first thing I'd like to
25 mention is that I fully support Mr. Phillips's objections

1 and arguments and his conclusions that he has come to.
2 Okay. So starting off basically, the heart of my objections
3 and my -- against the plan is the plan is illegal. The
4 illegality of the plan. Essentially, the contract doesn't
5 allow for it. It's unconstitutional, and common law doesn't
6 permit it either. And I'll go through it one by one with
7 you. And all this is laid out in my original, my objection
8 to plan confirmation, Document Number 3547, and then my
9 proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and additional
10 briefing, which was just entered into the document this
11 afternoon, just shortly before the court hearing started,
12 but which was filed on time --

13 THE COURT: Mr. Schneider, anything you filed
14 today is too late. I'm sorry. We've passed the deadline.
15 If you filed something today, it's not going to be
16 considered.

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: No. I filed it Friday on time.
18 It was filed on time, and I filed a file Friday, but it
19 wasn't entered onto the docket until this afternoon.

20 THE COURT: All right. If it was filed on Friday,
21 it will be considered, Mr. Schneider. Okay. The fact that
22 it didn't go on the docket until today, if it was filed on
23 Friday, it will be considered.

24 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. All righty. So starting
25 off with basically -- I need to get my glasses on. The

1 debtor is legally obligated to pay creditors in digital
2 assets per the contract, and stating the relevant part in
3 the terms of use, it states, for the avoidance of doubt, any
4 repayment shall be in kind, i.e., in the same type of
5 eligible digital assets loaned by you.

6 Every version of the terms of use requires
7 repayment of creditor assets solely in the form of digital
8 assets. No version of the terms of use has provisions
9 allowing debtor to repay creditors their digital assets in
10 any form other than in digital assets. Excepting for any
11 bankruptcy laws mandating cash to be the form of creditor
12 repayment, debtors are legally obligated to repay creditors
13 in the form of digital assets. Article 1, Section 10,
14 Clause 1 of the United States Constitution forbids any law
15 impairing obligation of contracts.

16 The debtor is withholding a portion of creditors'
17 crypto recovery, i.e., \$450 million of cryptocurrency that
18 will seed the NewCo, and instead of repaying creditors their
19 due recovery in crypto by contract, debtor is repaying
20 creditors with NewCo common stock.

21 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Schneider.

22 MR. SCHNEIDER: No version of the terms -- no
23 version of the terms of use has provisions allowing debtors
24 to repay creditors in the form of common stock. The NewCo
25 plan provides no option for creditors to reject debtors'

1 debt-for-equity transactions. Debtors' debt-for-equity
2 Scheme requires consent by the creditors in order for
3 debtors to issue common stock in --

4 FEMALE: Jesus fucking Christ.

5 THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm sorry. Mr. Schneider
6 is speaking. Anybody else will be cut off if they
7 interrupt. Go ahead, Mr. Schneider.

8 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, sir. Debtors' debt-
9 for-equity scheme requires an agreement with option to
10 reject it. An option to reject debtors' debt-for-equity
11 scheme is required for creditor -- for creditor consent to
12 be proper. A transaction such as debtors' debt-for-equity
13 scheme that provides no option to reject it is improper,
14 unconscionable, being merely a one-sided contract of undue
15 influence without a valid meeting of the minds and it is
16 therefore unenforceable.

17 Debtors' debt-for-equity scheme violates
18 creditors' right to equal protection under the law because
19 the scheme gives no option for creditors to object or reject
20 the securities offering of common stock. Creditors are
21 forced into it basically. They have no option. If it's to
22 reject it, the only way they can reject it, such as myself,
23 is to vote no on the plan. But if the plan is confirmed,
24 then I have no option to reject the offer, the securities
25 offering to me to be paid in common stock rather than to be

1 paid in cryptocurrency as contract requires.

2 A presumption that a yes vote to accept the plan
3 is tacit acceptance of debtors' debt-for-equity scheme is
4 wholly flawed for, as shown above, debtor must receive
5 proper consent from creditors. While the presumption
6 protects the liberties of creditors who actually want the
7 neutral securities offering, it tramples on the liberties of
8 creditors who don't want the securities offering but would
9 rather receive their crypto as according to contract, that
10 they have an agreement with the debtors.

11 Debtors' debt-for-equity scheme unconstitutionally
12 forces creditors into -- okay, so that's concerning the
13 contract as far as the unconstitutionality of the debtors
14 forcing creditors to receive common stock in place of
15 cryptocurrency. Debtors' debt-for-equity scheme
16 unconstitutionally -- and the second part as far as the
17 unconstitutionality of it -- debtors' debt-for-equity scheme
18 unconstitutionally forces creditors into a profession.

19 The NewCo plan is unduly forcing creditors to
20 become venture capitalists and/or investors with their
21 securities offering of common stock. There is no
22 constitutional authority allowing for government to dictate
23 the profession a person must employ their labor at.
24 Creditors have property in their right to be free to choose
25 whatever profession is deemed proper to employ their labor.

1 Therefore, their offering of common stock to creditors
2 without proper consent is unconstitutional and it's forcing
3 debtors -- or, excuse me, creditors to enter into a
4 profession which is against their will and which is
5 unconstitutional. The government has no authority to force
6 an individual to choose any profession against their will,
7 and that's essentially what this is doing.

8 Then, on the third point of case law, case law
9 shows courts' power to convert debt to equity is limited to
10 debt that is at least partially secured or debt that was an
11 infusion of capital. And this is regarding the Southern
12 District of New York. The Southern District of New York
13 provides a textbook attempt of a recharacterization of a
14 debt to an equity position in a Chapter 11 case. In
15 regarding Live Primary, Southern District of New York, March
16 1, 2021, I believe this is your case, Judge, Your Honor. In
17 the Celsius bankruptcy, the plan proponent seeks to force
18 average customers to become equity holders -- okay.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Schneider, you have --

20 MR. SCHNEIDER: In that case --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Schneider, you have one more
22 minute.

23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. In that case, it was
24 referred to in Live Primary, which states that the plan
25 proponent seeks to force average customers to become equity

1 holders, which goes well beyond the purpose or authority of
2 this court, even given the broad reach of Section 105(a).
3 Recharacterization is appropriate where the circumstances
4 show that the debt transaction was actually an equity
5 contribution.

6 Eleven-factor test in Autostyle does not apply to
7 the fact of creditors' claims. So in almost any analysis,
8 forcing creditors' claims such as Schneider's into an equity
9 position is inappropriate at every level. After standing
10 through maybe several hundred Second Circuit New York
11 bankruptcy courts, it seems obvious that any power to
12 convert debt to equity is limited in cases where the debt is
13 at least a partially secured debt or it is obvious that the
14 debt was an infusion of capital to keep the company afloat.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for
16 your --

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: There was --

18 THE COURT: Thank you very much for your
19 statement. But your time is up, Mr. Schneider. Cathy Lau
20 is next.

21 MS. LAU: Yeah. Okay.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MS. LAU: Yes. Sorry, I'm not used to doing this.
24 Can my demonstratives be put up, please?

25 THE COURT: Sure. It's 3921.

1 MS. LAU: Will this count as part of my time?

2 Because I already don't have enough time.

3 THE COURT: No. Just take it easy, Ms. Lau.

4 MS. LAU: Okay. Okay.

5 THE COURT: It's on the screen now. Go ahead.

6 MS. LAU: I need it to be in where the votes are,
7 like things that people are saying, not my letter.

8 THE COURT: Page 2 of Exhibit A.

9 MS. LAU: No, the next one, please.

10 THE COURT: Three of nine, Exhibit A.

11 MS. LAU: Yes. Okay. Thank you. Okay. So I
12 actually read the whole disclosure statement, and what I
13 discovered spurred me to write and submit an objection, even
14 though I have no legal background know what I was doing
15 because I felt I had to address the injustices I found or
16 forever regret it. I strongly object to the plan and hope
17 that what I have to say is able to make a difference and
18 help create a fairer and more positive plan for Celsius.

19 My key finding from reading the disclosure
20 statement was, and still is, that the plan was rigged to
21 give insiders, those tasked in the plan's creation, the
22 ability to insert benefits for themselves into the plan
23 while removing rights, some of which had already been
24 granted to creditors that had no inside involvement in the
25 shaping of the plan. The plan and the voting ballot were

1 presented in a way that if creditors voted to reject the
2 plan, they would not be able to opt into the class claim
3 settlement, which would mean that they would be forced to
4 hire their own lawyer to litigate for them to get any of
5 their claim back. And if they couldn't afford to litigate
6 on their own and were thus forced to accept the plan, they
7 were then forced to opt into a third-party release that
8 released all plan creators in perpetuity from being
9 litigated against by those who opted in.

10 So basically the only way to opt out of releasing
11 the parties involved in creating the plan was to vote to
12 reject the plan. And the only way a creditor could afford
13 to reject the plan was to have enough money to be able to
14 hire a lawyer to allow to opt out of the class claim
15 settlement. In short, the only people who had a true choice
16 in deciding whether to accept or reject the plan or opt into
17 or out of the third-party release were those rich enough to
18 be able to afford their own litigation fees.

19 It angers me every time one of the people involved
20 in creating the plan brings up how a creditor can't say that
21 they didn't agree with an item in the plan because they
22 voted to accept the plan when the plan creators rigged the
23 way the plan was presented so that we were forced to accept
24 it no matter what and how many items in the plan we
25 disagreed with. I feel disgusted that the argument is being

1 used that because CEL token holders said yes to the plan,
2 they support the 25 cent CEL token valuation in light of
3 this. It was flat out deceptive that they accepted the plan
4 and then used our first acceptance of the plan as evidence
5 of our overwhelming support of the plan and its 25 cent CEL
6 token valuation.

7 I myself did accept the plan despite the numerous
8 objections I have brought up, including the CEL token price
9 and the third-party release, because I recognize the bind
10 that the plan put me in that threatened to leave me with no
11 part of my claim at all if I chose to reject it. The class
12 claim settlement, which is presented as a convenience that
13 allows creditors who aren't rich whales to get back the
14 settlement we should be entitled to and more, is actually a
15 mechanism removing the freedom of anyone but the richest of
16 creditors to reject the plan because access to one's claims
17 are withheld if one chooses to reject the plan.

18 The rigged voting results are then used to present
19 to the court, the media and outsiders that the plan was such
20 a success that it received an over 98 percent and 95 percent
21 acceptance rate, when in reality there is no way of telling
22 how many of these accept votes were coerced, since many of
23 the whales with the most crypto who could have afforded to
24 litigate themselves were given positions on the committee of
25 unsecured creditors and the great majority of us creditors

1 could not, in reality, have afforded to reject the plan.

2 robomartin, in the first demonstrative, always

3 recognized -- I mean, also recognized this. He said, in

4 BlockFi we could vote yes and opt out, and you didn't have

5 to vote yes to grant releases to get clawback releases for

6 yourself. Celsius seems to have a bunch of contingencies,

7 i.e., if you check this box, you can't check this one or

8 vice versa or if you check this, you have to check this one

9 too, but this one overrides this checkbox anyway, et cetera,

10 et cetera. They're definitely playing a lot of games.

11 And cmbarc, another creditor in another Reddit

12 forum, said, okay, but if you vote no and majority votes

13 yes, you have to litigate yourself and pay your own lawyer.

14 Most people can't afford that. Plus, if you didn't submit a

15 claim by the deadline, your no vote won't do as much as, if

16 I understand it at least. I'm happy to be proven wrong.

17 In the objection letter I initially submitted, I

18 actually said that I voted to accept the plan while actually

19 hoping it would be rejected because I couldn't afford to

20 gamble losing my claim on the hope that others -- that

21 enough others would vote to reject the plan to have my

22 reject vote actually count for something, especially knowing

23 how rigged it was. There is no way of telling how many

24 creditors like myself were actually not okay with the plan,

25 but knew that those who chose to reject would end up screwed

1 over depending how everyone else in the plan voted.

2 Another common theme when it came to voting was
3 that people knew that the lawyer fees were eating away at
4 our funds, with us having no control over this, creating the
5 general sentiment that everyone should vote yes to the plan
6 no matter what to prevent lawyers from continuing to take
7 more and more of our money.

8 Some comments from creditors include -- I don't
9 know if it's in another slide now -- from JaymZZZ, stop
10 inventing (indiscernible) if we reject the plan, the lawyers
11 will get rich and we'll get half of what we're getting now.
12 And some other sentiments they said like voting yes here,
13 let's get out of this ASAP. Vote yes, get this over with.
14 In my humble opinion, everyone should just vote yes to cut
15 the losses and move on. If you vote no at this point, you
16 just want more suffering and less money in the end. No
17 matter what your situation is, just vote yes and get this
18 over with. There is no better option coming. What does a
19 yes or no vote even mean at this point?

20 And then where -- sorry, I'm trying to scroll and
21 it's -- so as their comments show, creditors were feeling so
22 discouraged by how long the creation of this rigged plan had
23 been dragged out and were so tired of being robbed of more
24 funds and feeling so helpless and played around with that we
25 already knew that if we voted no, the lawyers would just

1 find new ways to drag the plan out, to take even more of our
2 money so that it was a lose-lose no matter what we voted.
3 So that we should just vote yes to avoid even more games
4 being played with us.

5 The reason I choose to contribute my objection is
6 because I feel that plan creators have taken advantage of
7 scourged feelings that have been instilled among creditors
8 to insert benefits into the plan for themselves that
9 creditors no longer have the fight in them to fight anymore.

10 Creditors of Flare already came and requested a
11 ruling from Judge Glenn on the Flare token distribution and
12 won the right to have our Flare tokens distributed to us.
13 But the plan at the last minute stated that they are no
14 longer going to do that, and despite my objection to it, I
15 haven't seen anything address my objection to the robbing of
16 the rights that was already granted to us.

17 I'm grateful that the U.S. trustee objected to the
18 third-party releases because it feels like nothing would
19 have been done if it was just us pro se creditors voicing
20 our objections to it, even if we all did, because it really
21 seems like all the lawyers have done to address our
22 objections is ignore them or do everything they can to
23 discredit them.

24 I can't believe that the amount of time that has
25 been devoted to disparaging Hussein Faraj's testimony on CEL

1 tokens price when the expert they chose to raise up, Max
2 Galka, had a clear conflict of interest in his connection to
3 Alameda Research, which was a sister company to FTX, the
4 company currently being sued for, among other things,
5 shorting CEL tokens and a different person with no conflict
6 of interest should have been chosen for a fair, unbiased
7 take on CEL token's price.

8 Max Galka had every reason to value CEL token at
9 zero when that was what FTX was trying to do when it
10 forwarded it. It is ridiculous the amount of CEL creditor
11 money the UCC has spent on Max Galka's testimony and the
12 hours they clocked in at his, like thousand plus dollar an
13 hour rate, when they should have put in the time to
14 investigate Galka's background, as they should have with
15 Emmanuel Aidoo's appointment to the NewCo board, despite his
16 poor tax history, calling into question his competence in
17 helping lead our new company.

18 Why is it that so much effort has been put into
19 discrediting pro se creditors and the people they have
20 brought on to testify when the backgrounds of people like
21 Galka and Aidoo are not brought up? Because they conflict
22 with the narrative the lawyers want to present and the
23 appointments they want to have allowed since they aligned
24 (indiscernible) interests. Customers were forced to have
25 their crypto converted to bitcoin and Ethereum despite the

1 major tax consequences and headaches attached due to the
2 fact that it was in the interests of big fish to have it
3 like that and they had the money, power and influence to
4 back that.

5 I found a discussion on Reddit between creditors
6 that went like this. There is -- I don't know. It's one of
7 my slides. There has been mention of all aspects being
8 pulled into bitcoin and ETH. Are they really going to --

9 MALE 1: (indiscernible).

10 THE COURT: Please don't interrupt. Go ahead, Ms.
11 Lau.

12 MS. LAU: There's been no mention of all assets
13 being sold into bitcoin and ETH. Are they really going to
14 sell stablecoins instead of giving out dollars? I feel like
15 this has tax implications aside from all of the
16 complications from partial payouts and claiming losses from
17 fraud. Many like to know how to handle tax implications
18 under various situations. I wish creditors having
19 stablecoins returned to them as stablecoins in proportion of
20 disclosure otherwise received in Bitcoin, ETH from
21 (indiscernible) and selling them creates tax situations even
22 though the total amount can be less than stablecoins.

23 THE COURT: You have one more minute, Ms. Lau.

24 MS. LAU: I think we can thank Simon for pushing
25 to have anything in bitcoin and ETH unfortunately. That was

1 the outcome both in his vested interest and as he holds
2 predominantly bitcoin. For anyone holding stables, it's
3 undoubtedly going to create further unnecessary tax events
4 with selling out. The theme has always been that getting
5 the plan accepted as soon as possible and in turn getting
6 our money back to us as soon as possible has always been
7 pushed as a central benefit to creditors.

8 But I don't believe that it should come at the
9 (indiscernible) sacrificing our freedoms to vote as we truly
10 feel (indiscernible) be justified because of their ability
11 to speed up the acceptance of the plan solutions. Other
12 ways of the plan seem to include (indiscernible) those
13 listed by Mr. Phillips, like UCC members adding themselves
14 to the NewCo board and two of the law firms representing us
15 directing the appointment of their various former clients
16 and employees to various committees and boards and also how
17 Chris Ferraro was named as planned administrator who will
18 receive \$15,000 a month the first month and \$25,000 a month
19 for all the months following.

20 On behalf of all other creditors who have balked
21 at how much lawyers and accountants involved in this case
22 are being paid, I protest such a high salary being paid to
23 the litigation administrator. And we find it convenient
24 that the plan creator, with some of the most clout in the
25 plan's creation, would give himself that position and

1 salary. It's funny to think that --

2 THE COURT: All right. Your time is up. Ms. Lau,
3 your time is up.

4 MS. LAU: Thanks.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Koenig, 15 minutes.

6 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: If necessary.

8 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. Chris Koenig,
9 Kirkland & Ellis, for the debtors. Your Honor, there's a
10 fair bit in the record that was not admitted into evidence.
11 This is a bench trial, not a jury trial. We didn't want to
12 interrupt anybody, but we wanted to just note for the record
13 that the documents that were admitted into evidence are at
14 3884 and 3885 were the submissions of the debtors and the
15 committee about what was actually admitted into evidence.

16 Just briefly, I want to start with the U.S.
17 trustee. I think she had two open issues. One was the
18 exculpation of the NewCo. The NewCo has or is about to be
19 created. The Form 10 is actually filed by the NewCo that we
20 talked about earlier. That is going to take place before
21 the effective date. So the exculpation is appropriate, we
22 believe, for actions taken during the case. NewCo will be
23 formed before the effective date, and so we believe it's
24 appropriate for them to be --

25 THE COURT: Does the language limit their

1 exculpation to acts during the course of the case?

2 MR. KOENIG: Yes, absolutely. That's the
3 exculpation generally for all exculpated parties or so
4 limited at the request of the U.S. trustee. We clarified
5 that language. As to the BRIC not being able to be
6 qualified, I think Your Honor understood the point. We
7 struggled with the ability to qualify any party's
8 exculpation. The BRIC participated in these cases in a
9 variety of different ways, from the auction to the backup
10 plan itself, to preparing to go forward with the backup
11 plan. I think Your Honor has the point there.

12 Ms. Cornell spoke briefly about the custody
13 settlement releases and the 22 people. I just wanted to
14 explain that really briefly, just for the record. There
15 were individuals who took the custody settlement, were
16 contractually obligated to vote for the plan and nonetheless
17 tried to opt out of the releases. We spoke to Ms. Cornell.
18 We included language in the confirmation order that carved
19 out the ability for them to opt out of the releases if they
20 did not otherwise vote for the plan because if they voted
21 for the plan elsewhere, they can opt out of the releases.
22 The other point that she made on the opt out, I don't know
23 whether it was an objection or not, but she suggested that
24 there were a lot of people who voted for the plan and tried
25 to opt out. That's just the way that Chapter 11 plans work.

1 The plan is a contract. You can vote for it or against it.
2 That's very typical I think in large Chapter 11 cases. I
3 think that that's all I had on Ms. Cornell.

4 Mr. Phillips, just really quickly, he raised the
5 issue of the emergence incentive plan, and Mr. Ferraro
6 distributing that. The plan provides that the plan
7 administrator has the discretion to evaluate paying those
8 bonuses in conjunction with his discretion that is
9 specifically set forth in the plan administrator agreement.
10 And he can consider whether the metrics have been met,
11 interpret the plan, interpret those sorts of things. But
12 his discretion is pretty limited actually. And it's not as
13 though Mr. Ferraro could just pay whatever bonus he wants.
14 There's a plan that has been proposed, an emergence
15 incentive plan. We believe it's appropriate under the
16 circumstances.

17 Mr. Phillips makes much ado about the fact that so
18 many creditors elected more liquid crypto. He believes that
19 that means that the equity is worth less than what we valued
20 at under the plan. There's any number of reasons why they
21 may have done that. It may have been taxes, as Mr. Colodny
22 said. It may just be preference for liquid crypto. These
23 individuals invested in crypto in the first place. They may
24 prefer crypto to equity. It doesn't mean that the equity is
25 worth less. He applies a 30 percent discount to the NewCo

1 plan, but doesn't apply any discount to the winddown plan,
2 the orderly winddown. He seems to want the orderly
3 winddown, and he is arbitrarily applying a 30 percent
4 discount to one instead of the other. I believe that the
5 remainder of Mr. Phillips's issues are probably going to be
6 addressed by Mr. Colodny.

7 Mr. Davis talked about 81 cents in a custody
8 settlement. Just for the clarification, there was no 81
9 cents in a custody settlement. Under the custody
10 settlement, holders got the right to receive the tokens
11 themselves, whatever they turned out to be. Under the plan,
12 we have 90 days to make those distributions. If somebody
13 doesn't show up and withdraw their coins in those 90 days,
14 we have to do something. We have to give the equivalent of
15 those coins the best that we can. And what we're doing is
16 for CEL token we're proposing to provide a 25 cent
17 valuation, which is exactly the same as we're doing under
18 the plan. So we think that that's appropriate. This goes a
19 little bit to Mr. Kirsanov's issue too.

20 Mr. Davis raised the FTX \$2 billion claim. It's a
21 claim that is filed against FTX. We'll see where it leads.
22 Obviously, we're going to be prosecuting, and the post
23 emergence entities will be prosecuting that claim. But it
24 doesn't mean that the debtors are going to receive \$2
25 billion from FTX, which is itself deeply insolvent, it

1 appears. Mr. Davis's other issues, I think I'll just rely
2 on the argument that I made in my opening argument that the
3 key issue is what is the value of the CEL token as of the
4 petition date in a Chapter 7 liquidation. And the only
5 credible evidence is that the CEL token is worth zero or
6 near zero.

7 Mr. Kirsanov complains about the fact that his
8 vote was changed. Just to be clear, it was specifically
9 noted in the voting declaration the number of people whose
10 votes were changed just so that there was total
11 transparency. He thinks that he has a gotcha. We actually
12 disclosed it. He pointed -- Mr. Kirsanov pointed to a
13 number of quotes of different witnesses that he asked
14 whether they knew that he wasn't allowed to withdraw, and
15 they said no. They would have no reason to know those sorts
16 of things. I don't really understand what his point is
17 there.

18 As I said in my opening, even if Mr. Kirsanov
19 voted no, that doesn't change his class vote. He made quite
20 a big to do about it, but that doesn't change the legal
21 standard before the court. He pointed to a section of the
22 custody withdrawal order, which is different than the
23 custody settlement when he was talking about pure custody.
24 There were two custody orders in this case. The first one
25 was in December, and it allowed so-called pure custody that

1 had never been in Earn. You could withdraw that, and if you
2 had less than 75/75 in the withdrawal preference exposure,
3 you could withdraw that. He's pointing to that order that
4 said, if you are a loan holder, you can't participate here
5 because you don't really have pure custody. And he is
6 conflating the pure custody order and the custody settlement
7 order. I just wanted that to be clear for the record.

8 Mr. Kirsanov raised 1127(a). We've briefed this,
9 but just very quickly, the point of this is that, as I said
10 earlier, after 90 days, the custody holders are going to get
11 the value of CEL that is determined by the court. We made
12 it clear that that is 25 cents for both custody users and
13 Earn users.

14 I believe Mr. Abreu's points were addressed
15 adequately in the discussion of CEL token generally. He
16 asked if we tried to settle CEL. We tried to settle
17 everything in this case. I quipped a little bit in my
18 opening argument that the court has had the opportunity to
19 consider a fair number of settlements. We tried to settle
20 this one too. We had an ad hoc group of CEL token holders.
21 It just obviously -- we weren't able to get there with that
22 group, although we did settle with several individual CEL
23 token holders.

24 Mr. Bronge, on the loans, he cited a different
25 portion of the terms of use that said that the borrowers

1 agreed that they had title. The fact that they had title
2 before they transferred it to Celsius does not obviate the
3 legal language and the terms of use elsewhere saying that
4 they can convey that title to Celsius as part of --

5 THE COURT: You couldn't use as collateral
6 something you didn't own.

7 MR. KOENIG: Exactly, and that was the point for
8 Celsius is we wanted to make sure that if somebody
9 transferred property to us, that you actually owned it and
10 somebody else didn't own it and was going to sue us or
11 something of that nature. He mentioned that he was
12 concerned about the valuation of the collateral as of the
13 petition date.

14 Of course, under Bankruptcy Code Section 502(b),
15 the valuation of a claim is as of the petition date in U.S.
16 dollars. He has a claim. He argued about 510(b), and that
17 Earn should be subordinated wholly as a class. I would note
18 a couple of things. First, the class claim settlement order
19 included a note that the class claim could not be
20 subordinated pursuant to Section 510(b).

21 But moreover, Section 510(b) can't apply to Earn.
22 Section 510(b) talks about claims related to the purchase or
23 sale of a security. The Earn claims are not for the
24 purchase or sale of a security. They're for the return of
25 if it is a security, and I'm not conceding that it is, the

1 return of the security itself.

2 I think in opening arguments, I forget whether it
3 was me or Mr. Colodny likened it to an indenture. An
4 indenture is a security. The notes are a security. Claims
5 related to the purchase or sale of that security for fraud
6 or misrepresentation or the likes, those are subordinated.
7 It doesn't mean that the notes themselves are subordinated,
8 and Earn is exactly the same result here.

9 Mr. Schneider made several different arguments
10 that the plan was illegal or unconstitutional. I would
11 just note in passing that Article 1, Section 8 of the
12 Constitution authorizes Congress to make laws regarding
13 bankruptcy. Congress enacted the Bankruptcy Code. He
14 argued that the contract, the terms of use, is not -- that
15 what is being proposed under the plan is different than
16 what's under the contract. That's permitted by the
17 Bankruptcy Code. That's how bankruptcy works.

18 Ms. Lau said that she couldn't opt out of the
19 class claim settlement, but -- unless she voted to reject
20 the plan. That's actually not right. You could vote
21 against the plan and still opt out. It's the releases that
22 if you voted for the plan, you were forced to grant the
23 releases because, as I said earlier, the plan is a contract.

24 She complained that people may have had certain
25 objections to the plan, that they were forced to either vote

1 yes or no. I would submit that the plan is a contract. It
2 would be completely infeasible if 600,000 accountholders had
3 the right to rewrite whatever provision it wants. The plan
4 is a settlement. And I think you've heard throughout this
5 trial that not everybody is happy with the plan, with all
6 provisions of the plan. It's a settlement, and oftentimes
7 what is required is for different parties to make
8 concessions to get to a deal that is good enough. And the
9 plan might not be perfect for everybody, but it's more than
10 good enough to return the maximum value to creditors as soon
11 as possible.

12 On the Flare token point that she raised, the
13 court authorized the company to distribute Flare tokens. It
14 did not require the company to distribute Fare tokens. So
15 Your Honor, I did sort of a whirlwind there. I don't know
16 if you have any questions for me or anything you'd like me
17 to address. But I --

18 THE COURT: I don't. Thank you very much.

19 Mr. Colodny?

20 MR. KOENIG: Thank you.

21 MR. COLODNY: Very, very brief, Your Honor. Aaron
22 Colodny, White & Case, on behalf of the Official Committee
23 of Unsecured Creditors.

24 With respect to Mr. Phillips, I'll leave the
25 misrepresentations of my testimony or my argument aside.

1 Safe to say we vastly disagree that people don't want this
2 stock. What Mr. Phillips is ignoring is a large number of
3 people, more than the amount that elected liquid crypto,
4 that took the default election. And there taking the
5 default election is electing half in stock or more in stock.
6 NewCo presents an exciting opportunity here. It's going to
7 be a first of its kind company. It's going to have a clean
8 balance sheet and it's going to have a competent management
9 and board which is going to be able to hopefully generate
10 more value to people and bring them back to whole. That's
11 the whole idea behind the plan and the creation of NewCo.

12 With respect to Mr. Ferraro, Mr. Ferraro, as Mr.
13 Koenig described, verifies the metrics. They then have to
14 be verified by the UCC. He has to come to us, show us the
15 metrics have been hit before anything is met. So there is a
16 check on unfettered discretion in that regard.

17 Mr. Davis and I don't agree on many things.
18 However, one thing he did say is, and I wrote this down, you
19 have to weigh the evidence against speculative claims, and
20 Your Honor has the opportunity to have the evidence in front
21 of yourself. You can make determinations as to credibility.
22 We made our arguments. Mr. Otis, or Mr. Davis and Mr.
23 Kirsanov have their own.

24 Lots of people have raised issues with Mr. Galka's
25 connections to Alameda. Those were disclosed at Docket

1 Number 1009 in October and they also were allowed the
2 opportunity to cross-examine him.

3 Mr. Schneider surprisingly raises that he doesn't
4 agree that Version 9 of the loan terms of use transfer
5 title. I don't know if this provision has been discussed
6 specifically, but I'm referring to Docket 393, which is the
7 Terms of use. On Page 936, under the heading "Collateral
8 Number 3," and I'll read it into the record: Digital assets
9 posted as collateral shall be the exclusive property of
10 Celsius, and you grant Celsius your explicit consent to use
11 such digital assets in accordance with Section 20 below for
12 the full term during which the digital assets are posted as
13 collateral.

14 And then lastly, a lot of individuals have talked
15 about the committee's fiduciary duties to them. We have a
16 fiduciary duty to all unsecured creditors, and that is
17 what's embodied in the plan. This is our attempt to reach a
18 fair and equitable distribution of the estate among a bunch
19 of competing creditors. And I think that the vote speaks
20 for itself. Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Colodny. All
22 right, that concludes all of the arguments. I'm not going
23 to recognize anybody else for -- I've gone through the
24 entire list that were included in the order with the
25 allocation of time. All of the arguments are completed.

1 Mr. Adler, if you're going to submit any proposed
2 language, do it by tomorrow. All right? It's my goal, as
3 far as I'm concerned, all filings are complete. I'm not
4 going to consider -- other than what Mr. Adler is going to
5 file by tomorrow, I will not consider any further filings by
6 any party, party in interest. It's my goal to try and
7 resolve this expeditiously. The trial I was supposed to
8 start this week has settled. So I have more time.

9 Thank you very much, everybody, for your
10 participation. I'll just say we started this when we were
11 still -- we started this case when we were in the midst of
12 the pandemic. We were forced to have all hearings with
13 remote. It was a test for all of us. There were very large
14 numbers of people who appeared by Zoom. I tried my best at
15 every hearing to get everyone who wanted an opportunity to
16 speak to do so.

17 I think that it's been important to me, hopefully
18 important to the process, that there be transparency, that
19 everyone who had something they wanted to say relevant to an
20 issue that the court was addressing had an opportunity to
21 speak. I don't think there was any hearing when I didn't
22 recognize everyone who raised their hand on Zoom to speak.
23 I know there are very strong feelings in this case on all
24 sides. The court will endeavor to do its best in reaching
25 what I believe is a result required by law and the facts in

1 the case. I certainly appreciate the efforts of everyone in
2 the case so far. We're adjourned for today.

3 MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Honor.

4 THE COURT: Karen, thank you.

5 (Whereupon, at 5:23 p.m., these proceedings were
6 concluded.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing
transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



Sonya Ledanski Hyde

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

Date: November 1, 2023

[& - 28th]

Page 1

&	1006 4:20	144:5	2021 133:16
& 3:3,10,17 4:1	1009 154:1	15,000 143:18	2022 47:5
14:6 41:10	10167 5:4	15.3 30:4	108:17 109:15
63:16 67:4,5	105 134:2	151 5:16	121:4,9 122:25
68:13,22 95:5	10:59 73:6	158 47:6	2023 1:16 51:5
97:8 107:12	10th 120:4	1725 47:6	101:6 157:25
112:7 144:9	11 41:19 43:6	178 97:19	2024 40:1,23
152:22	47:1,5 48:13	187,300 110:20	80:24 88:14
0	49:8,16 54:10	19 30:16	2025 40:25
0.1185. 28:18	54:25 55:6,24	19,880 110:1	20549 5:10
0.1530. 28:13	56:2,18 84:8	197,912 110:13	208 44:12
0.1675. 28:8	89:3 91:9 92:5	2	21202 6:4
1	105:3 133:14	2 34:12 88:7	21st 24:8
1 31:12 118:25	145:25 146:2	101:19,21	22 105:6
127:15 130:13	1103 46:10	102:8,10,13,17	145:13
130:14 133:16	1125 113:4	103:2 135:8	22-10964 1:3
142:9 151:11	1127 113:21	147:20,24	223 49:4
157:25	149:8	2.01 25:10	224 46:13
1,000 103:16	1129 32:5	2.5 78:22	2271 101:4
1.6 101:2	76:24 115:10	2.6 106:1	228 46:13
1.98. 118:10	1144 112:4	2.88 26:11	245 5:3
10 37:24,25	11501 157:23	102:6,8	25 19:20 28:7
38:8,17,21	120 47:14	2.88. 25:10	28:19,23 30:2
39:7,8,10,11	12151 157:7	101:25	43:23 94:15
39:17,18,24	1221 4:12	20 41:16 61:19	95:8 110:14,19
40:9 41:15	124 122:8	100:16 111:13	113:13,18,25
81:21,22 82:3	128 122:11	115:6 118:9	119:16 137:2,5
130:13 144:19	129 16:2	119:14 122:25	147:16 149:12
100 5:9 33:16	12th 24:10	127:9 154:11	25,000 143:18
102:20 114:3	117:1	20,000 109:25	262 43:17
115:18 119:20	13 44:20	200,000 103:1	269 73:24,25
10004 1:14	127:15	20004 3:13	27 121:4,9
10014 4:21	1301 3:12	201 4:20	2700 4:4
10022 3:20	14 49:4 84:11	2010 6:3	27th 113:11
10036 4:13	97:20 111:14	2019 18:18	28 117:24
5:17	15 14:14 49:18	58:9	28th 101:6
	86:19 111:12		

[2:02 - aaron]

Page 2

2:02 1:17	3835 118:13	50 53:2,4 94:2	75/75 149:2
3	3884 144:14	96:15,16	8
3 154:8	3885 144:14	122:19	8 44:20 47:8
3.72. 122:21	39 127:12	502 150:14	94:7 151:11
30 1:16 34:11	3908 124:7,12	503 34:23,25	80 114:7
97:19,23 99:6	124:24	35:8	81 25:9 100:23
99:7,12 114:8	3913 14:24	510 26:19,24	101:5,8,11
115:17 146:25	93:1	150:16,20,21	114:3 115:18
147:3	3918 108:5	150:22	147:7,8
300 3:5 6:3	3921 134:25	54,000 111:7	86 25:10
157:22	3928 72:16	55 73:19	8th 51:5
307 98:16	393 127:16	555 4:4	9
31904 124:14	154:6	558 122:8	9 30:20 31:9,10
31st 98:18,19	3935 73:20	5:23 156:5	
99:24	3937 43:19	6	31:13 127:7
323 72:18	87:16	6.6 105:25	154:4
33 53:3 94:3	3rd 49:3	60 39:11,14,18	9.55 105:24
96:15	120:11	600 110:20	106:5,12
330 157:21	4	600,000 152:2	90 34:19
338 72:18	4,000 117:9	601 3:19	114:12 147:12
34 21:23 22:2	400,000 112:11	60654 3:6	147:13 149:10
27:14 29:23,24	42nd 5:16	61.2 28:11	90071 4:5
37:19,21 44:5	45 50:6	61.5 29:1	9019 20:17
34,500 104:8	450 98:15,22	67 28:4,24,25	46:6
34,867 101:1	99:2,15 130:17	693 102:7	936 154:7
3444 51:4	47 29:8,16,24	6a 113:8	94 119:23
35 114:8	47.4 28:16	7	95 137:20
119:19	29:21	7 21:10,23 22:2	96.06 19:25
3522 81:13	4b 127:1	30:21,22 31:9	98 15:21
3547 129:8	4th 44:19	31:10,12,17	137:20
36 21:24 29:6,7	5	44:1,16 45:21	98.71 19:24
365 72:18	5 31:19 32:5	49:4 76:24	9th 24:8,10
37 16:5 120:1,1	127:1	125:21 148:4	a
38 44:20 120:1	5,000 62:17	70 18:12	aaron 4:7 41:9
127:12	5.9 106:2	749,200 110:18	68:12,13
3817 23:15			152:21
45:1			

ability 63:22 79:21 123:1 135:22 143:10 145:7,19 able 31:12,14 42:20 57:12 67:17 69:12 81:23 90:17 92:10 94:9 108:9,19 112:25 123:23 123:24 128:21 135:17 136:2 136:13,18 145:5 149:21 153:9 abnormal 119:24 above 21:23,24 109:25 132:4 abreu 6:18 7:3 116:10,10,11 116:13,16,18 121:23,24 123:14,15,17 abreu's 149:14 absence 107:6 absolutely 61:11 63:5 73:4 90:10 145:2 abstained 36:21 abundantly 48:23 accept 15:22 15:23 17:8	19:25 21:1,6 36:22 37:6 61:10 110:25 132:2 136:6,16 136:22,23 137:7,22 138:18 acceptable 63:6,12 acceptance 132:3 137:4,21 143:11 accepted 19:21 36:16,20 46:4 57:11 69:6 124:3 137:3 143:5 access 15:15 79:19 137:16 accordance 114:9 154:11 account 6:2 16:25 17:19 33:17 39:20 55:8 77:16 101:2,3 108:17 110:19,24 111:4 114:14 121:1 accountable 100:1 accountants 143:21 accountholder 15:23 27:5 36:20 113:23	accountholders 15:14,22 33:15 34:2 40:4,20 48:1 75:24 77:18 78:16 80:12 152:2 accounting 118:9 accounts 117:9 125:17,18 128:6 accurate 45:9 157:4 accurately 47:11 accusations 107:1 achievable 35:11 achieve 69:19 78:16 87:3 achieved 35:18 103:23 acknowledged 120:25 acknowledges 72:19 acknowledg... 119:21 acquisition 103:7 act 54:10 112:14 acted 49:22 51:17 71:2,6,7 112:8	acting 68:24 action 42:22 47:22 68:23 85:23 96:7 122:18 actions 42:11 46:21,22 47:10 55:4 57:23 58:1 60:17 95:16 100:2,6 119:7 144:22 activation 115:5,5 active 50:10 69:22 actively 102:15 activities 122:1 activity 106:5 106:21 acts 145:1 actual 22:9,24 28:20 95:24 96:2 122:10 actually 15:24 16:3 20:11 21:20 22:4 23:20 26:16 27:3 29:20 32:10,14 33:2 36:17 37:8 59:15,16 66:17 96:9,23 97:10 97:22 99:2 124:8 132:6 134:4 135:12 137:14 138:18 138:18,22,24
--	---	--	--

144:15,19 146:12 148:11 150:9 151:20 acutely 41:25 ad 5:2 6:2 17:18 18:16,19 50:10 58:8 71:15,18,24 77:16,18,19 78:1,6,11,22 79:1,10,23 80:10 81:2 89:18,21 90:10 93:18 95:10,10 95:11 96:1 101:17 112:16 112:19 149:20 add 26:4 58:18 58:19 123:5 added 57:22 58:16 adding 143:13 addition 18:22 additional 18:8 18:13 56:12 78:14 99:15 125:9 129:9 additionally 59:18,25 126:2 address 14:7 16:23 32:1 74:10 87:11 125:16 126:2 127:5,6,8,24 135:15 140:15 140:21 152:17	addressed 73:19 75:19 97:17 116:7 124:6 147:6 149:14 addresses 42:21 72:22 addressing 42:16 107:23 108:15 155:20 adeptly 49:15 54:11 adequate 113:5 adequately 149:15 adjourned 156:2 adler 5:6 71:16 71:17 72:23 73:2,4,8,11,14 74:1,16 75:15 75:20 76:5,9 76:10,11,15 77:1,3,7,14 87:13 89:13 95:14 155:1,4 administration 94:12 administrative 43:7 administrator 35:5 57:18,18 60:2,2 73:16 94:11 143:17 143:23 146:7,9	admits 23:4 25:11 30:19 45:25 admitted 24:3 24:4 32:18 45:24 85:9 144:10,13,15 admittedly 79:13 ado 146:17 adr 19:3 advance 49:21 51:6 72:9 80:5 92:19 advanced 85:11 advantage 140:6 advantages 42:23 adverse 93:12 113:20 115:24 advertised 93:13 advice 40:21 97:7 advise 52:10 advised 49:2 advisers 48:25 50:19 advisors 35:22 35:23 48:8,22 50:11,12 advocate 51:1 aegean 57:25 58:2	affairs 111:6 affect 123:11 affected 114:23,24,25 122:16 affects 115:6 affiliate 62:25 affiliated 67:21 affiliates 59:11 59:22 63:7 affirmative 57:6 61:13 112:19 affirmatively 17:16 76:18,23 afford 136:5 136:12,18 138:14,19 afforded 137:23 138:1 afloat 134:14 aforementio... 103:2 afraid 123:25 afternoon 14:4 14:5 33:8 41:9 56:21 57:13 71:16 77:17 81:6,8 84:25 89:1 107:20 123:22 129:11 129:19 aftershocks 100:16 agencies 55:13 agents 34:16 59:10
---	---	---	---

<p>ago 14:20 16:15 17:21 72:6 83:2 86:19 100:17 agree 22:4,6,7 22:11 45:4,6,7 45:10,12 58:25 67:25 69:18,23 82:23 136:21 153:17 154:4 agreed 16:24 22:5,14,21 55:8,14 56:3 60:11 61:15 67:16 70:14 72:2 89:12 92:2 101:3 125:20 126:14 150:1 agreement 18:7 70:21,23 71:25 73:16 85:3 94:12 101:1 124:18 125:11,14 126:10,12,15 127:7 128:4 131:9 132:10 146:9 agreements 34:14 42:8 55:18 86:11 116:24 124:16 125:3 126:17 126:24 127:3 127:17</p>	<p>ahead 15:7 41:17 68:9 70:20 73:13 93:1 100:18,19 108:11 109:3 124:1 128:23 130:21 131:7 134:22 135:5 142:10 ai 23:22 24:8 116:14 aid 40:2 aidoo 141:21 aidoo's 141:15 aizpuru 7:4 akin 106:15 al 101:20,22 102:11 alameda 101:22 103:1 109:20,23 141:3 153:25 alarming 108:1 alex 10:3 ali 9:2 aligned 141:23 alike 19:22 allegations 106:22 alleged 107:11 alleging 106:15 allocate 42:13 allocating 119:6 allocation 154:25</p>	<p>allocations 14:10 allow 40:3 129:5 136:14 allowance 27:10 allowed 88:5 89:15 102:22 141:23 148:14 148:25 154:1 allowing 107:21 115:21 130:9,23 132:22 allows 53:6 137:13 alluded 59:25 70:17 alluding 122:23 alternative 108:23 alternatively 75:23 alvarez 21:16 amalgamation 103:19 amand 7:5 amazing 89:22 ambiguity 58:3 58:12 127:20 ambiguous 127:8 americas 4:12 amount 19:25 30:3 35:16 46:22 53:21</p>	<p>90:16 103:3 111:6,11 140:24 141:10 142:22 153:3 amounted 122:10 amounts 32:15 36:9 ample 51:8 analysis 22:16 22:24 23:17,23 24:7 31:18 98:13 126:6 134:7 analyzed 24:8 anchor 107:7 andrew 7:7,17 12:1 angeles 4:5 angers 136:19 anhminh 11:14 anne 9:8 annemarie 10:16 announced 51:3 announceme... 120:3 annual 122:5 answer 20:14 answering 112:14 anthony 8:17 anticipate 80:19 anticipated 53:7</p>
--	--	--	---

<p>anybody 71:14 76:17,22 111:23 131:6 144:12 154:23 anybody's 33:2 anymore 23:4 33:3 140:9 anyway 138:9 anyways 40:20 apap 81:2 apart 24:18 91:19 apologize 116:3 appealed 55:16 appeals 84:11 appear 112:17 appeared 155:14 appears 121:21 148:1 applicability 26:23 applicable 48:9 application 42:4 105:2 applied 98:21 98:23,24 113:25 applies 146:25 apply 34:24,25 35:6,7,9 60:14 112:5 118:20 134:6 147:1 150:21</p>	<p>applying 147:3 appointed 52:16 93:16,17 93:18 95:5,10 95:12,13 96:1 96:24,25,25 97:2,6 appointees 93:23,23,24 appointment 19:12 31:25 96:9 141:15 143:15 appointments 93:15,19 94:20 94:21 100:7 141:23 appreciate 82:13,17 92:18 93:2 156:1 appreciated 78:5 81:4 appreciation 68:3 71:19 123:7 appropriate 38:16 60:12 118:6 134:3 144:21,24 146:15 147:18 approval 38:21 54:20 approve 84:2 approved 20:12 38:7 40:10 46:5,19 47:12 84:12,21</p>	<p>100:23 101:13 approximately 117:9 april 37:16 40:23,25 108:17 109:15 arbitrarily 147:3 archer 12:8 area 23:16 argue 71:1 104:18 121:11 argued 85:11 150:16 151:14 argues 37:7 53:15 arguing 41:7 argument 16:10 23:12 37:16,17 71:5 74:18 85:9 92:16 102:12 105:1,17 136:25 148:2,2 149:18 152:25 arguments 2:1 14:10 36:13 68:20 101:16 115:22 124:10 124:23 129:1 151:2,9 153:22 154:22,25 arrangement 43:17 53:5 arrive 27:22 85:25</p>	<p>arrived 86:18 102:6 article 130:13 151:11 articulate 18:1 articulated 85:13 artificial 23:20 23:21 24:6,14 24:15 artur 6:18 7:3 116:10 asap 139:13 ascertain 59:17 aside 90:23 101:16 110:15 142:15 152:25 asked 24:15 44:12 45:23 50:11 74:2 75:2 148:13 149:16 asking 82:10 109:12 asks 32:6 aspects 142:7 assert 122:13 asserted 120:22 asserting 68:22 101:12 105:10 assertion 102:1 assess 58:4 118:11 assessing 42:11</p>
---	--	--	---

asset 102:16 114:5,10,14,16 assets 42:15,16 42:24 84:1 86:14 109:2 110:18 112:11 113:1,2,9 115:13 125:4 130:2,5,7,8,9 130:10,13 142:12 154:8 154:11,12 assisted 77:23 103:9 associated 119:24 assumes 45:20 assuming 36:23 79:5 astonishing 23:25 astounding 104:15 attached 18:11 142:1 attaching 101:23 attempt 118:17 133:13 154:17 attempted 61:6 62:10 118:24 attempting 101:12 110:8 113:20 attempts 52:19 108:25	attend 48:19 attendant 31:4 31:22 69:8 attended 48:2 attending 47:14 attention 124:9 125:1 attorneys 3:4 3:11,18 4:2,10 4:19 5:2,15 6:2 62:7 83:21 attributed 105:9 auction 48:3 48:16 145:9 audited 38:9 38:18,19 39:8 audits 38:11,16 august 93:9 authority 46:11 132:22 133:5 134:1 authorized 152:13 authorizes 151:12 automatic 39:11,13 autostyle 134:6 available 34:10 42:24 52:10 96:17 120:6 avenue 3:12,19 4:12 5:3 average 106:7 106:8 118:15	122:20 123:9 123:11 133:18 133:25 avery 8:10 avoid 28:25 55:20 140:3 avoidance 71:8 130:3 awaited 80:22 awards 58:19 aware 41:25 49:12 62:21 b b 1:21 26:19,24 150:14,16,20 150:21,22 back 17:1 29:11 34:2 37:9 40:1 56:11 61:5 62:23 65:14 69:9 70:24 73:20 82:19 92:15 98:4 99:16 100:16 136:5 137:13 142:4 143:6 153:10 backdrop 42:9 background 135:14 141:14 backgrounds 141:20 backup 59:3,7 65:13,19 66:2 66:6,9 67:1 145:9,10	bad 47:8 49:23 68:25 93:10 96:20 balance 117:2 153:8 balked 143:20 ballot 72:10 108:1 110:5 113:8 114:2 115:24 118:3,8 123:12 135:25 balloting 108:3 110:25 111:21 111:25 113:6 113:12 baltimore 6:4 bankman 109:22,25 bankrupt 45:17 bankruptcies 36:8 41:19 bankruptcy 1:1,12,23 15:12 16:2 20:17 26:19 32:5 34:24 35:5 42:4 44:1 46:7,10,21 47:6 62:7,14 83:15,18,25 84:6 90:22 91:11,15 101:22 102:1 102:10,11 108:18 109:9 110:1 112:4
--	---	--	---

113:5,16 118:19 121:20 122:2,22 130:11 133:17 134:11 150:14 151:13,13,17 151:17 barred 58:5 based 35:10 46:3 52:5 98:3 98:10 100:22 104:19 105:11 105:18 107:9 119:5 baseline 99:12 basic 117:4 basically 98:12 129:2,25 131:21 136:10 basis 98:24 102:13 batting 41:13 battlefield 33:21 bearing 23:2 103:12 becin 7:6 bedrock 127:25 began 120:2 beginning 38:2 41:23 49:6 51:10 59:4 67:5 72:8 behalf 41:10 56:22 68:13,13 71:17 77:19	143:20 152:22 behavior 126:21 127:21 behlmann 7:7 belief 119:10 121:19 believe 18:25 30:19 38:15 48:21 57:15 58:23 61:25 64:18 65:21 72:18 74:3 77:24 79:8 85:6,15 89:5 90:21 91:25 94:12 100:21 107:22 111:23 112:4 115:9 117:19 118:5 119:2 120:17 121:7 122:24 133:16 140:24 143:8 144:22 144:23 146:15 147:4 149:14 155:25 believes 44:10 51:24 52:2 78:22 82:9,14 146:18 bellwether 90:1,3 belongs 74:18 ben 8:8 12:11 bench 144:11 benchmarks 58:20	beneficiary 94:16 95:8 benefit 25:24 26:10 38:18 40:19 126:8,11 126:15 143:7 benefits 57:20 135:22 140:8 berg 12:4 bernstein 7:8 best 17:7,10 20:14 21:6,8 21:11,18,21 34:25 37:20,21 42:25 44:5,16 50:25 51:1,19 52:14 76:16,20 78:16 83:1 87:2,22 94:23 112:10 115:4,9 115:10,16 116:5 127:8 147:15 155:14 155:24 betrayal 125:7 better 20:18 49:18 83:2 92:2 125:21 139:18 beyond 39:25 69:22 104:9 134:1 bias 12:9 bid 59:7 65:13 119:24 bidder 59:3 65:19 66:2,2,6	66:9,17 67:1 bidders 47:16 48:16 big 36:11 89:6 97:11 100:15 142:2 148:20 billion 34:12 78:23 88:7 101:19,21 102:9,10,13,17 103:3 105:24 105:25 106:1,2 106:6,13 147:20,25 billions 88:4 109:24 bind 137:9 binding 57:25 100:23,25 124:18 birch 7:9 biswas 12:10 bit 16:21 18:5 26:15 27:15 31:23 39:25 73:21 74:12 83:6 84:7 144:10 147:19 149:17 bitcoin 55:8 85:22 88:7 98:11 103:25 104:2,4,8 105:15 109:24 110:1 114:13 124:13 125:9 141:25 142:8
---	---	---	--

[bitcoin - calculations]

Page 9

142:13,20,25 143:2 bitter 83:5,7 84:7 blame 47:2 block 7:10 blockchain 121:14 blockfi 138:4 blonstein 109:2 board 19:12 31:25 32:3,6,9 32:16,20,21 43:11 48:6,17 50:1,5,7 51:3,9 51:15,16,18 52:6,20,21,23 54:8 58:13 79:7,9,13,15 79:15,18,20,20 80:1,2,9 93:15 93:16,21 94:1 94:19 95:19,24 95:25 96:5,6,6 96:8,10,11,24 97:1,3,4,4,5,7 100:8 103:6 141:15 143:14 153:9 boards 143:16 bodenstein 126:4 bodies 125:17 body 86:24 bolster 18:8	bonus 146:13 bonuses 33:14 146:8 borne 97:17 104:12 borrow 55:9 80:10 borrower 30:23 71:18,24 72:9 borrowers 5:2 71:15 72:1,13 74:2,5,6,7,10 74:11,14,17,19 75:10,18,22,24 76:19 87:21 149:25 bottom 29:13 29:16 31:11 bowling 1:13 box 118:11 138:7 bradley 8:15 bray 7:11 breach 52:13 125:6 breadth 106:5 break 88:24 92:8,11 breeze 73:14 brett 10:10 78:20 89:19 brian 9:17 bric 59:1,3 65:17,20 67:22 145:5,8	bric's 65:12 brief 16:4 26:15 27:16 34:23 71:18 120:4 152:21 briefed 149:8 briefing 129:10 briefly 127:5 144:16 145:12 145:14 brier 7:12 brifkani 7:13 bring 102:16 125:1 153:10 brings 136:20 brittany 12:9 broad 46:11 119:18 134:2 broader 69:6 105:19 119:4 broadly 106:24 broker 126:7 bronge 6:20 30:11,19 31:10 123:19,20,22 123:24 124:2 128:15 149:24 brought 90:11 137:8 141:20 141:21 bruh 4:24 brushed 89:9 btc 116:25 123:7 buckets 36:11	build 15:17 building 34:1 78:15 built 17:14 bulk 110:4 bumps 82:16 bunch 138:6 154:18 burden 56:1 107:6 burks 11:11 burn 43:7 burning 33:25 business 34:1 38:11,12,18 39:9 42:14 51:19 52:15 85:17 86:10 88:9 99:17 122:1 businesses 38:10,14,17 button 33:14 buying 97:22 c c 3:1 10:23 14:1 34:23,25 35:8 46:10 157:1,1 ca 4:5 caceres 7:15 118:23,25 calculation 113:24 114:1 118:10 calculations 29:13 30:6
---	--	---	---

123:6 call 114:20 called 25:17 45:23 108:24 148:25 calling 141:16 calls 47:15 68:4 107:10 108:23 cam 95:14 camera 116:13 123:23,24 128:21 cameron 8:19 campagna 7:16 125:20 cancelled 108:25 candidacy 50:21 candidates 48:17 50:7,7,9 50:11,13,23,25 51:19 52:4,5 52:11 can't 95:20 capable 51:25 capital 133:11 134:14 capitalists 132:20 capitalization 104:15 capitalizations 103:24 capitalize 99:2 99:17,18	capping 119:5 capture 105:19 careful 116:24 carefully 85:8 carl 7:23 carlo 9:12 caroline 11:21 12:24 carty 7:17 carve 60:23 carved 27:12 69:1 145:18 carveout 60:12 60:14,15 68:18 68:19 100:6 carveouts 47:8 84:4,5 case 1:3 4:1,9 15:12,13 16:9 17:14,18 19:6 20:19 32:11 35:1 41:10,23 46:21 48:9 52:12 53:11 55:16,19 57:4 58:14 59:4 60:16 66:5,7 66:16,20 67:5 68:5,13,22 69:11 70:2 71:21 77:23 79:23 82:23 85:2 86:18 87:5 89:5,8 90:2,12 95:5 97:8 100:3 102:1,3 103:9	107:12 109:21 112:7 121:25 122:15 123:7 124:11,20 126:2,6,17 127:20,21 128:2 133:8,8 133:14,16,20 133:23 143:21 144:22 145:1 148:24 149:17 152:22 155:11 155:23 156:1,2 cases 15:17 17:20 41:18 42:2 47:5,11 47:19 48:13,22 49:8,17 54:11 55:6 56:2,24 56:25 57:3 59:10 85:7 134:12 145:8 146:2 cash 114:13 130:11 cashflow 98:13 cashing 115:14 casts 127:20 catch 94:10 categories 19:11 64:16 categorized 117:14 cathy 6:24 134:19 cause 86:6,6	causes 42:22 47:21 68:23 85:23 ceased 120:15 cel 19:11,14,14 19:16,17,18,18 19:21,22,22,24 20:3,8,11,12 20:13,24,25 21:7,10,17,22 22:1,5,6,7,9,12 22:13,16,19,22 22:25 23:3,24 24:20,21 25:2 25:3,6,9,16,17 25:19,22 26:2 26:4,5,10,13 26:14,17,18,22 27:1,8,9,14,14 27:22 28:19 29:14 37:1,17 37:18 43:9,14 43:16,24 44:8 44:10,14,22 45:4,6,8,10,12 45:18,23 46:4 101:1,2,3,4,7,9 101:13,23,24 102:7,14,19,21 103:12 104:18 105:4,10,17,23 105:23,24,25 106:2,5,7,11 106:21 107:4 108:19,22 109:3,8 110:11 110:14,18,22
---	--	---	--

110:23 111:3,7 111:9,10,14,18 111:19 112:7,9 113:1,14,16,24 114:2 115:18 116:19,25 117:6,10,11,13 117:16,21,22 118:2,8,18 119:4,5,8,9,13 119:23 120:4,6 120:20,22 121:1,5,5,6,10 121:19 122:4,9 122:15,21,23 123:3,11 137:1 137:2,5,8 140:25 141:5,7 141:8,10 147:16 148:3,5 149:11,15,16 149:20,22 cel's 24:11 cell 100:23 celsius 1:7 15:12 17:2 30:12,13,20,24 31:20 32:4 34:3,18 36:1 37:13 38:9,13 41:22 42:6,14 49:19,21 79:18 80:12 83:9,13 95:4 99:22 101:23 105:3,4 108:16 110:1 114:6 116:22	116:23 117:5,6 117:12,13 118:6 119:8,23 120:7 121:13 121:20 122:1,8 122:17,25 133:17 135:18 138:6 150:2,4 150:8 154:10 154:10 celsius' 103:5 cent 43:23 110:14 113:25 118:25 137:2,5 147:16 centered 119:3 centers 117:10 central 143:7 cents 19:20 21:23,24 22:2 25:10,10 27:14 28:7,19,23,25 29:6,7,23,24 30:2,4 37:19 37:21 44:5 100:23 101:5,8 101:11 106:8,9 110:19 113:14 113:18 114:3,7 114:8 115:17 115:18 118:9 119:14,16,19 119:19 122:25 147:7,9 149:12 ceo 33:22 48:15 103:7 109:22,25	111:17 120:19 certain 31:13 33:2 49:14 50:20 53:20 54:1 58:10 61:4 64:22 72:2 85:18 123:1 151:24 certainly 20:22 24:20 25:3 64:16 65:17 82:11 100:2 156:1 certified 157:3 cetera 31:2 38:15 138:9,10 cfo 111:17 cftc 55:11 chairs 48:14 79:16 challenges 119:22 challenging 29:5 41:19 86:1 124:19 chance 123:17 chang 7:18 change 29:8,16 29:24 37:4 93:11 113:12 113:20 115:24 148:19,20 changed 31:9 36:15 37:2 72:11 98:19 105:6 148:8,10	changes 14:25 57:15 58:3 81:14 92:3 93:9 94:16 chapter 21:10 21:23 22:2 41:19 43:6 44:1,16 45:21 47:1,5 48:13 49:8,16 54:10 54:25 55:6,24 56:2,18 84:8 89:3 91:9 92:5 105:3,6 125:21 133:14 145:25 146:2 148:4 charges 107:1 charts 119:25 chase 9:23 10:23 check 115:8,15 138:7,7,8,8 153:16 checkbox 138:9 cherokee 103:7 chicago 3:6 chief 33:22 55:19 choice 54:4 136:15 choose 123:10 132:24 133:6 140:5 chooses 122:4 137:17
---	---	---	--

chose 137:11 138:25 141:1	101:19,21 102:3,9,10,14 102:17,20 103:3 124:3 126:5 136:2,5 136:14 137:11 137:12 138:15 138:20 147:20 147:21,23 150:15,16,18 150:19 151:19	clarity 113:7 clark 7:20 clarke 12:11 class 15:23 17:8 20:2 27:2 27:4 37:5 43:1 85:2 89:24 110:11,14,15 110:20 111:11 111:18,23 113:1 114:1 118:20 124:3 136:2,14 137:11 148:19 150:17,18,19 151:19	62:7,8,14,15 65:23 66:5 84:18 86:12 91:7 92:25 93:4 97:24 106:10 113:5 124:24 127:13 141:2 148:8 149:7,12
chosen 53:9 97:12 124:4 141:6	claimant 90:4 claimed 97:23 118:24 claiming 36:15 101:24 142:16	clearance 81:22,23 82:1 82:2 clearer 87:8 clearly 15:19 21:7 62:19,22 99:5,24 103:3 108:2 112:25 118:3 125:17	
christ 3:8 7:6 14:5 33:20 48:14 63:16 75:5 94:12 143:17 144:8	claims 26:17 26:17 27:4,10 53:18 78:23 86:4,15,23 88:4,5 89:24 91:12 97:19 101:15 103:5,8 106:18 107:6 113:23 119:6 125:16,24 127:4 134:7,8 137:16 150:22 150:23 151:4 153:19	classes 20:4 90:20 classic 70:2 classified 114:3 125:17 clause 46:19 125:6 127:1 130:14 clauses 126:24 127:4 clawback 138:5 clean 153:7 clear 17:3 18:18 22:18 26:1,25 31:7 31:17,19,19,23 31:24 36:22,23 38:8,23 48:20 48:23 54:14 56:14 61:4	clearance 81:22,23 82:1 82:2 clearer 87:8 clearly 15:19 21:7 62:19,22 99:5,24 103:3 108:2 112:25 118:3 125:17
christian 11:22 christina 7:19 christophe 9:13 christopher 7:21 120:12,21 121:18	claimant 90:4 claimed 97:23 118:24 claiming 36:15 101:24 142:16	classes 20:4 90:20 classic 70:2 classified 114:3 125:17 clause 46:19 125:6 127:1 130:14 clauses 126:24 127:4 clawback 138:5 clean 153:7 clear 17:3 18:18 22:18 26:1,25 31:7 31:17,19,19,23 31:24 36:22,23 38:8,23 48:20 48:23 54:14 56:14 61:4	clearance 81:22,23 82:1 82:2 clearer 87:8 clearly 15:19 21:7 62:19,22 99:5,24 103:3 108:2 112:25 118:3 125:17
ciancarelli 7:19 circle 62:23 circuit 46:13 134:10 circulated 15:1 15:6 circulation 102:7 circumstances 17:2 38:22 44:18 82:10 86:21 98:18 121:9 134:3 146:16 cited 149:24 claim 27:1,2,4 27:6,8 29:14 85:2 98:23	claimant 90:4 claimed 97:23 118:24 claiming 36:15 101:24 142:16	classes 20:4 90:20 classic 70:2 classified 114:3 125:17 clause 46:19 125:6 127:1 130:14 clauses 126:24 127:4 clawback 138:5 clean 153:7 clear 17:3 18:18 22:18 26:1,25 31:7 31:17,19,19,23 31:24 36:22,23 38:8,23 48:20 48:23 54:14 56:14 61:4	clearance 81:22,23 82:1 82:2 clearer 87:8 clearly 15:19 21:7 62:19,22 99:5,24 103:3 108:2 112:25 118:3 125:17
clerk 14:2 15:10 92:13 clerks 14:17 click 30:22 clicks 98:7 clients 52:3,9,9 52:10,10 54:22 143:15 clock 34:6 clocked 141:12 close 19:5 46:4 closer 64:23 closing 2:1 14:9 33:8 43:21 92:15 96:23 99:19 112:17 115:22 122:13	claimant 90:4 claimed 97:23 118:24 claiming 36:15 101:24 142:16	classes 20:4 90:20 classic 70:2 classified 114:3 125:17 clause 46:19 125:6 127:1 130:14 clauses 126:24 127:4 clawback 138:5 clean 153:7 clear 17:3 18:18 22:18 26:1,25 31:7 31:17,19,19,23 31:24 36:22,23 38:8,23 48:20 48:23 54:14 56:14 61:4	clearance 81:22,23 82:1 82:2 clearer 87:8 clearly 15:19 21:7 62:19,22 99:5,24 103:3 108:2 112:25 118:3 125:17

clout 143:24	68:12,13,17	commitment	137:24 144:15
cmbarc 138:11	69:25 70:3,17	55:5	152:22
coco 7:21	94:20 96:4	committed	committee's
code 16:2	146:21 147:6	39:15,19 56:4	44:25 46:15,16
26:19 32:6	151:3 152:19	56:15 68:25	48:7 50:4
34:24 35:5	152:21,22	78:15 80:5	51:19 60:13
44:1 46:7,10	154:21	committee 4:2	154:15
90:22 91:11,15	colodny's	4:10 14:11	committees
112:4 113:5,21	96:22	17:17 18:7,15	88:17 94:22
150:14 151:13	come 18:7 40:1	32:2,9 33:23	143:16
151:17	56:11 65:24	35:23 38:4	committing
coerced 137:22	70:21 72:21	39:22 41:8,11	76:3
coin 105:21	74:20 83:3	41:25 42:9,20	common 57:1
118:6 119:14	98:5 108:4	43:12,20 46:9	85:22 88:8
122:4,19	116:20 129:1	46:10,20 47:13	129:5 130:20
coinbase 34:15	143:8 153:14	47:15,18,24	130:24 131:3
59:9,23,24	comes 104:11	48:2,11,15,18	131:20,25
62:24 63:7,21	comfortable	49:6,9,10,12	132:14,21
64:19 67:22	75:11 77:10,11	49:17,22 50:6	133:1 139:2
coins 36:18	coming 89:25	50:10,13,14,19	commonly
37:8 41:22	139:18	50:22,22,24	104:1
47:21 103:21	commenced	51:16,17,24	communicate
104:11,14	103:13	52:14 54:15	116:4
105:15 147:13	commencem...	55:5,14,25	communicated
147:15	80:23	56:15,23 60:10	47:25
collateral 74:5	commend	60:12,16,18	communicati...
76:19 87:24	77:20	68:4,12,14	86:5
124:14,17	comments	69:5 70:1,16	communicati...
125:2,10,13,24	39:15,16 41:14	71:2,3,4,7,20	122:18
126:5,10,14	55:21 59:21	73:17 77:21	community
128:2,5 150:5	68:8 69:10	78:20 79:5,16	104:16,23
150:12 154:7,9	81:15 139:8,21	80:13 82:11	compagna
154:13	commercially	84:16 85:5,12	21:16 29:13
colodny 4:7	72:20	87:3 89:19	compagna's
32:1 41:9,10	commission	93:18 94:6	29:6 44:4
41:13,18 56:19	5:8 81:5,7,11	95:6,14 97:7	companies
68:7,9,10,12		97:10 100:8	41:20 98:5

company 38:25 45:17 53:6 80:6 83:11 88:9 95:18 98:14,19,25 99:3 102:25 103:4,13 109:19 119:15 122:6 134:14 141:3,4,17 152:13,14 153:7 company's 42:7 comparable 122:4 compare 29:9 122:4 compensation 35:25 36:4 compensations 119:9 competence 141:16 competent 153:8 competing 154:19 complained 25:18 151:24 complaining 37:11 complains 148:7 complaint 47:23	complaints 82:25 complete 41:23 109:18 155:3 completed 154:25 completely 49:23 83:12 152:2 complex 20:23 77:23 82:23 103:19 compliance 77:24 complicated 16:9 40:14 42:10 56:25 complications 142:16 component 121:2 components 103:20 compromise 87:4 compute 98:11 concede 127:6 conceding 150:25 concern 74:22 concerned 63:24 150:12 155:3 concerning 43:14 110:2 118:18 132:12	concerns 42:17 61:12 89:8 106:3,7 107:24 107:25 115:20 116:7 117:11 126:22 concessions 152:8 concluded 36:8 156:6 concludes 154:22 conclusion 18:4 54:9 99:9 128:1 conclusions 16:16 72:5 87:16 129:1,9 conclusive 106:19 concrete 106:18 condition 37:25 48:10 conditions 24:12 85:19 120:10 conduct 51:9 55:11 65:25 102:5 conducted 48:5 conferred 18:6 confirm 49:25 77:25 81:23 85:16 111:8 116:6	confirmable 100:22 107:23 confirmation 2:2 16:1,5,6 17:3,22 18:11 18:13,24,25 19:9,15 26:25 27:12 34:22 38:2 39:22 40:2 43:18,21 43:21 44:2 46:6,19 49:6 49:24 51:10 55:21 56:13 72:5,8,24,25 73:5,25 74:1,8 75:7 77:2,22 80:19,21 85:9 89:3 92:4 93:4 101:17 102:18 107:22 113:18 129:8 145:18 confirmations 87:10 confirmed 113:3 126:1 131:23 confirms 88:11 conflating 149:6 conflict 95:9 103:11 141:2,5 141:21 conflicted 94:19 confronted 25:15
---	---	--	---

confused 24:15 62:22	91:20 92:1 105:2 146:10	constructively 17:15 38:3	continuously 127:17
confusing 22:20 24:23	149:19 155:4,5	consumer 18:23	contract 47:17 129:4 130:2,19
confusion 29:1 58:3,12 62:13 86:6	consideration 87:23	contained 91:15	131:14 132:1,9 132:13 146:1
congress 151:12,13	considered 50:6,15 129:16 129:21,23	contemplated 85:21 117:1	151:14,16,23 152:1
conjunction 118:8 146:8	considering 91:14 127:14	contemplates 26:16	contracts 124:21 125:6 125:18 130:15
connection 141:2	consistent 32:6 36:18 37:2 50:1 64:14 127:2	contemporan... 25:15,22	contractual 128:11
connections 50:12 52:8 153:25	consistently 94:17 118:18	contended 118:1	contractually 145:16
consensual 55:12 57:6 69:15,19	consists 78:11 118:21	contested 19:15,19 44:21 90:16	contradictions 108:2
consensually 87:22	consolidation 90:8	context 70:9 71:8 91:13 106:6 126:9,16	contrary 48:20 51:23 101:14
consensus 15:17 17:14 78:15 87:4	constant 42:17	contingencies 138:6	contribute 123:2 140:5
consent 61:13 96:1 131:2,11 132:5 133:2 154:10	constituencies 42:13	continue 39:4 39:23 40:12,25 54:15 64:6,7 64:21 72:20 78:9	contributed 78:14 112:22
consented 72:2	constituency 49:16 54:25 78:7	continued 38:3 38:14 39:2 80:16 121:14	contribution 134:5
consequence 54:1	constituents 42:18 46:15 54:12	continues 81:15 87:11	control 139:4
consequences 86:5 117:25 142:1	constituents' 80:16	continuing 139:6	controlled 93:14,21 95:18 106:13
consider 20:19 45:3 52:10 64:4 85:8,15	constitution 130:14 151:12		convenience 137:12
	constitutional 132:22		convenient 143:23
	constructive 39:2,4,12		conversion 125:4
			conversions 125:13

convert 133:9 134:12	correct 16:14 73:2,5 76:9	23:18 25:25 26:8,21,22	84:23 85:7
converted 141:25	82:14 126:23	27:19,21,25	88:11,21 89:4
convey 150:4	correctly 118:14	28:7,10,13,15	89:12 90:18
convince 26:3	costs 36:6	28:18 29:20,25	91:2,20,25
cook 7:22	102:13	30:4,8,14,17	92:4,7,14,18
cooper 12:12	cote 7:23	31:1,6 32:5,25	92:21,25 93:2
cooperate 54:24	counsel 69:4	33:6,10 37:5	95:11 99:22
cooperated 47:24 55:10	85:1 87:13	37:18 41:5,7	100:11,19,23
cooperatively 78:25	89:22 102:13	41:12,17 43:15	101:11,13,20
coordination 34:15	107:13 113:3	45:2,20 49:5	101:25 102:1
cornell 4:23	126:20 128:8	49:25 52:9	103:14 106:17
56:20,21,22	counsels 88:18	54:5 56:19	107:3,7,14,16
59:20,23,25	count 103:3	57:11,13,25	108:5,8,11
60:22 61:1,11	135:1 138:22	58:24 59:19,21	109:21 114:18
61:19,22,25	counter 122:21	59:24 60:9,20	114:21,25
62:3 63:3,5,9	counterparties 47:16,17	60:25 61:9,18	116:3,4,9,12
63:11 65:7,10	countless 47:15	61:20 62:2,20	116:15,17,20
65:15 66:3,12	country 157:21	63:2,4,6,10,14	117:12 118:3,6
66:14,15,22,24	couple 23:13	63:19,23 64:2	118:15,23
67:7,11,15,19	32:3 33:5	64:4,8,11,14	121:8,22,23
67:23,25 68:10	150:18	64:25 65:2,5,9	123:13,16,19
68:11,16,17	course 17:17	65:14,16 66:11	123:21,23
70:4,7,11,15	66:19 87:13	66:13,15,23	124:1,18,25
70:19,21,25	89:22 91:18	67:6,9,12,16	125:10,23
71:13 145:12	94:10 145:1	67:21,24 68:6	128:2,7,15,18
145:17 146:3	150:14	68:9 69:2 70:6	128:21,23
cornell's 68:8	court 1:1,12	70:10,13,18,20	129:11,13,20
cornerstone 107:1	14:3,8,16,19	70:24 71:12,14	130:21 131:5
cornerstones 103:25	14:22 15:6,20	73:7,9,13,25	133:19,21
	15:25 16:11,14	74:2,4,6,9,12	134:2,15,18,22
	17:7 20:2,10	74:24 75:15,21	134:25 135:3,5
	20:18 21:2,12	75:23 76:2,7	135:8,10
	21:25 22:3	76:10,12 77:6	137:19 142:10
		77:13,16,23	142:23 144:2,5
		81:1,8 82:3,6	144:7,25
		82:20 84:14,18	148:21 149:11
			149:18 150:5

152:13,18 154:21 155:20 155:24 156:4 courtney 11:11 courtroom 78:3 92:22 127:22 courts 133:9 134:11 court's 82:11 cover 14:18 36:12 covered 65:24 covers 36:11 craig 10:15 crazy 90:4 create 135:18 143:3 created 60:3 86:10 106:6 109:13,14 127:19 144:19 creates 142:21 creating 42:15 136:11,20 139:4 creation 119:15 135:21 139:22 143:25 153:11 creator 143:24 creators 136:8 136:22 140:6 credibility 106:23 153:21 credible 32:24 79:9 148:5	creditor 34:9 44:6 78:7,9 80:9 86:23 90:15,20 93:14 93:14,21,24 94:18 95:18 112:15 116:21 125:8 130:7,11 131:11,11 136:12,20 138:11 141:10 creditors 4:3 4:11 15:18 17:17,18 20:8 21:5 32:7 34:7 35:23 38:3 39:22 41:11,20 41:21 42:12,15 42:19 43:7 44:17,22 46:5 49:13,13,22 50:2,10 51:1,8 51:11 52:15,22 53:12,20,21,23 54:1,3 55:2 56:1 61:5 62:9 62:17,22 69:13 69:18 70:1 78:11,12,18 79:3,5,14,19 79:24 80:12 82:24 83:6,11 85:14,21 86:3 86:20 87:6 88:6,10 89:12 91:7,19,21 93:6,12,16,18	93:23 94:24 95:17,19,21,22 96:13,25 97:2 97:4,6,9 99:14 102:17,19 111:14 112:3 116:5 118:2,16 118:22 122:14 123:1 130:1,9 130:12,16,18 130:20,24,25 131:2,18,19,20 132:5,6,8,12 132:14,18,19 132:24 133:1,3 134:7,8 135:24 136:1 137:13 137:16,25,25 138:24 139:8 139:21 140:7,9 140:10,19 141:19 142:5 142:18 143:7 143:20 146:18 152:10,23 154:16,19 creditor's 96:20 115:12 crews 95:14 criminal 109:21 criterion 105:18 critical 63:21 78:14 80:9 94:1	criticism 79:7 criticisms 42:18 cro 111:17 cross 25:14,19 32:18 51:12 122:20 154:2 crypt 97:13 crypto 17:1,4 27:6 34:10,12 39:20 40:8,11 53:23,24 91:13 97:12,15 99:11 99:15,16,20 104:7 130:17 130:19 132:9 137:23 141:25 146:18,22,23 146:24 153:3 cryptocurren... 103:18 cryptocurrency 30:12 34:17 53:22 54:2 85:17 88:10 103:18,25 104:1,2,8,18 104:21 105:11 105:14,17 108:24 130:17 132:1,15 cryptos 123:8 crystal 91:7 cumulative 96:20 cunha 7:14
---	---	--	---

cup 106:15	149:12	22:23 23:3,7	100:19,20
curiously	customary	23:25 24:7,17	107:14,15,16
122:9	47:11	24:23,24 25:9	111:8 147:7,20
currency	customer	26:12 33:21	153:17,22
103:20 104:3	38:10,13 85:4	34:11 35:3,6	davis's 148:1
105:20 125:4	86:15 122:10	37:19 38:1	day 39:11,14
current 58:11	126:11,12	44:9,11,14	77:22 84:11,22
94:25 95:1,3	customers 42:6	45:5,7,9,11,13	91:14 92:3
114:7 115:16	42:23 47:19	45:15,19,24	101:3,4 114:7
120:23 123:7	85:20 86:3	46:1 51:2	115:8,25
currently 39:9	89:10 90:13	54:14 56:9	days 34:11,19
40:16 59:10	91:16 126:8,8	57:24,24 58:10	39:18 85:9
100:21 103:2,7	126:10 133:18	64:23,24 80:15	98:5 114:12
141:4	133:25 141:24	85:19 88:12	120:3 121:13
custody 34:19	customers'	91:12 99:11,23	147:12,13
36:16,17,17	89:8	99:24 101:6,10	149:10
37:1,2,5,7 61:5	cut 43:6 131:6	105:25 106:19	dc 3:13,13 5:10
61:14,16	139:14	110:16 112:12	de 11:17 44:10
100:24,25	cutting 102:18	113:13,19	45:4,19
101:2,4,7,8,9	d	114:15 115:5,5	deactivation
101:16 108:17	d 11:4 14:1	115:15 144:21	113:13 114:10
108:20,21,22	111:5	144:23 148:4	115:8,15
109:12,14	dalhart 7:24	150:13,15	deadline 64:22
110:11,13,18	damaging	157:25	129:14 138:15
110:19,20,22	102:14	dates 24:7,17	deal 72:20 74:6
110:23 111:4,9	dan 9:14	24:17 121:12	152:8
111:10,11,18	daniel 6:8	david 5:6 6:22	dealing 73:11
111:23 112:8	11:22	7:24 8:10 9:5	118:20
112:10,16,19	dare 122:15	11:1,5,15	dealt 74:11
112:24 113:1,2	darius 8:14	71:16 89:13	87:25
113:9,24 114:1	data 22:25	95:14 128:16	deanna 15:8
114:3 115:18	24:9 61:2 62:9	davies 7:25	92:19
145:12,15	105:21 106:2	davis 6:14 25:1	debt 117:15
147:7,9,9	107:5,8 118:5	25:2,3,14,23	131:1,1,8,10
148:22,23,23	date 21:12,13	26:1,1,9 44:23	131:12,17
148:24,25	21:18 22:1,10	45:22,24	132:3,11,15,17
149:5,6,6,10	22:12,15,17,19	100:13,13,14	133:9,10,10,14

134:4,12,12,13 134:14 debtor 1:9 3:4 3:11,18 14:11 35:2,4,5 42:11 67:16 69:4,5 77:20 84:15 98:23 99:22 113:14 114:8 115:14 125:7 126:3,6,18,20 127:17 128:8 130:1,9,16,19 132:4 debtors 14:6 16:3 18:15 35:6,22 42:12 42:20,21 43:20 44:15,24 47:16 47:25 48:9,15 49:9 53:20 54:23 55:5,9 55:14 56:2,23 57:10,11,16,22 58:1,6,10,18 58:19,23 60:1 61:12 63:17 64:15 68:1,5 69:4 71:20 72:11,19 75:6 81:13,21 82:2 82:10 85:11 86:18,20 87:2 87:11 88:18 90:25 97:11 101:3,12,21,24 102:2,6,9,10	102:15 103:2 110:6 118:1,17 118:24 119:16 124:5 126:5 127:14 128:3 130:12,23,25 131:1,3,8,10 131:12,17 132:3,10,11,13 132:15,17 133:3 144:9,14 147:24 debtor's 81:24 86:10,13 87:13 88:16 97:23 101:19 108:20 112:18 113:3 december 40:18,22 148:25 deceptive 126:21 137:3 decide 15:25 27:11,13 75:11 75:17,17 76:17 deciding 76:21 76:22 77:4 136:16 decision 32:24 46:17 47:4 48:25 49:3 75:14,25 82:14 115:14 117:23 decisions 48:21 49:7,10,12,14 51:25 54:13 86:13 107:2	deck 30:18 declaration 102:24 109:2 127:15 148:9 declare 124:19 124:25 declaring 128:2 declined 24:20 decrease 94:1 dedicated 49:17,20 dedication 80:20 deemed 36:21 132:25 deems 75:23 deeply 147:25 default 153:4,5 defaulted 73:20 defenses 71:3 defined 26:16 26:17 57:22 definitely 68:5 138:10 definition 103:11 definitively 58:15 defrauded 15:15 33:15,17 defunct 38:18 degree 23:16 degrees 122:15 delayed 55:18	deliberate 122:18 127:18 deliver 128:12 delivered 87:12 delve 124:4 demand 43:11 demonstrated 55:5 77:24 99:5 115:3 demonstrates 50:3 demonstrative 138:2 demonstratives 14:18,20 15:9 134:24 denied 107:17 department 4:18 depending 94:14 139:1 deposit 108:22 deposits 89:9 depth 105:19 der 12:7 describe 46:24 57:14 described 153:13 description 65:25 descriptions 81:24 deserve 41:15 112:3
---	---	--	---

design 104:4	devalue 102:16	130:13 154:8	126:16 135:12
designed 19:19	112:11	154:11,12	135:19 142:20
desire 43:6	deviation	diligent 116:23	disclosures
47:3	125:5	dilute 118:1	18:13,18
despite 59:1	devoted 140:25	diluted 19:18	discount 32:22
62:11,12	dialogue 113:4	123:6	97:23 98:21,24
103:23 104:13	diaz 8:2,3	dilution 118:2	99:7 146:25
137:7 140:14	dictate 132:22	118:4,10	147:1,4
141:15,25	didn't 75:12	dimitry 6:16	discounted
detail 16:22	95:18	direct 50:8	98:13,23 99:1
18:5 27:15	dietrich 12:19	directing	discouraged
detailed 43:8	difference	143:15	139:22
44:24 45:1	22:15 24:19	directly 48:12	discovered
111:2 124:5,11	36:25 89:6,16	49:12	135:13
determination	135:17	director 48:17	discovery 51:9
74:4 75:21	differences	95:3	discredit
82:9	99:13	directors 48:6	140:23
determinations	different 19:4	disagree 49:13	discredited
153:21	21:15 24:17	153:1	98:12
determine	25:5 28:20	disagreed	discrediting
29:21 43:16	33:18 41:24	136:25	141:19
45:15 48:3	74:13 83:23	disappointed	discretion
74:13	126:9 141:5	32:16 79:14	146:7,8,12
determined	145:9 148:13	disappointm...	153:16
111:24 149:11	148:22 149:24	52:17 118:22	discretionary
determining	151:9,15 152:7	disbursement	58:21
104:10 107:3	differentiate	108:23	discussed 38:1
detraction	106:18	disclose 52:7	55:16 58:17
53:13	difficult 42:6	121:6	154:5
detriment	49:10 115:23	disclosed 49:5	discussing 38:6
89:10 94:17	121:11	50:13 54:2	109:23
detrimental	difiore 8:1	120:15 148:12	discussion
94:3 95:20	121:3	153:25	119:1,3 120:22
devaluating	digital 86:14	disclosure	142:5 149:15
103:5	103:20 104:3	16:15 18:8	discussions
devaluation	105:20 125:4	28:5 36:23	39:2,4,12
103:9	130:1,5,7,9,10	54:3 61:9 93:8	48:10 50:20,23

55:7 57:10 60:10 64:6,21 65:17 81:24,25 disentitle 65:21 disgruntled 54:12 69:18 disgusted 136:25 dislocate 120:2 dislocated 22:8 44:9 45:7 106:1 dislocation 45:8 disparaging 140:25 disparate 100:22 disputed 44:6 disqualifying 24:1 disrupted 22:8 dissenting 17:11 43:24 110:7,11 113:21 dissipated 84:1 dissolution 125:21 distort 117:19 distortions 127:19 distress 42:3 distressed 42:14	distribute 34:17 55:8 152:13,14 distributed 42:24 114:14 140:12 distributes 99:10 distributing 59:9 146:6 distribution 34:14,16 35:2 55:17 87:6 88:8 102:20 114:12 115:15 125:25 128:6 140:11 154:18 distributions 34:2,6,10,12 34:19 35:14 37:12,13,14 39:20 40:3,4,8 40:12,15,17,20 40:21,23 55:25 56:4,8,13,16 59:15 63:10,22 80:23 85:22 87:4 88:5,7,13 147:12 district 1:2 20:16 133:12 133:12,15 diverge 104:22 diverse 80:3 dixon 8:4 95:13 96:10	dixon's 95:12 docket 15:1 23:15 45:1 51:4 77:8 81:13 87:16 101:4 124:7,11 124:24 127:16 129:19,22 153:25 154:6 document 25:8 87:18 129:8,10 documents 58:14,21 74:17 94:11 144:13 doesn't 91:9 95:2 100:4 104:5 105:8 dogecoin 104:14 doing 59:15,16 66:5 82:12 89:14 117:15 133:7 134:23 135:14 147:15 147:17 doj 55:10,19 dollar 19:25 90:14 115:17 115:19 141:12 dollarized 113:9 115:8 dollarizing 91:12 dollars 78:14 78:14 88:5 109:9 113:17 142:14 150:16	dollars' 103:8 109:24 dominant 104:8 don 11:9 donald 10:6 don't 75:16 93:4 dot 98:5 dotted 70:22 doubt 71:8 130:3 doubts 123:4 dow 8:5 draft 47:23 drafted 61:14 drag 39:25 140:1 dragged 139:23 drastically 98:18 draw 121:25 124:9 drew 12:13 driven 50:21 104:16 dubbed 104:1 due 40:24 100:20 119:22 130:19 142:1 duffy 8:6 12:13 duplicative 41:14 43:10 duties 154:15 duty 46:14 52:13 54:15
--	---	--	--

99:21 154:16 dynamics 105:19 dzaran 8:7	easier 70:4,7 70:11,19 easily 35:11 59:17	effectively 88:4 effectually 117:14 efficient 77:22 effort 35:13 69:15 119:2,11 121:17 141:18 efforts 43:5 50:4 68:1 72:20 78:24,24 87:2 88:19 103:9 127:14 156:1	eliminate 58:12 eliminated 117:16 elizabeth 3:22 ellis 3:3,10,17 14:6 63:17 67:4 75:6 144:9 ellison 109:22 elvin 11:16 emailed 14:21 emails 68:4 embarrassing 102:14 embodied 72:1 87:1 154:17 emergence 19:13 33:1,4 35:7 58:17 60:5 94:14 120:24 146:5 146:14 147:23 emergency 121:8 emmanuel 141:15 emphasized 118:18 emphasizes 104:12 employ 132:23 132:25 employed 83:9 126:21 employee 94:13
e	east 6:3 easy 56:6 66:21 123:10 135:3 eating 139:3 ecf 14:23 15:1 16:15 72:16 73:20 93:1 ecf3913 92:10 ecf3928 75:21 echo 56:3 eck 11:19 economic 91:21 economically 25:24 26:10 54:17 ecosystem 103:21 ecro 1:25 ed 7:9 effect 86:15 87:24 effective 20:8 34:11 35:3,6 38:1 51:2 54:14 56:5,9 56:10 57:24 59:14 60:3 64:23,24 80:15 82:4 85:19 88:12 99:11 144:21,23	either 24:3 25:9,13 33:23 35:8 59:6 66:16 68:24 96:4 98:20 129:6 151:25 elected 53:21 53:23 146:18 153:3 electing 153:5 election 72:10 153:4,5 elements 91:10 elementus 44:7 103:1,6,10,16 eleven 134:6 elicited 51:13 eligible 50:19 97:22 108:20 130:5	
e 1:21,21 3:1,1 9:9 10:24 14:1 14:1 47:8 157:1 eades 8:8 earlier 53:6 58:18 60:1 62:20 73:21 89:7 144:20 149:10 151:23 early 19:5 40:1 88:14 108:17 earn 6:2 31:18 38:14 50:10 55:9 77:16,18 77:19 78:1,11 78:16,22 79:11 79:14 80:10,10 83:22,22 85:4 89:10,12,18 90:10,13,22 91:16 95:10 96:1 100:24 101:2,9 125:15 125:16,21 127:11 128:6 149:1,13 150:17,21,23 151:8 earthquake 100:15			

employees 18:11 34:2 58:11 94:24,25 95:1 119:10 143:16	enterprise 98:10 entire 53:5 94:16 102:12 107:11 154:24	133:9,14,18,25 134:4,8,12 146:19,24,24	estimate 118:4 estopped 101:12
employment 95:4 enacted 151:13 encounter 41:24 encourage 54:23 encumbered 128:4 endeavor 155:24 endeavored 26:20 ended 37:15 engage 55:6 72:20 78:25 engaged 69:7 122:1 engel 8:9 english 5:1 71:17 116:2 enhance 79:3 80:6 ensure 36:4 39:15 47:20 55:2 83:8 95:6 125:24 ensuring 107:9 enter 80:21 133:3 entered 71:25 129:10,19	entities 54:25 57:16 60:3 147:23 entitled 37:9 59:4 101:10 137:14 entity 35:7 38:20 59:14 60:4 entrance 55:12 entrusted 41:21 environment 106:25 equal 28:7 114:9 131:18 equate 102:19 equation 29:4 equitable 42:25 55:18 87:5 91:15 101:15 114:16 154:18 equity 39:1 42:12 53:22 54:1,4 79:4 80:6 94:3 95:21 97:10,18 97:22,24 99:6 99:11 131:1,1 131:9,10,12,17 132:3,11,15,17	equivalent 147:14 era 98:5 eric 11:22 erik 10:1,7 error 109:6 119:20 122:11 errors 24:5 escalation 57:23 especially 71:21 138:22 essence 53:8 124:10 128:13 essential 90:10 93:12 104:10 107:4 essentially 37:16 72:19 73:20 77:8 94:21 98:7 99:17 129:4 133:7 establish 51:20 120:10 established 16:3 104:6 estate 46:20,20 47:21 69:3,14 69:21 70:1 74:19 102:18 103:15 119:4 122:10 128:3 154:18	et 31:1 38:15 101:20,22 102:11 138:9 138:10 eth 85:22 88:7 88:10 116:25 142:8,13,20,25 ethereum 55:8 114:14 141:25 ethnicity 80:4 evaluate 39:23 40:13,25 54:16 146:7 evaluates 26:1 evaluating 40:7 event 88:2 96:12,20 116:25 events 143:3 eventually 117:8 everybody 40:7 54:22 88:22 92:25 115:6 152:5,9 155:9 everyone's 52:20 evidence 16:7 26:21 32:14 33:5 35:11 43:5,21 46:3 50:2 51:14,16

51:18,20 85:10 87:5 106:12,14 106:19,19 107:6,9 124:23 127:15 128:12 137:4 144:10 144:13,15 148:5 153:19 153:20 evident 121:18 evidentiary 51:23 ex 94:24 exact 105:5 exactly 20:5 147:17 150:7 151:8 examination 25:14,20 32:18 122:20 examine 51:12 106:7 154:2 examiner 47:24 86:19 89:14 examiner's 122:7 examiner's 89:7,15 example 25:17 114:5 examples 105:14 126:25 exceed 109:9 111:13 exceeded 113:17,18	except 46:21 50:13 91:25 122:14 excepting 130:10 exchange 5:8 81:5,7,10 exciting 153:6 exclude 44:25 127:14 excluded 43:12 65:8 71:3,6 118:9 120:22 exclusive 154:9 exculpate 46:19 65:20 exculpated 58:1,7,11,15 59:1,18 60:3,6 60:21 64:20 65:6,13 66:7 67:2,3 145:3 exculpation 46:9,19,25,25 47:7 55:15 57:4,9,17,20 57:23 59:5,5 59:10,11,15 60:7,13,13,23 63:1,13 64:1 65:10,12,22,23 66:10,25 67:4 67:21 68:18,19 68:21 69:1,2,6 69:21 70:2,16 100:6 144:18 144:21 145:1,3	145:8 exculpations 43:13 excuse 53:14 110:12 131:5 133:3 excused 100:5 executive 33:14 executives 34:8 35:25 36:5 exempt 113:24 exercise 31:13 31:14 exercised 99:21 exhaustively 124:6 exhibit 112:2 135:8,10 exhibits 109:16 exist 57:4 60:7 66:1 80:7 106:4 125:7 existence 57:17 60:4,5 65:11 exists 54:14 100:22 127:20 exit 43:6 55:24 79:1,2 80:22 84:8,9 exiting 84:6 91:8 expansive 105:22 106:14 expect 64:18 88:11	expected 118:7 118:7 expecting 39:9 expeditiously 155:7 experience 52:6,8 experienced 85:25 expert 23:14 25:1,11,12 44:23 109:5 117:21 119:16 119:21 141:1 experts 45:4 53:20 119:8,25 explain 25:20 145:14 explained 26:15 27:15 explicit 154:10 explicitly 47:10 124:15 125:3 explored 119:5 exposure 87:25 149:2 express 68:3 expressed 118:23 extend 71:19 extended 69:22 79:2 extensive 32:24 extensively 35:21
--	---	---	---

[extent - figure]

Page 25

extent 37:18 59:6 74:19,20 extremely 44:9 77:22 100:4 110:2 eyeballs 98:7 ezra 11:6	factor 86:9 134:6 factors 16:7,8 20:20,21 86:2 97:3 103:19 104:23 105:16 facts 33:5 48:9 74:21 82:9 97:17 101:15 107:2 155:25 factual 107:5 fahey 8:10 fahrenheit 18:16 38:4 39:23 48:25 53:2 56:2 93:23,25 fail 37:20 76:19 failed 96:3 112:14 119:17 121:6 fails 105:1,19 failures 47:2 fair 45:15 51:21 66:3,22 66:24 91:17 107:9 114:15 115:7 141:6 144:10 149:19 154:18 fairer 135:18 fairly 40:15 fairness 128:10 faith 42:19 43:5 47:8,14 49:19,21,23	54:10 68:25 69:7,13,15 125:11 fall 68:20 falls 21:3 family 116:21 far 9:2 66:8 83:3,15 132:13 132:16 155:3 156:2 faraj 21:15 22:4 24:14,23 24:25 25:1 44:24 45:13 faraj's 22:20 23:5,10 44:25 45:2,3 140:25 fare 152:14 farr 78:21 89:20 fast 83:4 84:9 favor 61:10 62:10,11,18 78:23 110:6 favorable 93:5 feat 56:6 feature 53:8 featuring 121:1 february 101:6 112:8 federal 55:7,22 81:18 88:3 fee 53:16 feel 136:25 140:6 142:14 143:10	feeling 139:21 139:24 feelings 140:7 155:23 feels 140:18 fees 136:18 139:3 fellow 89:19 116:5 felt 62:20 135:15 female 131:4 ferrara 83:14 94:12 95:7 ferraro 24:22 33:20,21 35:12 48:15 111:17 120:12,21 121:18 143:17 146:5,13 153:12,12 fiat 125:4 fiduciaries 46:20 54:9,10 68:22 69:3,14 69:21 70:1 fiduciary 46:14 52:16 54:15 99:21 112:8,14 154:15,16 fifth 86:25 fifty 106:9 fight 112:22 140:9,9 figure 122:9	
f	f 1:21 5:9 10:11 110:17 111:2 157:1 f.3d 46:13 face 102:25 faced 41:23 42:10 122:2 facilitate 64:12 82:7,12 121:16 facilitating 126:7 facing 38:10,13 69:17 fact 16:16 23:25 34:4 38:9 42:6 66:4 72:5 78:14 79:22 80:19 82:25 86:7 87:9,16,24 101:20 104:9 105:15 121:7 122:18 123:5 124:15,19 127:19 129:9 129:21 134:7 142:2 146:17 148:7 150:1			

figures 27:22	finally 19:4	56:25 57:14,16	focused 22:24
file 18:10 19:1	52:19 88:15	71:19 72:7	31:11 55:25
32:12 39:7,9	96:14 99:4	73:12,12 75:13	focusing 23:13
40:22 66:21	126:19	75:16 85:7,16	following 18:5
77:7 81:22	financial 33:22	89:7 92:23	43:20 46:1
82:3 101:17	35:22,22 42:7	99:4 108:4	51:2 105:21
118:13 129:18	48:9 91:19	114:12 116:2	109:9 117:8,10
155:5	104:22 111:6	120:1 124:2,12	121:20 143:19
filed 14:10,23	120:8	128:19,24	footnotes
16:4 18:18	financially	137:4 138:2	58:14
23:15 27:16	112:22	143:18 146:23	forbids 130:14
43:18,20 45:1	financials 38:9	148:24 150:18	force 123:10
51:4 59:13	38:18,19 39:8	153:7	133:5,17,25
61:23 62:1	find 49:25	firsthand 90:3	forced 113:20
72:6,16 73:6	64:17 110:2	fish 142:2	131:21 136:3,6
74:9 81:12,17	117:18 140:1	five 14:19 53:5	136:7,23
92:9 94:8	143:23	77:22 85:8	141:24 151:22
96:23 97:1	finding 26:21	86:1 106:6	151:25 155:12
101:21 102:9	44:3 87:24	111:7	forces 104:9
102:11 105:3	135:19	five's 111:4	132:12,18
107:17 119:18	findings 16:15	fixed 101:7	forcing 132:14
129:12,13,15	72:5 86:17	flag 18:3 26:14	132:19 133:2
129:17,18,18	87:15 129:9	flannigan 8:11	134:8
129:20,22	finds 37:18	flare 140:10,11	forecast 98:17
144:19 147:21	fine 84:20	140:12 152:12	forecasted
filing 39:10	finish 114:21	152:13	98:13
47:22 58:9	115:1 123:5	flat 137:3	foregoing
101:23 105:6	fired 33:24	flaw 23:5	157:3
110:1 121:20	firm 68:23	flawed 104:21	foremost
124:7,11	69:25 103:14	132:4	124:12
126:20	126:7	florence 8:11	forever 135:16
filings 155:3,5	firmly 117:18	flow 88:13	forget 151:2
fin 82:3	firms 143:14	flower 4:4	form 37:24,25
final 19:1	first 19:11	focus 16:11,11	38:8,17,21
110:10	20:11,15 24:3	20:21,21 31:20	39:7,8,10,11
finalize 87:12	33:13,22 40:17	43:9 57:2 87:7	39:16,18,24
	43:9,14 55:20		40:9 78:15

81:20,22 82:3 130:7,10,11,13 130:24 144:19 formal 17:18 112:21 119:10 formation 89:18 90:9 formed 42:25 144:23 former 58:11 80:12 120:19 143:15 formerly 107:12 formulate 42:21 46:11 formulation 49:11 forth 20:16 23:14 87:16 125:13 146:9 fortunately 90:17 forty 29:20 forum 138:12 forward 38:5 43:2 56:17 78:10 80:22 82:8,15 90:1 92:4 145:10 forwarded 141:10 fought 53:12 91:16 found 46:13 111:3,5 135:15 142:5	foundation 124:20 founded 103:6 four 25:5,12 97:3 fourth 86:17 framework 42:4 fraud 78:12 142:17 151:5 free 132:24 freedom 137:15 freedoms 143:9 freeze 108:18 109:3 frequently 47:1 friday 72:6 73:18 129:17 129:18,20,23 fried 109:22,25 frishberg 6:8 82:21,22 84:14 84:17,20 frivolous 107:17 front 30:18 69:9 153:20 frustrating 91:10 ftc 55:11 ftx 101:20,21 101:22 102:3,9 102:11,11,17 109:25 141:3,9	147:20,21,25 fucking 131:4 fulfilled 128:4 full 51:17 53:4 57:20 63:25 87:15 108:20 154:12 fully 20:23 128:25 fundamental 117:4 124:10 funding 109:20 funds 108:16 109:6 126:12 139:4,24 funny 144:1 further 34:22 46:24 54:5 58:5 79:24 80:2 84:6 89:17 104:12 106:14 121:25 123:1 143:3 155:5 furtherance 56:12 furthermore 105:1 121:3 124:22 future 22:14 59:17 72:21 79:3 98:8 105:2,8 119:9	galka 21:15 22:3,21 44:7 44:13 45:16 102:23,24 103:4,10,10,14 103:16 109:5,8 109:12,15,19 117:21 120:17 121:17 122:3 122:10 141:2,8 141:21 galka's 22:18 44:17 45:4 120:11 122:9 122:20 141:11 141:14 153:24 galka's 102:25 109:11 gallagher 8:12 gamble 138:20 games 138:10 140:3 garrity 46:17 46:24 gather 15:1 geary 8:13 gender 80:3 general 19:23 44:1 127:2 139:5 generally 75:16 145:3 149:15 generate 153:9 gergi 9:6 getting 51:4 55:25 67:9
		g	
		g 7:9 14:1 gabriela 8:21	

84:15 89:6,17 95:24 139:11 143:4,5 gheorghe 8:14 giardiello 8:15 give 14:3 32:23 96:18 115:16 116:18 135:21 143:25 147:14 given 15:15 23:5,11 25:5 32:2 37:8 51:21 62:16 78:3 94:13 99:23 103:15 121:8 134:2 137:24 gives 53:8 99:14 102:8 131:19 giving 123:15 123:17 142:14 glasses 129:25 glenn 1:22 140:11 go 15:7 27:21 29:11,23 31:8 32:13 36:2 39:1,18,21 41:17 56:5 68:9 69:9 70:20 73:13 93:1,7 98:1,2,4 100:19 108:11 116:15 120:17 124:1 128:23 129:6,22	130:21 131:7 134:22 135:5 142:10 145:10 goal 15:16 40:11 79:24 155:2,6 goes 39:17 83:13 100:17 134:1 147:18 going 14:11,16 14:17,24 16:11 19:1,8 23:12 30:5 32:1 33:15 34:18 35:3,4,18,20 36:13 37:20,21 39:23,25 40:7 43:9 53:10 56:20 59:14 60:21 64:19 65:1 66:16,18 66:20 74:20 75:11 76:17 78:10 82:8 88:23 92:8,15 96:12 98:1 114:21 116:18 129:15 140:14 142:8,13 143:3 144:20 147:5 147:22,24 149:10 150:10 153:6,7,8,9 154:22 155:1,4 155:4 gold 104:1	gonzales 12:14 good 14:4,5 41:9 42:19 43:5 47:14 49:18,21 54:10 56:21 69:7,13 69:15 71:16 77:17 81:6,8 84:22,25 89:1 90:24 107:20 123:22 125:11 152:8,10 goof 24:13 gorrepati 12:15 gotcha 148:11 governing 124:16 government 54:24 132:22 133:5 grace 7:12 grant 30:24 31:20 46:15 68:21 112:13 138:5 151:22 154:10 granted 135:24 140:16 grants 46:10 graph 29:12 grasps 119:11 grateful 79:23 140:17 graubert 8:16 great 68:17 83:14 90:5	100:2 137:25 greater 90:24 114:9 greatly 78:4 green 1:13 greene 8:17 greg 9:4 gregory 10:11 gross 46:22 47:9 68:25 ground 19:20 77:4 grounded 107:2,5 group 5:2 6:2 18:19 20:8 50:10 71:15,18 71:24 74:17 77:19 78:11,15 78:22 80:5 81:2 90:15 101:17 112:17 112:19 126:4 149:20,22 grouped 19:10 groups 17:18 18:17 58:8 78:1 80:11 grow 43:1 guarantee 113:15 guess 14:23 47:4 73:24 77:6 82:6 94:8 guessing 54:13 guest 84:19
--	--	--	--

gundersen 8:18 guthrie 8:19	97:5 harrison 12:17 hash 98:16,16 hasn't 98:19 hat 50:17 haven't 94:8 97:16 hawaii 114:12 114:16,18,19 114:20,20,23 115:4 headaches 142:1 heading 154:7 headquarters 122:6 hear 116:11 123:20 128:17 heard 19:4 22:3 50:3 56:23 71:15 78:3,7 87:8 94:20 152:4 hearing 2:1 17:22 18:6 38:2 44:19 49:3,6 51:10 72:9 80:19 92:18 93:3 113:18 117:24 120:11 129:11 155:15,21 hearings 19:4 85:9 155:12 heart 127:23 129:2	hein 12:7 held 99:16 100:1 121:5 hell 35:19 hello 123:20 help 18:8 34:1 58:3 112:20 135:18 helped 83:16 helping 58:11 86:20 141:17 helpless 139:24 hensley 8:21 heras 11:17 herculean 35:12 hermann 6:10 hernandez 8:22 herrmann 78:20 88:22,23 88:25 89:1,2 91:3 92:7 hershey 8:23 hex 122:5 he'll 108:12 high 51:5 106:7 123:8 143:22 higher 37:19 99:20 113:15 highlight 17:13 48:11 86:1 highlighted 30:23,25 120:10 123:12	highlights 89:5 hire 136:4,14 hired 33:20 95:7 historic 38:10 38:13 historically 69:2,20 history 141:16 hit 153:15 hittelman 8:24 hmm 28:9,14 67:23 hoc 5:2 6:2 17:18 18:16,19 50:10 58:8 71:15,18,24 77:16,18,19 78:1,7,11,22 79:10,23 80:10 81:2 89:18,21 90:10 95:10,11 95:11 96:1 101:17 112:16 112:19 149:20 hocs 93:19 hoc's 79:1 hoeinghaus 35:24 holcomb 8:25 hold 56:4 holdco 98:21 98:24 holder 111:4 112:10 124:17 149:4
h			
h 3:22 hadn't 96:25 half 93:22 108:22 139:11 153:5 hall 121:4 halls 48:2 hand 22:20 23:6 32:8,14 39:19 45:16 155:22 handagama 12:16 handful 17:25 74:2 87:9 118:21 handle 142:17 hannah 11:8 happen 79:2 happened 89:8 97:9 98:1 119:1 happy 87:14 87:17 91:10 138:16 152:5 haqqani 8:20 hard 53:12 56:7 64:17 69:19 71:20 80:20 92:19 120:13,16 harrington 93:25 96:11			

holders 6:2 16:25 17:19 19:16,17,18,22 19:22,24 20:4 20:9,25,25 33:17 34:20 37:1,5,17 39:20 42:12 43:25 79:4 101:5,8,10 110:12,22,23 111:3,10,18 117:16,22 122:16 123:3 133:18 134:1 137:1 147:10 149:10,20,23 holding 143:2 holdings 121:6 holds 117:2 143:1 holohan 12:18 home 114:19 114:20 hon 1:22 honor 14:5,7 15:12 16:17 18:3 19:3 20:5 26:14 27:11,13 28:12,17,22 30:16 31:16 33:9 36:11 37:23 40:2,6 41:1,3,9,18 43:22 44:4,12 50:3 53:14 54:9 56:12,21	60:22 61:11,15 61:15,19,22 62:13,23 63:9 63:11,16 65:4 66:3,24 67:11 67:20,25 68:7 70:3,5 71:11 71:16 73:15,23 74:16,23 75:5 75:8,9,11,14 76:6,11,13,14 77:3,11,14,17 78:5 80:14,18 80:25 81:6,12 81:20 82:5,18 82:22 83:19 84:1,10,20,25 85:24 87:15 88:2,20 89:2 91:5 92:17,24 100:10,20 101:5 102:10 103:18 107:20 108:7,10,14 109:17 110:2 110:10,17 111:2,17,21 112:6,24 113:11,23 114:5 115:2,21 116:8,20 117:18,24 118:18 119:11 121:24 123:22 126:19 127:10 127:23 128:1 128:11,17	133:16 144:6,8 144:9 145:6,11 152:15,21 153:20 154:20 156:3 hope 39:4 43:10 52:20 53:10 80:23 82:15 88:11 123:2,25 124:7 128:11 135:16 138:20 hopeful 80:19 87:20 hopefully 41:16 83:12 87:4 88:8 123:4 153:9 155:17 hoping 138:19 host 15:9,10 hot 33:14 hour 103:16 141:13 hours 49:20 141:12 hovering 114:8 hudson 106:16 huge 89:16 90:1,16,24 humanly 83:5 84:9 humble 139:14 hundred 134:10 hundreds 49:20 85:20	103:8 121:14 hurley 9:1 hussein 44:24 140:25 hybrid 2:1 hyde 2:25 157:3,8 hyperbole 25:21 46:2 hypothecate 31:1,21 hypothetical 44:16 45:21 hypothetically 44:13 i i.e. 94:22 130:4 130:17 138:7 ico 122:19 idea 18:4 117:3 118:15 153:11 ideal 120:8 identical 30:6 127:10 identified 52:13 58:13 62:19,25 63:8 75:8 117:20 identify 58:15 64:15,17 identifying 59:14 ignat 5:15 17:18 85:1 ignore 101:20 101:25 140:22
---	--	---	--

ignores 53:3	80:1 86:19	108:1 139:8	indicated 81:3
ignoring 153:2	95:16,20	143:12	109:8,13,14,23
ii 32:5	155:17,18	included 47:1	118:8
il 3:6	importantly	47:7,22 58:9	indicates
illegal 129:3	50:24 85:13	60:5 63:12	106:20 112:18
151:10	86:22 88:17	72:10,12 87:19	113:24 114:13
illegality 129:4	93:21 97:16	99:25 113:8	122:8
illogical 104:20	impression	114:1 120:20	indicating 77:8
immanuel 6:10	56:25 105:4	145:18 150:19	113:13 121:15
78:20 88:23	improper	154:24	indication 45:9
89:2	131:13	includes 38:25	indications
immediately	improperly	47:14 49:19	120:7
107:12 115:25	36:15	50:15 115:14	indicative 44:8
immunity	improve 52:23	including	54:4
46:15	improved	16:19 23:23	indicia 86:2
impact 49:12	57:15	24:5 47:19	indiscernible
118:2,15 119:4	improvement	85:8 90:7	83:18,22,23
123:6	53:12	137:8	84:4,5,5,9
impairing	inaccurate	inconsistent	89:11 90:18
130:15	98:14	22:21 25:4	94:6 95:19
impartially	inadvertently	incorporate	96:19 97:24,25
128:12	120:2	16:24 39:14	98:11,11 99:3
implemented	inappropriate	incorporated	99:18 100:7,7
117:5	51:14 98:4	21:5 39:16	100:24 102:5
implications	134:9	81:14 87:18	105:22 107:12
74:21 142:15	incentive 19:13	incorrect	107:15 111:5
142:17	33:1,4 35:10	126:25	113:9 118:11
implies 46:14	36:3 58:17	increase 89:14	139:10 141:24
implore 125:10	94:13,14 146:5	118:25 123:9	142:9,21 143:9
127:23	146:15	incredible	143:10,12
importance	incentivized	54:21	individual
26:6 86:25	34:8	incredibly	133:6 149:22
118:19	inception	115:23	individual's
important 38:4	105:23 122:17	indenture	50:21 54:13
40:6 41:2	include 34:10	151:3,4	individually
52:21 57:5	39:8 47:8	independent	48:1 93:10
58:23 64:10	55:14 75:7,13	50:23 95:3	

[individuals - interviews]

Page 32

individuals 18:12 41:21 52:8 62:3 104:7 124:22 145:15 146:23 154:14 industry 42:3,5 inequitable 102:19 infeasible 152:2 inflation 122:5 inflection 30:2 30:7 influence 79:22 106:11 106:16 131:15 142:3 influenced 106:13 influencer 79:23 influencing 105:17 informal 81:15 information 51:17 52:23 58:6 59:8 60:18 61:1 66:8 79:20 113:6 121:18 informed 48:8 89:13 113:6 infusion 133:11 134:14 inherent 104:13	initial 53:2 79:13 108:20 117:21 119:13 119:14 122:19 initially 138:17 injustice 125:24 injustices 135:15 input 52:23 96:7 inquire 120:19 inquired 117:25 inquiries 50:8 inquiry 120:21 insert 135:22 140:8 inside 135:24 insider 106:4 insiders 106:13 106:22 119:7 122:14 135:21 insinuation 49:22 insisting 26:11 insolvent 147:25 instance 41:22 53:1,25 75:13 instances 115:3 instant 86:5 instilled 140:7 instruct 52:9 instructions 62:12	instructive 57:25 insurance 104:24 integrity 107:11 108:1 125:11 127:21 intelligence 23:20,21 24:6 24:14,16 intended 43:2 69:3 intention 121:4 intentional 21:14 intentionality 127:13 interactions 112:6 interest 17:10 20:14 21:8,11 21:18,21 34:25 37:20,21 46:12 47:19 60:15,24 71:1,5 76:16 76:20 78:10 87:22 94:23 103:5,11 104:24 112:9 112:11 115:10 115:16 121:10 126:13 141:2,6 143:1 155:6 interested 50:8 85:12 interesting 15:24 111:3	interests 17:7 21:7 32:7 44:5 44:16 49:21 50:1 51:1 54:11 90:23 115:4,9 141:24 142:2 interfaced 48:12 interim 48:15 internal 122:17 internally 25:4 international 116:21 internet 98:5 104:12 interpret 113:21 146:11 146:11 interpretation 121:21 126:23 interpreted 115:24 116:1 interrupt 131:7 142:10 144:12 interrupting 73:3 intersect 29:10 interviewed 48:17 interviewees 79:11 interviews 48:18,19
---	--	---	--

intimate 65:16 intrinsic 45:10 103:23 104:3,5 104:9,14,20,25 105:1,12,16 introduce 44:23 invalid 61:16 inventing 139:10 invested 146:23 investigate 141:14 investigating 42:10 investigation 47:25 investigations 55:10 103:13 investing 55:2 investment 33:16 53:2,4 94:2 96:14,16 125:18 investments 15:16 42:7 investor 93:25 investors 132:20 invited 112:9 involve 42:2 62:21 involved 33:19 48:13,25 59:3 66:19 115:25 136:11,19	143:21 involvement 117:6 135:24 iridium 20:20 isolated 20:3 issue 18:3 19:15,20 21:17 26:23 27:1,11 27:17 30:11 33:14 34:23 36:12 40:5,8 43:14 46:3 62:5 65:5 67:18 73:7,16 73:23 74:7,10 74:21 75:6,19 76:2,3,14 87:21 108:21 109:1 117:7 124:12 131:3 146:5 147:19 148:3 155:20 issued 93:8 issues 15:25 17:15,25 18:2 18:2,23 19:12 20:24 24:15 30:10 31:25 36:11 38:8,25 42:22 52:25 54:17 55:17 56:8 57:2,3,8 57:13 62:24 65:3 72:4,14 72:21 73:10 74:16,24,25 75:1,3 76:5	81:14 82:24 86:9,15 87:9 90:7,18 91:18 108:15 117:20 123:3 144:17 147:5 148:1 153:24 item 26:14 38:4 136:21 items 136:24 iterative 120:23 it's 74:20 75:10 83:24,24 84:20 87:25 88:13 89:4 91:7,15 96:24 97:17,24 100:3 102:14 116:14,19 i'm 75:2,2 76:2 81:22 82:10 84:14 87:14 98:1 114:21 116:13,18 i've 95:22 99:5 116:3	jasmine 7:5 jason 9:20 83:21 89:22 jaymzzz 139:9 jean 9:15 jeff 84:25 jeffrey 5:19 7:8 jelisavcic 103:6 jennifer 10:20 jeremy 9:22 jerry 9:24 jesus 131:4 job 51:1 54:21 83:14 86:24 100:2 joe 78:21 89:21 95:13 joel 7:10 johan 6:20 john 8:7 10:9 johnson 9:3 join 116:22 jokes 104:12 jonathan 10:19 12:22 jones 3:22 jose 15:9 joseph 9:16 10:24 joshua 4:16 7:20 journey 68:5 108:15 joyce 6:6 77:17 83:21 89:22
		j	
		j 5:6 7:23,24 12:25 james 8:9 jamshid 9:2 janitor 83:10 january 40:18 40:20,24 jarno 12:4 jasleigh 8:13	

judge 1:23 46:17,24 69:10 91:2,3 123:4 123:10 133:16 140:11 judgement 113:6 judgements 95:2 judgment 51:19 52:15 107:8 june 24:8,10 24:10 120:4 jury 144:11 justice 4:18 55:3 90:22 107:2,9 127:23 128:10,13 justified 143:10	91:13 134:14 keilty 98:9 keilty's 98:3 keips 36:7 keith 4:15 9:25 10:5 49:19 kelvin 120:25 kept 122:23 kevin 9:21 key 20:21 22:5 23:5,13 24:15 31:22 34:9 36:5 38:8 54:25 94:6 99:13 117:19 135:19 148:3 keyan 11:12 keywords 31:4 kherzi 9:10 kicks 39:11 kind 96:18 126:7 130:4 153:7 kirkland 3:3 3:10,17 14:6 63:16 67:4 75:6 92:22 144:9 kirsanov 6:16 36:24 37:6 107:19,20 108:5,6,7,10 108:12,14 114:19,24 115:1,2 116:9 148:7,12,18 149:8 153:23	kirsanov's 36:12 147:19 klorane 9:11 knauth 12:19 knew 44:14 65:17 71:8 94:22 138:25 139:3,25 148:14 know 14:8,13 14:24 33:14 40:7 59:12 63:12,15,19 64:24 65:18,18 66:17 67:19 69:4,10,25 90:3 95:1,10 98:22 100:17 109:13 112:3 116:14 118:13 135:14 139:9 142:6,17 145:22 148:15 152:15 154:5 155:23 knowing 60:16 61:12 71:2,6,8 112:7 138:22 knowledge 66:8 85:17 known 60:17 60:17 71:9 117:7 120:25 knows 88:22 koenig 3:8 14:4 14:5,6,14 15:7 15:8,11 16:17	20:5 21:13 27:20,24 28:4 28:9,12,14,17 28:22 29:23 30:1,5,9,16,19 31:3,7 33:7,11 41:5,6 43:8,15 43:23 45:1 54:18,20 56:10 58:17 63:14,16 63:16,20,24 64:3,6,9,12,21 65:1,4 68:15 72:17,23 73:3 73:4 75:4,5,5 76:13 77:8,10 81:21 127:5 144:5,6,8,8 145:2 150:7 152:20 153:13 156:3 kokinos 53:3 53:15 kovsky 81:2 kudos 89:24 kuhns 6:6 77:17,18 83:21 89:22 96:4 kuhrt 9:12 kurman 6:1 77:18 83:21 89:23 kyle 7:11
k			
kaczkowski 9:4 kahn 9:5 kaitlyn 8:24 karen 1:25 156:4 kass 9:6 katherine 7:4 kathryn 8:18 kaufmann 12:5 kaza 9:7 keasey 9:8 kedzior 9:9 keep 14:17 34:18 48:8			
			l
			l 10:6 11:19 labor 55:23 132:23,25

<p>lack 25:19 86:12 103:22 104:19 105:11 117:22 lackey 9:13 lacking 104:13 lacks 51:23 105:18 118:21 122:6 lafayette 7:22 laid 129:7 lalana 10:14 landscape 105:14 language 16:24 18:24 30:23,25 31:17 55:15 60:11 70:23 72:15 73:22 74:14,15 75:16 75:18,20 87:23 113:12 116:2 144:25 145:5 145:18 150:3 155:2 large 41:19 53:20 146:2 153:2 155:13 largely 50:21 69:6 104:15 larger 78:7 largest 90:15 112:9 las 11:17 lasalle 3:5 lastly 107:10 154:14</p>	<p>latam 46:17 47:5 late 15:4 93:9 129:14 latona 9:14 latreille 9:15 lau 6:24 134:19 134:21,23 135:1,3,4,6,9 135:11 142:11 142:12,23,24 144:2,4 151:18 law 14:17 16:16 19:2 42:4 47:12 48:9 69:24 72:5 87:9,16 94:9 101:14 103:14,14 110:6 126:3 128:12 129:5,9 130:14 131:18 133:8,8 143:14 155:25 laws 81:19 130:11 151:12 lawsuit 66:21 lawsuits 69:17 lawyer 29:4 136:4,14 138:13 139:3 lawyers 62:14 102:2 139:6,10 139:25 140:21 141:22 143:21 layla 10:4</p>	<p>lays 16:6 lea 9:11 lead 88:17 93:25 141:17 leading 87:1 117:8 120:23 121:13 leads 96:18 99:9 147:21 leaked 120:25 learned 32:21 leave 137:10 152:24 leaves 123:4 led 33:20 51:25 55:12 65:19 86:21 96:10 ledanski 2:25 157:3,8 left 29:17 95:12 legal 16:13 17:3,6 33:13 36:25 37:4 42:4,7,11 51:23 86:9 112:22 124:12 124:15,17,19 124:21 127:25 128:3,9 135:14 148:20 150:3 157:20 legality 81:18 legally 101:12 101:25 104:20 130:1,12</p>	<p>legge 12:20 lehrfeld 9:16 78:21 89:21 95:13 lender 126:14 lengthy 60:10 lennon 9:17 leonard 9:18 9:19 lesser 97:11 lesson 72:17 letter 38:6 39:6 135:7 138:17 let's 84:4 level 98:25 117:4 121:16 134:9 levels 36:4 106:16 leverage 126:4 lexington 3:19 lexus 47:6 liability 109:3 liberties 132:6 132:7 liens 95:2 lies 107:2 life 41:22 lifetime 105:23 light 106:16 121:8 137:2 likely 40:16 44:10 45:18,19 45:22 76:19 84:6 96:19 125:9</p>
---	---	---	--

likened 151:3	29:8,14,14,17	26:15 27:15	longer 18:25
likes 151:6	29:21 37:19	31:23 39:25	21:19,22 24:4
likewise 53:1	44:1,16 114:2	73:21 74:12	39:13,17 140:9
82:13	114:9,17	91:18 92:19	140:14
limine 23:15	115:17 148:4	126:17 147:19	look 19:8 49:10
limit 90:22	liquidity	149:17	54:5 68:17
144:25	121:16	live 39:17,18	76:2,3 98:1
limited 27:6	list 14:8 18:10	133:15,24	looked 123:6
46:15 58:1	57:8 58:10	lives 91:9	looking 76:14
59:8,16 66:10	60:3 64:2	llc 1:7	80:22
66:25 81:12,15	154:24	llp 3:3,10,17	looks 39:24
93:3 104:3	listed 65:6	4:1,9 5:1,14	45:14
133:9 134:12	112:2 143:13	loan 27:17	lopez 15:9
145:4 146:12	listened 80:18	38:15 113:3	92:21 93:7
limits 47:10	listening 100:9	116:24 121:7	108:12
57:23	128:14	124:14 125:3	los 4:5
line 29:15	listing 96:17	125:14 126:10	lose 140:2,2
38:19 58:2,4	lists 69:1	126:12,13,13	losing 138:20
58:12 81:9	litany 68:25	126:15,17	loss 74:12
lines 29:17	litigants 59:17	127:7 128:4	109:18 125:9
44:20 49:4	60:19 61:2	149:4 154:4	losses 78:13
86:10	litigate 136:4,5	loaned 130:5	117:17 123:2
linked 119:7	137:24 138:13	loans 19:12	139:15 142:16
liquid 34:10,12	litigated 20:23	30:9,10,13	lost 15:15
34:17 39:20	136:9	95:11 116:24	100:15,18
40:8,11 42:15	litigation 20:22	121:15 124:25	lot 16:9 17:14
42:16 53:21,23	48:5 57:18	127:4 149:24	22:4 23:12
53:23 54:2	58:5 60:2,11	location 83:8	41:19,24 42:5
97:12,13,15	65:24 73:15	locked 108:18	56:7 57:2 66:4
99:11,15,20	79:4 80:13	locking 119:23	67:10 138:10
146:18,22	85:5 90:1,4,17	lombard 6:3	145:24 154:14
153:3	93:17 94:5	long 37:11	lots 23:10
liquidated	95:6,14 100:8	54:14 57:8	153:24
44:15 121:7	106:25 119:6	68:3,5 71:22	louder 55:4
liquidation	136:18 143:23	71:23 80:13,22	low 105:7
21:10,23 22:2	little 14:20	82:23 89:4	106:8
27:23 28:15,21	16:15,21 18:5	139:22	

<p>lower 22:2 29:1 36:7 37:20 113:15 lowest 21:3 lu 9:20 lucas 8:25 lucy 11:13 luke 11:10 luna 116:25 lupu 12:21 ly 68:24</p>	<p>34:23 41:20 83:8 maintained 96:16 major 32:9,23 48:23 50:4 79:8 90:19 142:1 majority 46:5 91:7 96:5 103:22 106:21 110:12 111:20 111:25 137:25 138:12 make 14:9 15:8 18:13 26:21,25 31:23 32:3 33:12 34:16,19 36:14 37:12,13 39:5 40:4,14 49:10 56:14 62:12 63:25 65:2 67:17 68:15 73:1 74:4,23 75:9 75:14 77:1 82:8,14 83:6 83:17 92:1 93:3 109:7 112:17 113:6 115:22 135:17 147:12 150:8 151:12 152:7 153:21 makers 47:4 makes 36:13 69:19 70:12,19</p>	<p>84:7 115:14 146:17 makeup 60:11 making 34:10 39:19 51:25 75:21 77:1 117:23 122:23 123:9 male 142:9 malpractice 52:2 man 61:24 mana 114:6,7 managed 19:5 management 33:16,18,19,25 43:1,1 53:6,9 77:20 83:12 88:16 153:8 mandating 130:11 mandatory 58:20 84:11 manipulated 24:11 45:5 106:21 manipulating 127:19 manipulation 106:4,10,14 107:7 117:11 119:8 manner 42:25 71:7 manus 9:21 march 133:15</p>	<p>margin 119:19 mark 4:24 9:18 10:17 32:9 50:4 122:20 market 22:7,8 24:11 34:4 35:24,25 36:2 36:4 43:3 44:7 44:9 45:6,8,15 96:13 99:4,24 103:24 104:15 104:16,21,24 105:9,21 106:1 106:3,15 107:7 113:16,19 118:7 119:18 120:14 125:5,8 125:12 128:5 markets 104:23 maronpot 9:22 marsal 21:17 marsh 9:23 martin 1:22 9:9 11:24 mashinsky 26:3,7 33:23 42:23 127:15 massey 9:24 massive 78:12 100:3 117:8 material 53:13 117:19 materially 93:11 materials 96:3</p>
m			
<p>m 9:21 11:2 12:5 machinations 128:8 maciej 10:13 made 25:16 34:13 35:2,3 37:14 40:15 42:5 48:8,21 48:22,23 49:2 49:8 54:3,22 54:22 56:8,13 61:8 62:20 68:2,20 83:17 84:3,18 89:5 89:16 92:4 93:15 94:17 97:11 98:9 116:3 118:13 119:11 125:11 145:22 148:2 148:19 149:11 151:9 153:22 main 19:11 22:15 30:10</p>			

math 29:5	meaning 90:14	members 115:4 146:10	
matter 1:5	means 20:10	18:14,16 46:9	153:15
34:4 82:25	71:8 146:19	46:16,20 47:13	methodologies
95:4 102:4,12	meant 16:23	48:8,12,16,19	107:8
104:19 107:10	24:13	48:22 49:7	methods 107:4
107:11 112:5	measures	50:14,17,22,22	115:15
136:24 139:6	119:4	50:24 51:15	meticulously
139:17 140:2	mechanism	52:6 58:8,13	124:6
matters 97:25	137:15	78:9,20 79:11	metrics 34:9
105:10	media 120:19	79:20 80:3	35:10,20,21
matthew 11:7	137:19	88:19 89:19,21	98:6 106:17
11:18	mediation 85:4	93:17 95:24	118:11 146:10
maude 13:2	89:20 90:9,11	103:6 116:22	153:13,15
max 102:23,24	90:12,21,25	143:13	mg 1:3
109:11 141:1,8	mediations	meme 105:15	mia 12:12
141:11	48:4	memorandum	michael 8:16
maximize	meet 17:9	110:5	10:21 93:25
19:17 34:6	20:14 26:2	mendelson	96:11 97:5
79:25 121:5	35:8,20 109:3	10:1	michaels 10:3
maximizing	114:16 115:9	mention 58:24	mid 39:10
42:14	115:16	89:5 128:25	middle 19:20
maximum	meeting 96:2,5	142:7,12	77:4
99:14 152:10	121:8 131:15	mentioned	midst 155:11
mccarrick 3:15	meetings 47:15	17:21 29:4	mike 9:3 10:25
mccarter 5:1	48:14 50:20	96:3 109:17	12:20
71:17	79:15	122:25 150:11	milin 10:8
mccormack	meets 20:15	mercuri 10:2	milligan 10:4
9:25	21:7 46:6	mere 25:20	million 44:12
md 6:4	115:10	merely 59:2	53:3,3,4 94:2,3
mean 28:20	melissa 11:19	131:14	96:15,15,16
57:1 63:15	member 18:19	merits 52:18	97:19 98:22
64:1,14 69:23	32:9,16 54:8	mess 42:8	99:2,16 101:2
74:14 100:5	60:18 71:3,7	message 109:6	102:8 108:22
136:3 138:3	85:5 110:7	met 18:6 21:19	122:8,11,19
139:19 146:24	112:21 113:22	21:22 44:6	130:17
147:24 151:7	121:3	48:16 58:20	millions 103:8
		98:19 108:24	121:14

[mime - need]

Page 39

mime 104:11 104:14	misrepresent... 110:8 111:22 117:4 151:6	143:6 month 24:18 37:15 71:22,22 71:23 143:18 143:18,18	must've 14:21 mutual 80:8 myriad 105:16
minds 131:15	misrepresent... 152:25	months 33:20 35:15,15 49:18 82:5 86:19 90:12 143:19	n
mineola 157:23	misrepresented 110:5 113:8 121:19	morning 43:18 53:17 61:23 62:1 100:15 127:6	n 3:1 14:1 157:1
minimis 44:10 45:4,19	missing 111:4	motion 23:15 40:2 44:25 56:12 81:16 107:17,17 118:13	nagi 83:21 89:22
minimize 52:20	mistake 98:8	move 38:4 53:9 56:17 70:6 82:15 92:4 139:15	name 18:15,16 63:8 64:15,17 95:12
minimum 99:12	mistakes 116:3	moved 69:24 115:7	naming 18:4 18:14
mining 38:11 38:11 39:9 42:14 47:16 88:10 98:11 99:2,17	misundersta... 86:7	moving 26:13 30:9 31:25 43:1 47:20 91:9 101:19 102:23 103:17	narrative 126:25 141:22
minor 98:22	mitchell 9:1	multiple 95:1 95:22 97:13	narrow 20:11 57:12 65:24 68:1
minute 88:24 92:11 121:23 133:22 140:13 142:23	mitigate 117:17	multiply 28:23 29:7,24	nasdaq 80:4
minutes 14:14 27:21 41:15,16 92:8 100:16 144:5	mix 125:8	multiplied 29:15 102:8	natural 42:17
mira 8:20	mm 28:9,14 67:23		nature 150:11
mis 126:21	modified 18:12 26:24 72:11 73:5 74:8 93:5		ne 5:9
misbehavior 128:8	modify 40:3		near 22:19 39:5 148:6
misconduct 46:22 47:9 68:25 127:24	moment 14:4 17:21 26:3 33:13		nearly 15:21 16:1 17:24
misleading 105:13	monday 53:17		necessarily 94:23
misled 42:6 121:22	monetary 110:12,23 111:13,14,15 111:20,25 114:1		necessary 36:3 56:11 74:3 75:24 77:2 144:7
misrepresent 127:18	monetize 42:16		need 14:12 16:6 26:22
	money 83:3 136:13 139:7 139:16 140:2 141:11 142:3		

<p>27:11 38:21 43:15 54:5 67:2,4 74:6,9 75:17 76:17 83:25 86:17 96:22 100:1 106:11 129:25 135:6 needed 38:23 55:1 77:25 99:2 111:15 117:15 needs 99:1,24 100:5 negate 105:8 negative 96:12 negatively 96:12 negligence 46:23 47:9 negotiated 35:21 negotiating 34:14 69:8 negotiation 35:15 58:22 65:18 66:2,18 66:19 neither 52:17 113:14 net 85:23 network 1:7 116:22,23 117:6,12 120:7 121:14 122:17 networks 50:12 98:15</p>	<p>neutral 132:7 never 23:17,17 112:9 116:14 118:3 120:22 149:1 new 1:2,14 3:20 4:13,21 5:4,17 33:25 38:25 42:2 54:8 83:11,12 88:8 89:20 90:9 133:12,12 133:15 134:10 140:1 141:17 newco 21:25 27:22,25 28:5 28:21,24 29:19 35:6 38:14,24 42:25 43:2 48:6 51:2 52:21,24,25 53:9,18 57:19 60:4,6 65:6,7 67:21 79:4,9 79:13,15 80:1 83:4,8 85:22 93:13,15 94:1 94:2,3 95:21 97:18 99:11 130:18,20,24 132:19 141:15 143:14 144:18 144:18,19,22 146:25 153:6 153:11 nice 72:17</p>	<p>nicer 68:14 nick 78:21 89:20 nicole 9:19 nine 106:8 110:11,23 135:10 noah 11:2 nominating 52:7 non 19:17,22 20:25 106:1 nonexistent 105:7 normal 120:5 normally 35:14 41:24 north 3:5 nose 51:25 notably 16:19 23:23 note 72:11 80:2 101:16 112:16 144:12 150:17 150:19 151:11 noted 43:17 72:8 81:21 148:9 notes 109:23 151:4,7 notice 51:6 72:12 115:24 novel 42:2 120:24 november 39:10 157:25</p>	<p>noyes 10:5 49:20 nuance 58:24 number 16:22 17:16 18:21 19:4,25 29:1,2 30:1,14,16 43:8 62:21 65:12 79:10 86:3 93:9 94:19 98:6 100:3 102:7,9 111:4,7 118:14 127:7,9 129:8 146:20 148:9 148:13 149:19 153:2 154:1,8 numbers 15:1 29:9 30:6 155:14 numerous 82:24 85:13 108:25 112:20 137:7 nuraldeen 7:13 nw 3:12 ny 1:14 3:20 4:13,21 5:4,17 157:23</p>
			o
			<p>o 1:21 14:1 157:1 oath 121:22 obfuscating 126:23 object 81:16 131:19 135:16</p>

<p>objected 19:5 32:19 54:7 74:3,6 140:17 objecting 16:19 17:8 33:2,7 74:11 74:14 75:22 objection 19:6 19:7 37:21 46:8 68:2 72:15 73:18 81:12,15 93:3 96:24 110:9 129:7 135:13 138:17 140:5 140:14,15 145:23 objections 16:12,22 17:12 17:13,22 18:21 43:8 91:23 92:1 128:25 129:2 137:8 140:20,22 151:25 objective 26:2 107:8 objectively 102:24 objector 30:10 objectors 76:21 85:12 87:7 89:13 obligated 130:1,12 145:16</p>	<p>obligation 72:9 130:15 obligations 55:9 128:11 observation 96:7 observations 32:4 observe 108:9 observed 78:1 observers 52:20 79:15,18 79:20 95:12,25 96:8,10 97:4 obtain 82:2 obtained 109:19 obviate 26:22 150:2 obvious 126:20 134:11,13 obviously 19:11 40:13 65:16 71:24 75:2 147:22 149:21 occasions 25:18 occur 80:23 88:13 occurred 79:10 97:6,9 occurrence 56:9 85:19 occurring 90:11</p>	<p>october 1:16 44:19 49:3 71:22 120:11 121:4,9 122:24 154:1 offer 122:19 131:24 offered 36:5 119:14 offering 119:15 131:20 131:25 132:7,8 132:21 133:1 offers 45:13 office 56:22 officer 33:22 official 4:2,10 41:10 47:14 68:14 122:6 152:22 officially 101:21 offit 6:1 77:18 83:21 89:23 offset 119:9 oftentimes 152:6 okay 14:16 15:11 27:25 28:10 29:20,25 30:8,9,17 33:10 41:17 59:24 65:5 67:12,24 68:6 70:18 76:4,5 76:10,12 77:9 84:17 92:10,23</p>	<p>100:19 108:11 108:13 116:16 128:19 129:2 129:21,24 132:12 133:18 133:23 134:21 135:4,4,11,11 138:12,24 old 157:21 ombudswom... 18:24 omission 117:20 126:25 omissions 117:19 omitted 122:9 onboarded 116:21 once 78:19 one's 137:16 ones 20:22 49:2 ongoing 82:1 open 15:25 17:25 34:19 67:13,17 144:17 opening 14:9 40:11 78:1 148:2,18 149:18 151:2 openly 25:18 operate 54:23 120:16 operates 104:21</p>
--	--	--	---

operating 92:22 98:20 122:2 opining 81:18 opinion 23:19 31:18 44:7 45:2,14 47:5 53:19 117:14 139:14 opinions 15:13 16:10 19:16 opportunities 36:10 opportunity 20:19 27:5 51:11,21 78:3 78:6 117:16 149:18 153:6 153:20 154:2 155:15,20 oppose 17:20 19:9,10 90:15 opposed 76:20 95:17 98:16 opposes 18:25 opposition 107:21 opt 27:5,6 37:15 61:6 62:10,18 136:2 136:7,10,14,16 138:4 145:17 145:19,21,22 145:25 151:18 151:21 opted 27:7 61:5 136:9	option 53:8 130:25 131:9 131:10,13,19 131:21,24 139:18 optouts 61:16 62:4,5 orchestrate 26:9 orchestrated 26:7 order 16:23 18:12,13,24 26:25 27:12 36:19 43:18 55:21 61:20 62:25 64:8 72:6,24,25 73:5 74:1,8 75:7,19 76:1 80:21 93:8 99:22 125:11 126:24 131:2 145:18 148:22 149:3,6,7 150:18 154:24 ordered 101:13 ordering 102:2 orderly 21:24 28:10,21,24 29:2 30:2 38:24 54:16 83:2 97:14,16 99:10,13,20 147:2,2 orders 148:24	organization 49:11 organizing 90:25 original 93:22 129:7 otis 6:14 44:23 100:13 111:8 153:22 outcome 78:16 143:1 outcomes 79:22 outlandish 25:7 outline 34:22 outlined 81:19 outlines 127:16 outset 14:7 outside 68:23 outsiders 137:19 outstanding 16:12 57:12 62:23 91:18 95:2 113:3 overall 96:15 overcollatera... 121:15 overrides 138:9 overruled 37:22 overseeing 95:7 overseen 35:4	oversight 60:12 73:17 79:4 80:13 85:5 93:17 94:5,6,13 95:6 95:14 100:8 oversimplifi... 105:12 overvaluation 99:6 overvalued 99:12 overview 17:12 overwhelming 43:4,6 46:5 90:19 137:5 overwhelmin... 19:21 20:25 21:6 37:6 own 26:6 47:23 51:25 52:5 68:3 90:23 120:11 126:25 136:4,6,18 138:13 150:6 150:10 153:23 owned 76:19 93:14 150:9 ownership 31:5,13,15,22 74:4,13,18,22 74:25 75:19 124:13,13,20 <p style="text-align: center;">p</p> p 3:1,1 8:23 10:22 14:1
---	--	---	---

<p>p.m. 156:5</p> <p>pacifier 95:25 97:4</p> <p>pacifiers 95:25</p> <p>packing 35:15</p> <p>page 23:22 44:20 49:4 72:18 73:19 92:20,23 122:7 127:12 135:8 154:7</p> <p>pages 108:12 120:1,1 127:15</p> <p>paid 103:16 116:25 131:25 132:1 143:22 143:22</p> <p>palpable 118:22 121:10</p> <p>pandemic 155:12</p> <p>paper 77:8</p> <p>papp 10:7</p> <p>paragraph 43:17 72:18,22 73:24,25 127:1</p> <p>paragraphs 126:24</p> <p>park 5:3</p> <p>part 17:6 32:12 32:13 38:20 49:18 52:21 66:1 81:22 98:22,24,24 99:1 103:2 128:3 130:2 132:16 135:1</p>	<p>137:11 150:4</p> <p>partial 142:16</p> <p>partially 133:10 134:13</p> <p>participants 36:1 69:22</p> <p>participate 149:4</p> <p>participated 48:2,3 50:20 79:11 145:8</p> <p>participating 69:14 79:15 85:3</p> <p>participation 155:10</p> <p>particular 18:20 20:23 78:19 80:4 86:2 89:9 105:8 127:16</p> <p>particularly 21:4 35:13 111:3</p> <p>parties 14:9 16:19,20 17:8 17:11,16 18:5 19:8 38:7 41:1 47:4 48:10 58:7,15 59:12 59:12,16 60:3 60:15,24 66:16 67:22 69:7 84:5,15 87:3 91:24 118:9 119:7 136:11 145:3 152:7</p>	<p>partis 40:6</p> <p>partners 95:5</p> <p>parts 99:1</p> <p>party 44:6 57:6 58:4 59:1 60:6 61:7 62:6,11 65:6 71:1,5,25 85:2 87:10 136:7,17 137:9 140:18 155:6,6</p> <p>party's 145:7</p> <p>pass 37:21 111:15</p> <p>passed 129:14</p> <p>passing 151:11</p> <p>patel 10:8 12:6</p> <p>patouhas 10:9</p> <p>patrick 12:18</p> <p>pause 22:7,15 22:22,25 23:8 24:17,21,23 25:18 45:15 46:1</p> <p>paused 117:13</p> <p>pay 34:4 40:22 119:10 121:14 130:1 138:13 146:13</p> <p>paying 146:7</p> <p>payment 35:8</p> <p>payouts 142:16</p> <p>paypal 34:15 59:8,22 62:24 63:7,21 64:18 67:22</p> <p>pays 126:13</p>	<p>pdf 92:18</p> <p>pending 102:1</p> <p>pennies 90:14</p> <p>pennsylvania 3:12</p> <p>people 19:10 34:5 40:9 66:18 69:5,11 69:12 92:21 94:22,24 95:9 97:12,13,20,21 98:6 114:23 115:7 135:7 136:15,19 138:14 139:3 141:19,20 145:13,24 148:9 151:24 153:1,3,10,24 155:14</p> <p>perceived 104:19 105:11</p> <p>percent 15:22 19:24,25 28:4 28:11,16,25 29:21 30:4 33:16 94:15 95:8 97:19,20 97:21,23 99:6 99:7,13 102:20 111:12,13 114:3 115:6,18 119:20,23 137:20,20 146:25 147:3</p> <p>percentage 28:1,2</p>
--	--	---	--

perella 94:25 95:4 97:7 perfect 83:24 152:9 perform 46:11 53:7 performance 35:10 performed 23:17 performing 126:8 period 24:21 37:15 39:11,14 42:3 47:10 65:11 84:11 120:9 periods 127:17 perjurious 102:4 perjury 102:2 permit 14:11 14:24 15:2,4,5 85:18 88:5 129:6 permitted 151:16 permitting 88:6 perpetuity 136:8 perplexed 75:9 perplexing 37:8 122:3 perry 10:10 78:20 89:20	persistent 25:3 person 78:4 132:23 141:5 personally 25:23 68:3 pesce 10:11 peter 8:7 12:25 petition 21:12 21:13,18 22:1 22:10,12,15,17 22:19,23 23:3 23:7,24 24:17 24:23 25:9,17 26:12 33:21 37:19 44:9,11 44:14 45:5,7,9 45:11,13,19,24 57:24 91:12 101:10 105:25 106:1 110:16 112:12 113:19 114:6,15 118:1 121:12,13 123:11 125:8 148:4 150:13 150:15 pharos 19:5 phase 80:15 89:15 phililps 92:17 92:24 93:2 philip 9:10 philippe 9:15 phillips 6:12 10:12 14:20 32:17,18,24 43:11 46:8	48:21,24 50:9 50:16 51:5,8 51:10,20,22,24 52:12,19,25 53:11 54:6 92:9,9,16,16 93:1 100:11,12 143:13 146:4 146:17 152:24 153:2 phillips's 128:25 147:5 phone 68:4 128:22 phrase 75:24 piece 77:7 127:16 pill 83:5 84:7 pillay 122:7 place 89:15 90:9 114:19 132:14 144:20 146:23 placement 107:11 places 24:24 plain 105:13 plaintiffs 19:2 plan 2:1 15:22 15:24 17:5,9,9 17:17,20 19:10 19:13,23 20:1 21:1,5,6 26:16 32:13,19,20 33:1,4 34:20 35:4 36:16,21 37:1,6,20	39:21 40:4 42:21 43:4 46:11 47:8,23 48:3,4 49:1,11 49:25 51:3 53:4,10 54:7 55:15,21 57:4 57:8,16,18 58:17 59:14 60:1 61:6,8,10 61:13 62:6,8 62:10,11,18 69:8,14,16,23 72:1,3,11,16 72:25 73:15,17 73:19,21 74:7 76:18,19,23 77:25 78:23 81:19 82:25 83:1,17,24,24 84:2,12,21 85:3,3,12,12 85:16 86:16,22 87:1,10 88:1,6 88:12 89:3 90:15,16,19 91:8,9,19,20 91:22 92:1,5 93:4,10,11,13 93:22 94:7,11 94:12 95:8 98:20 99:12 100:21 101:18 107:22,23 110:7,25 112:18,25 113:13 115:16
--	--	---	--

116:6 120:20 120:23,25 124:3 125:2,5 126:1 129:3,3 129:4,8 130:25 131:23,23 132:2,19 133:17,24 135:16,18,20 135:22,25,25 136:2,6,8,11 136:12,13,16 136:20,21,22 136:22,23,24 137:1,3,4,5,7 137:10,16,17 137:19 138:1 138:18,21,24 139:1,5,10,22 140:1,6,8,13 143:5,11,12,24 145:10,11,16 145:20,21,24 146:1,5,6,6,9 146:11,14,15 146:20 147:1,1 147:11,18 151:15,20,21 151:22,23,25 152:1,3,5,6,9 153:11 154:17 plan's 21:7 62:12 135:21 143:25 plane 151:10 planned 143:17	plans 47:1 145:25 platform 23:1 27:7 34:19 36:18 37:9 45:17,20 120:15 platforms 122:23 play 104:10 105:19 played 86:20 139:24 140:4 playing 105:5 138:10 pleadings 85:10 please 14:3 15:8 92:14 93:8 94:18 95:15 97:8 98:2 99:8,19 108:4 109:1,4 109:7,10 110:3 110:9,16,21 111:1,16,20 112:5,23 113:10,22 114:4,11 115:2 115:11 134:24 135:9 142:10 pleased 16:20 39:3 57:10 60:9 72:6 80:7 pledge 31:1,21 plethora 103:21	plus 138:14 141:12 pm 1:17 point 21:3,10 21:19,21 22:4 24:16 29:9 30:2,7 32:11 32:25 33:4,12 36:24 54:18 55:23 56:3 67:6 70:9 80:10 83:1 87:20,22 88:20 96:22 97:2,11 98:22 104:13 105:8 119:12 124:14 133:8 139:15,19 145:6,11,22 148:16 149:9 150:7 152:12 pointed 35:17 35:20 36:6 148:12,12,21 pointing 149:3 points 16:7 22:5 23:13 32:22 36:15 53:1 67:13 123:9 124:6 149:14 policy 32:7 50:2 poll's 120:3 polls 117:2,7 pool 94:16	poor 141:16 porczek 10:13 portion 89:16 106:12,20 117:10 130:16 149:25 posed 119:22 position 46:18 75:4 78:17 83:23 124:8 133:14 134:9 143:25 positions 50:7 50:14,18 137:24 positive 79:22 135:18 positively 124:18 possess 104:5 121:15 possibility 117:1 possible 15:18 15:18 17:1,4 17:16 34:21 39:17,21 40:9 56:1,4,16 64:17 78:17 80:24 83:5 84:1,10,13,21 118:4 143:5,6 152:11 possibly 62:17 post 22:22 25:17 35:3,6,7 39:22 40:2
--	--	--	--

<p>60:2 102:18 106:1 147:22 posted 154:9 154:12 potential 52:4 66:17 75:1 86:15,22 90:3 105:2,8 106:3 117:11,25 118:4 potentially 85:23 94:7 power 47:21 73:16 96:2 100:15,15,18 133:9 134:11 142:3 powerpoint 14:21 poynter 10:6 practicable 37:14 practice 117:5 pre 23:8 38:8 55:11 81:21,22 81:25 82:2 94:9 precedent 38:1 precise 112:3 preclearance 38:6 39:6 predecessor 38:20 predicated 98:17 predicted 98:16</p>	<p>predictions 98:15 predominantly 143:2 preempt 36:14 prefer 146:24 preference 87:25 146:22 149:2 prejudice 55:20 premise 104:21 prepared 117:3 preparing 47:22 67:1 145:10 prepetition 26:4 33:19 42:11 55:11 86:10 present 7:1 114:6 137:18 141:22 presentation 16:5 58:19 124:5 126:3 presented 23:18 44:2 46:3 85:10 106:17 107:8 109:16 124:24 127:9 136:1,23 137:12 presenting 92:18</p>	<p>presently 114:20 presents 54:16 153:6 preserve 86:23 preserved 42:24 47:22,23 preserving 92:2 121:10 presumption 132:2,5 pretty 20:11 91:12 146:12 prevent 123:1 139:6 prevented 113:1 previewed 56:11 previous 43:19 previously 14:23,25 15:6 49:5 87:12 price 21:15 22:8 25:12 44:8 45:8 96:17 97:18 100:22 101:8 101:10 109:8 110:1 113:13 113:14,15,16 113:18 114:7 117:21,25 118:9 119:5,13 119:15,17,19 119:22 120:2,4 120:10 121:11</p>	<p>123:11 137:8 141:1,7 prices 25:12 29:15 45:15 91:13 118:7 125:5,8,12 128:5 pricing 23:8 114:10 115:5,5 primarily 119:22 primary 41:21 133:15,24 principal 106:9 principals 48:12 104:22 principles 86:13 128:9 prior 23:15 45:5,7,15 49:5 51:9 54:14 56:5 59:13 60:7 120:3,24 prioritized 125:25 priority 54:23 privacy 18:23 privy 121:17 pro 6:8,10,12 6:14,16,18,20 6:22,24 85:13 89:2,12 118:22 140:19 141:19 proactive 47:18</p>
---	---	--	--

probably 40:17,19 41:16 122:12 147:5 problem 63:14 65:22 66:15,23 procedures 19:3 85:25 proceed 55:19 proceeded 47:13 proceedings 68:2 118:19 119:6 156:5 157:4 process 27:10 32:10 37:25 38:17,23 39:1 39:22,24,25 40:6,25 41:2 48:5,7 50:5 51:9 54:20,21 63:20 64:10 69:15,23 77:22 79:8,10 80:17 81:23 82:1,4,8 82:12,15 84:6 85:24 89:24 90:5 98:4 115:25 116:4 117:23 118:3 120:23 155:18 processes 54:25 productively 78:24 profession 132:18,23,25	133:4,6 professional 46:16 50:12 52:3,5,7 59:2 60:13 71:2,4,6 professionals 41:25 43:12 46:9,21 47:13 52:1,13 59:5 60:16 69:4 70:16 77:20,21 83:18 94:23 100:1 profiles 117:9 program 35:10 36:3 38:15,15 94:14,14 progress 39:5 projected 28:1 projecting 28:15 prolonged 42:3 prominent 121:1 promised 83:11 promising 80:10 promote 78:9 promotion 33:22 prompt 80:22 promptly 39:7 proof 107:7 prop 26:6 proper 118:21 131:12 132:5	132:25 133:2 properly 123:2 property 30:13 30:13 124:22 126:6 128:3 132:24 150:9 154:9 proponent 133:17,25 proportion 142:19 proposal 120:24 proposed 43:23 44:22 48:6 50:23 51:18 55:17 57:8 72:4,15 75:15,17,20 87:1,15 118:25 125:2,5,8 129:9 146:14 151:15 155:1 proposes 115:16 proposing 147:16 proposition 45:23 prosecuting 147:22,23 protect 47:19 protected 55:3 protection 63:25 131:18 protects 132:6	protest 143:22 protocols 77:23 protracted 20:22 60:10 provable 52:2 prove 68:24 proven 98:15 138:16 provide 26:20 38:16 41:1 52:3,23 64:2 119:17 147:16 provided 27:4 36:19 38:20 51:6,11 58:6 58:10 106:19 113:7 provides 43:24 44:17 59:10 126:12 130:25 131:13 133:13 146:6 providing 33:8 provision 38:22 46:14 53:11 57:17,21 58:16 60:8 65:10 70:8 114:23 152:3 154:5 provision's 43:19 provisions 46:25 47:7,11 57:4,9 62:6 130:8,23 152:6
---	---	--	--

proxies 98:8 proxy 98:10 public 32:7 43:3 48:1 50:2 55:2 80:2 88:9 pulled 15:2 142:8 pundisto 10:14 purchase 26:18 27:8 122:21 150:22 150:24 151:5 purchased 122:8 purchasing 103:8 pure 113:2,9 114:3 115:18 148:23,25 149:5,6 purely 126:14 purported 25:1,12 60:18 purpose 46:25 134:1 pursuant 16:2 20:16 26:19 72:1 88:6 112:24 113:4 150:20 pursue 27:8 115:13 push 56:15 65:14 83:4 pushback 15:13	pushed 103:14 143:7 pushing 102:3 142:24 put 49:17 50:17 51:23 74:17 90:23 108:9 118:14 128:7 134:24 137:10 141:13 141:18 pws 46:13	152:16 quick 36:14 quickly 15:18 15:21 17:11 36:12 57:14 76:13 78:16 146:4 149:9 quipped 149:17 quite 42:17 51:5 66:4 90:13 148:19 quote 120:12 quotes 148:13	ranged 119:19 ranges 24:7 rasile 10:15 rate 98:16,16 122:5 137:21 141:13 rather 14:10 45:14 49:9 74:19 104:17 131:25 132:9 ratified 49:7 101:5 ratio 93:22 ravi 9:7 raw 61:2 62:8 reach 26:22 69:15 118:10 118:11,17 122:19 134:2 154:17 reached 111:7 123:8 reaching 155:24 reaction 25:22 read 24:4 69:10 70:8 87:14 94:9 124:8 135:12 154:8 reading 62:8 70:13 72:17,25 94:10 110:15 116:23 135:19 ready 34:16 reaffirm 128:9
	q		
	qualified 18:17 23:14 59:6 65:12 145:6 qualify 95:3 145:7 quarterbacking 53:17 question 17:9 20:11,13 21:2 21:9,25 30:20 43:22 44:12 48:24 49:15 66:6 107:10 118:16,20 120:9 125:3 141:16 questioned 53:15 questioning 125:20 questions 20:10,15 23:23 41:3 42:17 71:10 120:18	r	
		r 1:21 3:1 6:12 10:12 14:1 157:1 race 80:3 raise 141:1 raised 18:3 20:24 30:21 54:19 72:4 73:17 81:14 82:24 91:24 106:3 119:16 146:4 147:20 149:8 152:12 153:24 155:22 raises 154:3 rakesh 12:6 ramon 12:14 ran 29:13 33:25 97:10 randles 12:22 range 21:3 120:6	

real 76:13 119:1,2 reality 137:21 138:1 really 15:21 17:11 23:4 24:18 36:12 74:3 75:10 91:21 95:9,17 95:20 110:14 140:20 142:8 142:13 145:14 146:4 148:16 149:5 reason 22:10 27:2 54:4 75:7 75:8,12 97:14 140:5 141:8 148:15 reasonable 36:9 72:20 reasonableness 21:4 reasonably 37:13 reasons 15:3 23:10,14 24:2 53:24 69:20 97:12,13 146:20 rebecca 8:12 rebuttal 14:12 14:15 18:2 84:13,15 rebutted 51:13 recall 118:22	receive 33:16 39:14 43:25 52:22 53:21 54:1 62:25 85:21 115:8,13 132:4,9,14 143:18 147:10 147:24 received 14:19 39:3,7 40:22 40:23 61:2,2,3 75:1 137:20 142:20 receives 52:23 receiving 57:20 recent 39:4 60:18 61:1 recently 17:12 recess 92:12 recharacteriz... 133:13 134:3 recited 51:13 recites 53:13 recognize 124:19 137:9 154:23 155:22 recognized 138:3,3 recommenda... 52:4 reconstitute 43:11 record 16:7 18:9,18 19:1 33:11,12 53:14 70:8 72:24 85:8 144:10,12	145:14 149:7 154:8 157:4 records 42:7 recover 28:2 recoveries 34:9 47:3 79:3,25 85:23 recovery 19:17 28:1,20,21,24 49:13 54:17 80:16 99:10,15 99:20 102:16 102:18 118:7,8 118:16 119:1 120:20 123:9 123:12 125:21 130:17,19 rectify 125:24 recused 50:18 reddit 138:11 142:5 redline 31:8 reduced 93:22 97:18 reduces 96:16 reducing 56:1 96:14 reduction 53:2 reference 58:8 references 18:16 127:3,19 referencing 18:15,17 126:22 referred 68:18 133:24	referring 58:9 154:6 reflect 51:18 58:22 110:18 reflected 61:20 reflection 22:9 reflects 128:13 refund 103:15 refused 112:1 regard 109:21 122:3 153:16 regarding 19:3 32:9 33:3 48:4 49:10 50:4,23 54:19 55:15,17 58:7 59:8 60:11 61:12 62:5 72:21 79:9 86:13 87:24 107:7 133:11,15 151:12 regardless 92:3 101:8 125:25 regards 115:4 115:19 119:13 registration 56:5,10 regret 135:16 regrouped 78:13 regular 55:6 regulations 125:19 regulators 42:20 47:16 54:24 55:1,7
--	--	--	---

<p>55:22 85:13 86:24 88:3 regulatory 86:12 125:17 reilly 10:16 12:23 reiterate 36:16 reiterated 46:17 121:4 reject 111:19 111:19 112:25 130:25 131:10 131:10,13,19 131:22,22,24 136:1,12,13,16 137:11,16,17 138:1,21,22,25 139:10 151:19 rejected 44:22 112:19 138:19 rejecting 111:25 rejections 110:21 related 2:1 26:14,17 85:10 102:14 117:11 150:22 151:5 relates 70:15 relating 24:16 relationship 51:15 relationships 80:8 relatively 42:2 83:17</p>	<p>release 57:9 136:7,17 137:9 released 18:5 18:11 136:8 releasees 64:15 releases 18:14 18:17 57:6 61:7,13 62:4,6 62:11,19 65:22 112:13 138:5,5 140:18 145:13 145:17,19,21 151:21,23 releasing 99:15 136:10 relevance 126:17 relevant 16:12 17:6 30:23 43:22 58:14 65:11 87:9,23 130:2 155:19 reliable 23:11 24:3 45:2 reliance 60:16 71:2,6 relied 23:21 relies 102:20 rely 109:15 148:1 remain 87:8 102:17 116:7 120:19 121:9 remainder 37:16 147:5 remained 120:5</p>	<p>remaining 15:25 16:12,19 17:8,13 18:1 18:23 22:11,23 23:6 87:20 remains 74:8 82:1 104:7 remarkable 48:11 remarks 32:2 43:8 112:17 remind 15:20 remote 155:13 remove 58:3 60:1 removed 47:20 57:16,19 59:7 60:8 122:25 removing 135:23 137:15 renaming 127:4 reopen 105:4 reorganization 57:5 85:17 117:17 121:16 reorganize 49:19 repaired 117:15 repay 130:9,12 130:24 repaying 130:18,19 repayment 72:10,12,15,22 130:4,7,12</p>	<p>repeat 91:25 repeated 119:21 127:2 repledge 31:1 31:21 replete 105:14 106:25 report 16:21 19:1 20:3 22:24 23:19,20 24:4,6,9,24 57:10 60:9 68:21 72:7 89:7,14,15,16 102:23,24 109:14,17 117:21 119:17 119:18 120:1 120:11,18 122:7,9 reporting 16:21 88:9 reports 103:10 107:4 118:6 120:1 represent 54:11 97:20 115:23 representation 49:9 80:16 112:21 117:22 118:21 representative 24:10 80:11 representatives 80:9 85:2</p>
---	---	--	--

represented 46:12 49:16 120:14	reserve 14:12 14:13,14 81:16 84:12 115:19	59:22 71:4 74:5,18,21 75:22 76:17,22	retail 19:12 27:17 30:10 71:17,24 72:9
representing 123:3 143:14	reserved 55:20 70:25 71:4	80:1,8 81:20 100:20 152:24	87:21
represents 97:21	94:7	153:12	retained 59:2,5 85:23
request 43:11 52:3 81:3 82:6 82:7 125:23 128:7 145:4	reserving 41:12	respectfully 25:25	return 15:17 17:4 24:22 43:7 49:24 114:8 150:24 151:1 152:10
requested 57:11 59:13 140:10	residents 114:16	respecting 78:17	returned 142:19
requests 112:20	resign 33:24	respective 87:2 88:4,18	returns 80:6
require 152:14	resignation 93:24 96:10 97:5	respond 18:2 81:14	reevaluation 99:22
required 37:12 53:4 55:24 82:9 131:11 152:7 155:25	resolution 57:3 128:2	respondents 87:7	reveal 122:18 revealed 120:2 revenue 98:8,8 98:10
requirement 113:5	resolutions 55:12	responses 126:22	reversed 93:20 100:9
requirements 16:1 46:6 77:24 80:4	resolve 17:15 19:19 90:6,16 90:17 155:7	responsibiliti... 42:1	review 34:21 57:7
requires 34:14 130:6 131:2,9 132:1	resolved 16:22 17:12,21,24 18:21,23 19:2 19:3,6 27:9 33:3 55:17,20 72:7 73:7,8,23 76:7 87:21 90:8 108:21 109:1	rest 40:16 84:12,19 128:10	reviewed 82:3 116:24
research 101:22 103:1 109:20,23 141:3	resolves 27:3 89:25	restate 47:12 restore 127:24	revised 16:15 16:23 43:18 58:21 61:20
resentful 32:16	resolving 75:2 88:3	result 69:13,19 78:13 113:2 125:9 151:8 155:25	revisions 57:12 87:12,15,18
reservation 68:19 70:16 81:13,17	respect 17:10 26:25 35:14 50:5 58:16	results 15:20 56:16 110:10 112:1,4 137:18	rewards 85:4 rewrite 152:3
		resume 88:24	rich 136:17 137:13 139:11 richard 6:12 10:12

richest 137:15 rick 12:8 32:16 ricki 7:18 ridiculous 141:10 rigged 135:20 136:22 137:18 138:23 139:22 right 14:16 15:7,10 16:17 20:5 27:8 28:4 28:12,17,18,19 28:22 29:17 30:8,17,24 31:3,20 34:24 36:24 41:7 56:19 60:25 62:2 66:22 67:6,11 71:14 73:14 77:13 81:1 82:21 84:23 88:22 92:7,14 101:1 108:5,8,11 114:21 115:12 123:13,19,25 128:23 129:20 131:18 132:24 134:15 140:12 144:2 147:10 151:20 152:3 154:22 155:2 rightful 128:1 rightfully 125:25 rights 31:4,13 31:14,22 42:12	60:23 68:19 70:17,25 71:3 79:17 81:13,16 115:19 124:22 135:23 140:16 righty 129:24 ring 50:17 rise 14:2 92:13 rising 91:13 risk 126:15,16 river 106:16 road 82:16 89:4 157:21 robbed 139:23 robbing 140:15 robert 7:16 12:5 roberto 8:22 robinson 10:17 32:9,23 48:23 49:1 50:4,6 51:12 79:9 robomartin 138:2 robust 16:4 32:10 rochester 10:18 rodriguez 10:19 role 47:18 51:20 78:8 86:19 104:3,10 105:5 rood 10:20	room 52:21 rosella 10:21 rothenberger 10:22 rough 90:21 roughly 91:15 routine 57:2 rubin 10:23 rug 89:9 rule 18:17 20:17 38:19 101:14 ruled 31:18 rules 86:13 125:19 ruling 26:23 74:16 75:9 127:11 140:11 rulings 77:1 run 114:22 running 77:21 s s 3:1 5:19 9:8 9:17,18 14:1 sabin 5:19 84:24,25 85:1 88:21 sacrifice 91:16 sacrificing 143:9 safe 153:1 salaries 35:25 salary 143:22 144:1 sale 26:18 27:9 150:23,24 151:5	salls 12:24 sam 11:3 109:22 samuel 8:23 sanctions 102:13 sanctity 124:21 sandali 12:16 santos 7:15 118:23 sarachek 10:24 sarkissian 10:25 satisfaction 85:18 satisfies 16:8 satisfy 76:15 76:25 77:3 80:4 satisfying 107:6 savings 41:22 saw 16:14 93:24 94:1,5 95:22 saying 30:5 76:20 135:7 150:3 says 23:6 30:23 31:14 53:13 75:25 76:24 scale 106:9 scenario 27:23 27:23,23,25 28:5 29:19 38:24,24
--	--	---	--

scenarios 28:21 99:23	scourged 140:7	34:23,25 35:8	seeks 133:17
scene 66:1	screen 15:2	35:9 46:10	133:25
schedule 32:12	30:15 108:9	47:8 76:23	seem 143:12
110:17 111:2,5	135:5	113:4 115:10	seemed 90:12
114:13	screwed	130:13 134:2	90:13
scheme 131:2,9	138:25	148:21 150:14	seems 39:13
131:11,13,17	scroll 139:20	150:20,21,22	40:16 134:11
131:19 132:3	scrubbed 15:4	151:11 154:11	138:6 140:21
132:11,15,17	se 6:8,10,12,14	secure 126:4	147:2
scheuer 5:12	6:16,18,20,22	secured 47:21	seen 30:25
scheur 81:6,7,9	6:24 85:14	125:22 133:10	116:14 140:15
82:18	89:2,12 118:22	134:13	select 32:3 48:5
schneider 6:22	140:19 141:19	securely 40:15	48:25 50:8
11:1 128:16,17	seat 52:22 79:5	securities 5:8	52:5
128:19,22,23	seated 14:3	19:2 81:5,7,10	selected 32:20
128:24 129:13	92:14	81:18 125:18	32:21 50:24
129:17,21,24	seats 79:13	131:20,24	51:16 52:17
130:21,22	sec 16:20 37:24	132:7,8,21	54:7
131:5,7,8	38:3,7,7,19,21	security 15:3	selection 32:6
133:19,20,21	39:1,2,8,13,15	20:24 26:23	32:10 50:1
133:23 134:17	39:24 54:19	27:14 43:16	51:9 79:8
134:19 151:9	55:10 81:12,15	79:12 126:13	selections 51:7
154:3	81:17 82:8,13	150:23,24,25	79:10
schneider's	82:13	151:1,4,4,5	selectively
134:8	sec's 39:14	see 14:19 30:25	53:13,15
school 94:9	second 20:13	33:7,8 34:3	self 93:16
schottenstein	20:13 41:13	41:20 44:18	102:4
11:2	43:10 47:4	54:6 62:24	sell 123:1
schreiber 11:3	51:3 54:13	84:4 93:5	142:14
schroeder 11:4	71:24 73:15	102:15 108:10	selling 109:24
scientists 98:9	86:9 89:18	116:17 127:12	142:21 143:4
scope 57:22	108:9 132:16	147:21	senes 11:5
60:7 68:1	134:10	seed 130:18	sense 74:23
89:14 106:4	secondly 125:1	seek 38:21 47:3	104:5 109:7
scott 8:6	secretly 99:16	128:1	sent 15:4 72:12
	section 16:2	seeking 112:11	sentence 75:25
	26:19,24 32:5		

sentiment 56:3 104:17,24 139:5 sentiments 139:12 separate 20:3,7 separately 15:23 september 51:5 113:11 117:24 serban 12:21 series 109:20 serious 107:25 116:7 seriously 44:21 92:1 serrur 11:6 serve 66:9 served 55:3 serves 53:5 service 116:23 127:2 services 46:12 86:14 serving 59:2,9 66:6 67:1 102:4 session 92:15 set 20:16 23:14 87:16 90:13 117:25 118:6 125:13 146:9 setting 119:22 settle 20:13 118:24 149:16 149:16,19,22	settled 46:18 110:13 155:8 settlement 19:19,21 20:7 20:12,15 21:1 21:3,5 27:3,4 36:17,18,19,20 36:22 37:3,7 37:12,15 43:24 44:22 46:4 61:5,14,16 101:1,4,7 108:20,24 111:19 112:24 118:17,19 119:2,11 124:4 136:3,15 137:12,14 145:13,15 147:8,9,10 148:23 149:6 150:18 151:19 152:4,6 settlements 20:16,19 86:25 118:12 149:19 settling 71:25 87:3 seven 97:21 several 16:20 29:4 34:21 35:18 82:5 90:23 109:9 113:17 116:21 117:19 134:10 149:22 151:9	severe 121:21 severely 45:6 122:16 shadow 127:21 shaping 135:25 shara 4:23 56:21 share 24:11 42:16 69:11 shared 91:16 112:1 shareholders 51:3 52:24 shares 43:2 sharing 15:9 sharon 8:5 shaya 10:18 sheer 86:3 106:9 sheet 117:2 153:8 shift 26:2 shoba 122:7 short 26:7,9 35:16 80:14 115:23 136:15 shortfall 108:21 109:1 shorting 141:5 shortly 129:11 shouldn't 98:23 show 134:4 139:21 147:13 153:14 showcase 105:22	showcases 103:21 showed 35:11 shown 109:2 111:25 132:4 shows 31:9 44:5 62:9 110:25 133:9 side 29:3 32:4 sided 131:14 sides 16:10 85:11 155:24 sightline 79:1 signature 101:6 157:7 signed 70:22 significant 24:5 34:15 53:25 62:21 78:13 79:1,18 103:24 106:12 significantly 36:1,7 97:18 signoff 39:3 silence 108:25 silverman 11:7 similar 53:18 119:12 122:1 simon 8:3,4 95:12,13 96:10 142:24 simple 22:1 32:25 34:4 96:5 simply 17:1 37:5 47:4 49:17 51:24
--	---	--	--

52:6 85:24 115:8 simson 11:8 sincere 119:10 sincerely 100:21 128:11 single 106:15 sir 114:20 128:22 131:8 sister 141:3 sit 41:3 site 53:11 sitting 37:24 situation 112:7 139:17 situations 142:18,21 six 106:8,9 sizeable 106:20 skillsets 80:3 skip 16:6 slide 15:21 16:5 29:11 30:14,17 35:16 36:2,5,8,10 93:7 94:18 95:15 96:23 97:8 98:2 99:7 99:19 108:4 109:1,4,7,10 110:2,9,16,17 110:21 111:1 111:16,20 112:5,18,22 113:10,22 114:4,10 115:2 115:11,12	127:9 139:9 slides 31:8 92:10,22 142:7 small 117:2 123:6 smith 11:9 smooth 83:17 84:6 smoother 68:2 smoothly 40:15 social 120:19 122:22 sold 142:13 sole 105:18 solely 130:7 solid 80:8 solutions 143:11 157:20 soma 12:10 somebody 147:12 150:8 150:10 somebody's 66:20 sonya 2:25 157:3,8 soon 37:13 39:21 40:9 56:1,16 80:21 80:24 83:25 84:21 87:5 88:8,12 143:5 143:6 152:10 sooner 40:4 sorry 15:21 59:20 60:24	68:11 72:23 73:3 84:14 125:23 129:14 131:5 134:23 139:20 sort 40:2 67:13 118:15 152:15 sorts 98:6 146:11 148:15 sought 44:23 81:21 sourced 50:8 south 4:4 southern 1:2 133:11,12,15 space 104:7 spangler 11:10 sparked 15:13 spawn 108:2 speak 19:9 55:4 56:17 64:9 80:12 107:21 123:18 155:16,21,22 speaking 89:2 102:24 131:6 speaks 154:19 special 18:14 33:23 88:16,17 specific 75:18 75:18 119:17 125:19 specifically 19:24 36:19 45:23 50:5 63:8 83:20 146:9 148:8	154:6 speculate 117:17 speculation 104:11,16 speculative 22:12,16,23 23:3,7 45:12 45:14,18 103:17 104:23 105:9 106:18 107:5 153:19 speed 72:17 94:10 143:11 speedreading 87:14 speedy 82:12 spent 83:3 141:11 spoke 145:12 145:17 sponsor 48:3 49:1 53:5 spots 50:15 spreads 119:24 sprofera 12:25 spurred 135:13 squarely 32:2 squeeze 26:8,9 stability 96:18 stabilize 34:1 stablecoins 142:14,19,19 142:22 stables 143:2
--	--	--	---

[stacking - substantial]

Page 56

stacking 122:6 staff 81:24 82:4,7 stakeholders 34:18 47:2 staking 88:10 stand 54:21 68:15 75:12 93:4 standard 17:6 20:15,20 32:4 34:24 37:4 49:25 58:2 104:1 148:21 standards 16:13 33:13 34:21 103:22 standing 17:3 134:9 standpoint 82:11 96:21 stands 25:24 26:10 104:2 107:22 star 47:6 start 17:22 19:14 144:16 155:8 started 57:7 117:7 129:11 155:10,11 starting 17:23 80:10 122:16 129:2,24 state 29:18 55:7,22,24 88:3 120:8	125:3 stated 49:1 81:17 85:24 118:3,25 120:18 124:16 126:15 140:13 statement 28:6 36:23 54:3 56:5,10 61:9 93:8 111:6 116:19 122:13 127:9 134:19 135:12,20 statements 14:9 25:15,22 54:19 58:9 120:15 states 1:1,12 4:18 45:16 56:22 57:7 58:22 59:12 60:14,24 61:4 109:22 130:3 130:14 133:24 stating 46:25 130:2 status 81:20 statutory 68:21 stay 84:11 stayed 34:3 steadman 11:11 steady 29:18 steering 78:20 89:19	steffan 7:25 step 90:1 stepped 79:24 steps 65:18 116:23 stock 85:22 88:8 96:17,19 130:20,24 131:3,20,25 132:14,21 133:1 153:2,5 153:5 stop 27:19 84:8 86:7 128:7 139:9 store 104:6 straight 126:9 straightforw... 111:22 strange 98:6 strangers 52:7 streamline 41:15 street 4:4,20 5:9,16 6:3 stretch 35:17 strikes 127:23 stripping 94:5 striving 17:4 strong 15:13 16:10 19:16 155:23 strongly 135:16 structure 56:9 86:16	structured 53:16 86:23 struggled 145:7 studying 110:22 sub 34:4 subclass 36:25 37:3 subject 85:18 90:22 102:12 107:18 125:19 subjected 54:12 submissions 144:14 submit 25:25 31:16 50:11 135:13 138:14 152:1 155:1 submitted 50:14 61:21 109:21 118:5 138:17 subordinated 26:18 125:22 150:17,20 151:6,7 subordinating 88:4 128:6 subordination 55:18 125:16 subsequently 119:15 substantial 97:23 106:22 117:9 125:15
--	---	--	---

substantive 23:22 24:18 90:7	supplement 32:13 51:4 59:13 72:16 73:1,19,21 94:7	35:19 40:14 48:8 62:12 63:3,4,8,25 65:3 67:17 70:7,10 71:23 73:1 77:1 94:8 109:5 116:13 134:25 150:8	taji 11:12 tak 11:25 take 28:23 29:5 29:6,7 39:13 39:17,25 41:16 82:5,18 88:23 89:15 92:8 94:9 96:6,7 135:3 139:6 140:1 141:7 144:20
substitute 52:14	supplemental 26:15 27:16 102:23 119:18	surprisingly 154:3	taken 47:18 93:10,11 140:6 144:22
success 90:24 137:20	supply 98:14 119:23	survive 45:18 45:21	talk 33:12 37:23 100:25 109:11 110:4
successful 79:12	support 16:4 17:17,20 19:20 19:23 20:7 23:8 30:12 43:4 51:23 76:18,23 84:11 85:3 87:10 89:3 90:19 91:8,8 94:2 96:17 101:17 104:16 109:12 112:17,19 126:4,24 128:25 137:2,5	suspect 32:1 40:1	talked 19:23 37:24 63:17 95:22 99:5 144:20 147:7 154:14
sue 66:20 69:12 150:10	supported 101:15	suspended 117:13	talking 63:17 63:20 64:3 76:8 118:16 148:23
sued 141:4	supporters 85:12	suzanne 12:9	talks 112:9 150:22
suffered 78:13	supporting 124:23	swallow 83:5 84:7	tangible 103:22 104:17
suffering 139:16	supports 44:3 45:3,22	swiftly 47:20	tanzila 12:3
suggest 74:10 75:23 83:7	suppose 76:21	switch 108:12	target 34:11
suggested 25:8 87:17 106:12 145:23	supposed 155:7	sworn 25:6 32:8,15 101:25 109:14	targets 35:17 78:12
suggestion 50:9	sure 27:20,24 33:12 34:16	system 124:21 127:25 128:9	task 42:10
suggestions 84:2		systematic 126:21	tasked 135:21
suggests 25:5 36:25		t	
suing 103:2		t 157:1,1	
suite 4:4,20 6:3 157:22		t.j. 3:15	
suited 50:25		table 52:22 79:5	
sullivan 13:1		tabulation 61:3 110:10,21	
sum 98:25		tacit 132:3	
summarizing 54:21			
super 63:21			
superior 54:16 99:10			

tax 40:21 53:25 74:24,25 75:2 95:2 119:8 141:16 142:1 142:15,17,21 143:3 taxes 40:22,24 119:9 146:21 taylor 12:17 team 33:17,18 33:20,25 43:1 53:6,9 88:16 88:19 98:9 technique 98:12 tee 92:10 telephonically 7:1 tell 64:19 95:20 telling 137:21 138:23 temporal 57:22 60:7 ten 88:23 92:8 92:11 110:22 111:10 term 26:16 39:5 53:5 154:12 terminate 53:6 termination 53:16 terms 36:9 116:23 124:17 125:13 127:2 130:3,6,8,22 130:23 149:25	150:3 151:14 154:4,7 terrible 91:13 test 17:10 20:14 21:8,11 21:18,22 44:6 44:17 76:16 99:4,24 134:6 155:13 testament 43:5 78:23 104:2 testified 21:16 21:17 24:7,22 25:2 26:8 35:12,24 36:3 44:7 50:6 51:6 109:15,19 testify 141:20 testimony 21:19 22:18,20 23:5,11,16 24:2 25:4,6 26:1 29:6 32:8 32:11,15,22,23 44:2,4,21,23 44:25 45:3,22 50:3 51:12,13 53:3 54:6 79:8 85:9 98:3 140:25 141:11 152:25 text 127:9,10 textbook 133:13 thank 15:11 41:5,6 56:19 59:25 65:4	68:6 70:3 71:13 76:10,11 77:14 78:19 80:25 81:1 82:5,19,20,22 83:16,20 84:20 84:23 88:20,21 89:1,19 90:25 91:2,4,4 92:5,7 92:11,17,24 93:2 100:9,11 100:11 107:21 108:7,14 115:21 116:8,9 121:24,24 123:13,15,16 123:17 128:13 128:15,19 131:8 134:15 134:18 135:11 142:24 144:6,8 152:18,20 154:20,21 155:9 156:3,4 thanks 77:13 88:2,16 95:10 144:4 that's 76:17,25 77:4 96:12 97:1,14 98:12 98:25 99:16,17 107:14,16,18 114:3,23 theme 139:2 143:4 theory 23:9 51:22	therese 5:12 81:6 thereto 85:10 there's 74:25 94:19 97:11,19 they've 100:2,4 thing 15:24 37:23 68:16 100:14 128:19 128:24 153:18 things 15:4,5 32:4 53:10 67:14 69:8 91:11 96:20 98:17 100:4 135:7 141:4 146:11 148:16 150:18 153:17 think 14:21 16:14 25:21 30:4 31:7,17 31:24 34:24 35:1,8 37:11 38:17 40:19 41:14 44:18 54:20 66:3 67:9,20,25 69:20,24 72:7 72:22 74:5,9 75:20 76:15,18 76:24 77:2 81:2 82:10 84:18,24 91:7 91:20 94:20 117:3 122:11 142:24 144:1 144:17 145:6
---	--	---	--

[think - token]

Page 59

145:11 146:2,3 147:18 148:1 151:2 152:4 154:19 155:17 155:21 thinking 68:16 thinks 148:11 third 46:13 53:19 57:6 61:7 62:6,10 73:23 86:12 89:24 133:8 136:7,17 137:9 140:18 thirdly 125:15 125:23 thomas 8:1 121:3 thomson 11:13 thorough 48:5 79:9 thought 50:25 73:9 75:13 91:23 thoughts 111:21 thousand 106:8,9 141:12 thousands 62:9 62:17 85:20 threat 69:17 threatened 137:10 three 14:19 28:20 50:13 52:20 65:12 67:19,24 72:4	73:9 76:5 79:14 85:2 95:11,25 97:3 103:5 124:10 124:25 135:10 threshold 21:9 thrown 96:4 102:25 thrust 42:3 thursday 61:3 time 14:10,12 14:13,17 17:15 18:20 23:12 25:10 31:19 35:16 41:12 43:16 51:8 56:17 61:14 65:11 80:25 83:1 84:13,19 85:6 89:13 100:18 107:18 114:22 115:23 116:22 129:12 129:17,18 134:19 135:1,2 136:19 140:24 141:13 144:2,3 154:25 155:8 timeline 122:2 times 29:4,22 29:23,24 30:2 86:6,6 timing 39:24 72:14 timothy 12:23 tipton 13:2	tired 139:23 tireless 88:19 title 30:20,21 87:24 92:20 94:15 124:13 124:13,17,20 127:7,10,11 150:1,1,4 154:5 today 16:1,11 17:7 19:9 27:12,13 34:5 40:5 56:24 62:24 64:24 68:2 72:17 73:6,19 74:9 85:4,25 88:20 89:2,6 90:11 94:8,20 107:21 107:24 111:9 112:18 115:22 123:8 126:3 129:14,15,22 156:2 today's 86:5,8 tog 101:5 together 80:5 93:11 toggle 97:20,22 token 19:11,14 19:14,16,17,18 19:18,21,22,22 19:24 20:4,8 20:11,12,13,24 20:25 21:7,10 21:18,22 22:1 22:5,6,6,7,9,12	22:13,16,19,22 22:25 23:3,24 24:20,21 25:2 25:4,6,9,16,17 25:22 26:2,4,5 26:10,13,14,17 26:18,22 27:1 27:8,9,14,14 27:22 28:2,19 29:14 37:1,17 37:18 43:10,15 43:16,24 44:8 44:10,14,22 45:5,6,8,10,12 45:17,18,24 46:4 100:23 101:4,5,7,10 101:14,23,24 102:14,19,21 103:12 104:18 105:2,4,10,18 105:23,23,24 105:25 106:2,7 106:21,24 107:4 108:19 108:22 110:11 111:14,18,19 112:10 113:1 113:14,17,24 114:2 117:6,16 117:22 119:6 120:6,13,14,20 121:1,19,25 122:4,21 123:3 137:1,2,6,8 140:11 141:8 147:16 148:3,5
--	---	--	---

149:15,20,23 152:12 token's 141:7 tokens 25:19 101:1,2 102:7 103:21 110:18 111:7 117:10 121:5 122:9 140:12 141:1,5 147:10 152:13 152:14 token's 105:7 106:11 told 26:7 33:23 tom 10:2 tomorrow 155:2,5 took 24:3 37:11 42:23 87:13 90:9 103:1 116:22 116:24 145:15 153:4 top 83:11 110:22 111:10 117:25 topic 32:1 total 98:25 102:7 105:23 105:24,25 106:2 119:5 142:22 148:10 totaling 106:5 totally 38:16 touch 54:18 towards 78:25	town 48:1 121:4 track 14:17 traction 67:10 traded 43:2 105:24,24 106:1,2,24 119:25 trades 126:8 trading 22:25 24:9 105:22 106:4,5,21 126:7,10,11 traditional 103:22 104:5 104:22 tramples 132:7 tran 11:14 transaction 21:25 106:10 131:12 134:4 transactions 40:13 81:19 131:1 transcribed 2:25 transcript 44:19 49:3 109:20 120:13 157:4 transfer 74:22 74:25 108:16 108:19 127:11 154:4 transferred 30:12,20,21 150:2,9	transfers 127:7 127:10 translates 28:13 transparency 127:24 148:11 155:18 treasury 121:5 122:24 treated 72:2 treatment 20:14 21:7 48:4 91:8,19 91:21 92:2 trends 104:12 trial 18:4 19:15 21:16 25:23 26:20 55:19 144:11,11 152:5 155:7 tried 20:6 25:20 64:12 116:4 145:17 145:24 149:16 149:16,19 155:14 tristan 8:2 true 53:22,22 54:6 57:1 97:12 107:3 136:15 157:4 truly 143:9 trust 83:9 104:23 119:11 125:7 127:22 127:25	trustee 4:19 16:20 17:23,25 18:6,22 33:3 56:23 57:7 58:22 59:13 60:14,21,24 61:4 63:7 71:1 71:5 83:19 140:17 144:17 145:4 truth 106:23 try 19:19 36:13 41:15 62:17 76:15 82:16 155:6 trying 26:3,6 35:19 52:14,16 58:4 65:2 76:25 102:15 139:20 141:9 tuganov 5:15 17:19 85:1,6 87:10 tuganov's 87:17 turetsky 11:15 turn 61:6,8 66:20 69:12 123:23,24 128:21 143:5 turned 116:13 147:11 turner 11:16 turning 21:6 27:17 33:1 43:14 46:8
---	---	---	--

turnout 111:13 115:7 twitter 117:7 121:1 two 14:19 29:9 31:8 32:22 43:9 48:14 50:18 57:16 60:2 63:1 72:7 76:7 77:6 79:16 88:6 89:15 93:22,23 99:23 117:9 120:4 123:8 143:14 144:17 148:24 type 23:17 130:4 types 27:3 114:15 typical 38:19 49:9 146:2 typing 23:22 u u.s. 1:23 4:19 5:8 16:19 17:23,24 18:6 18:22 33:3 60:21 63:6 71:1,5 81:7,10 83:19 140:17 144:16 145:4 150:15 ubierna 11:17 33:6 ucc 86:20 87:11 88:18	89:25 90:25 93:17,19 101:3 101:11 102:15 112:6 113:15 120:25 121:3 121:18 122:22 141:11 143:13 153:14 ucc's 107:13 uday 12:15 ultimately 65:19 ultra 60:17 68:24 71:7 unaffected 120:5,10 unambiguou... 124:16 unanimously 49:7 unaware 111:18 unbiased 141:6 uncertain 42:5 121:17 unchanged 43:19 unclear 110:13 unconflicted 95:19 unconsciona... 131:14 unconstitutio... 129:5 133:2,5 151:10 unconstitutio... 132:13,17	unconstitutio... 132:11,16,18 uncontested 16:3 uncontrovert... 21:20 33:5 under 17:1,4 21:10,22 27:22 27:25 28:4,20 28:24 29:16,19 34:9,20 38:22 38:24 39:20 43:25 44:1 46:6 47:23 48:4 72:3 81:18 87:25 89:9 94:11 97:7 105:3 121:22 125:2 131:18 142:18 146:15,20 147:9,11,17 150:14 151:15 151:16 154:7 undercuts 102:11 underline 119:21 underly 105:15 undermine 106:23 124:20 127:22 underscore 124:10 underscoring 104:9	understand 16:25 17:25 18:25 20:6 59:21 60:20 62:16 63:23 64:11,25 65:3 66:13 74:24 86:21 94:6 98:3 120:13 124:8 138:16 148:16 understandable 15:14 understanda... 63:24 understanding 63:4 81:25 86:17 111:12 understood 55:1 61:12 62:13 82:18 145:6 undertaken 119:3 undo 101:13 undoubtedly 143:3 undue 106:10 131:14 unduly 132:19 unenforceable 131:16 unequivocally 44:2 49:1 unfair 125:2 unfettered 153:16
---	--	--	---

unfortunate 86:21	updates 41:1	utility 22:5,6	value 15:17
unfortunately 142:25	upfront 94:2	22:13,14,22	21:9,14,14,17
unfounded 49:23	96:14	23:1,4,8 24:22	21:22,24 22:1
unique 56:25	uphold 54:15	25:16,19 26:4	22:9,11,12,16
57:3 98:7	125:10 128:12	45:17,25 46:1	22:18,23 23:3
uniqueness 86:4,9	upholding 128:10	103:17,19	23:7,24 24:9
united 1:1,12	uptegrove 11:18 81:10	104:4,10,13,17	24:11,20 25:8
4:18 56:22	urge 124:18	104:20,25	25:16 26:10,21
57:7 58:22	use 14:18,24	105:7,8 120:14	27:13 28:1,3
59:12 60:14,23	15:2,5 75:24	122:5	29:8,15,18,21
61:4 109:22	84:19 86:4	utilized 120:9	34:6 37:18
130:14	94:15 114:15	125:12	42:13,14 43:7
unnecessary 143:3	121:5 122:23	utilizing 118:5	43:9,24 44:8
unnoticed 102:6	126:4,14 130:3	v	44:11,13,18
unreasonable 64:5,18 65:20	130:6,8,23	v 10:16 109:22	45:4,10,10,13
unreliable 22:21	149:25 150:3,5	126:4	45:14,16,18,21
unresolved 74:25	151:14 154:4,7	valid 61:15	54:5 94:4
unsecured 4:3	154:10	127:3,18	97:24 98:10
4:11 41:11	used 14:20	131:15	102:3,6,20,21
49:21 137:25	17:19 23:24	validated 62:4	103:12,17,19
152:23 154:16	25:21 86:14	validity 127:16	103:23 104:3,5
unsound 53:1	98:4,6,10,13	valuable 53:8	104:6,10,14,15
unsupported 51:22	134:23 137:1,4	valuation 23:9	104:20,25
unverified 107:5	137:18	25:11 52:25	105:1,7,9,11
update 40:5	useful 26:5	98:2,12,18	105:12,16,18
41:2	users 61:17	99:24 101:11	105:24,24,25
	120:6 149:12	101:13 103:18	106:2,11,23
	149:13	104:4 105:17	107:3 111:14
	uses 23:8	110:14,15,19	111:15 112:12
	using 23:24	113:25 125:2	113:9 114:2,7
	99:20 128:4,22	128:5 137:2,6	114:9,10,17
	usual 57:3	147:17 150:12	115:17 121:10
	usually 32:12	150:15	121:20 122:23
	utilities 26:5	valuations 125:12	141:8 148:3
			149:11 152:10
			153:10

[valued - waiting]

Page 63

valued 28:19 53:19 98:6 101:24 104:8 106:17 146:19 valueless 104:19 values 25:6 110:16 113:16 113:19,19 114:15 van 11:19 12:7 variables 62:19 varick 4:20 variety 36:13 145:9 various 25:15 60:19 61:1 82:24,24 86:14 86:25 87:2,3 88:3 103:19 105:15 127:17 142:18 143:15 143:16 varying 122:15 vast 91:7,7 vastly 57:15 153:1 vehicles 86:5 vejseli 11:20 venerable 5:14 85:1 vengeance 47:3 venture 132:20 ventures 107:3 verdict 128:13	verifiable 107:2 verified 153:14 verifies 153:13 veritext 157:20 versa 138:8 version 30:20 30:21,22 31:8 31:9,10,10,12 31:13,17,19 43:19 127:1,7 130:6,8,22,23 154:4 versions 127:3 127:18 versus 54:2 100:24 103:17 vested 103:4 143:1 veton 11:20 vgx 121:25 122:3 vice 138:8 victims 122:14 victor 11:17 videotaped 48:18 view 44:13 54:24 64:1 69:5 95:21 124:23 viewed 69:21 95:17 96:12 views 26:2 69:11 vince 13:1	violated 21:11 29:18 37:7 113:21 violates 115:10 131:17 violative 29:7 vires 60:17 68:24 71:7 viruses 15:4 vladimir 103:6 vocal 25:3 voice 79:21 80:11 voicing 140:19 volatility 119:24 volume 105:22 119:25 120:5 voluminous 85:8 volunteer 49:20 vote 36:16 37:2 61:7,10 62:11 62:18 76:23 79:21 91:20,21 96:5,6 110:8 111:15,24 113:7 131:23 132:2 136:11 138:4,5,12,15 138:21,22 139:5,13,14,15 139:17,19 140:3 143:9 145:16,20 146:1 148:8,19	151:20,25 154:19 voted 15:22,23 17:8 19:25 20:25 21:6 32:20 36:20,22 37:1,6 49:2 62:9 110:6,24 111:19 136:1 136:22 138:18 139:1,25 140:2 145:20,24 148:19 151:19 151:22 voters 123:12 votes 91:6 135:6 137:22 138:12 148:10 voting 15:20 20:4 36:21 50:18 56:16 61:3,6 62:9 78:23 79:12,17 110:19,24 111:11,12,13 112:1,4 115:6 135:25 137:18 139:2,12 148:9 voyager 55:16 69:10 121:25 vtr 7:14
			w
			w 11:7 wait 84:10 waiting 39:11 119:23

[waiver - winddown]

Page 64

waiver 38:21	144:12 145:13	19:2,2 26:24	we're 75:11
wallet 108:21	149:7 150:8	26:24 30:25	76:8 77:10
108:22 109:12	155:15,19	37:24 38:6	88:23 92:8,15
109:14	wanting 64:15	39:1,15,19	107:16
want 14:7,12	wants 77:3	60:10 63:17,17	whales 137:13
14:13 16:25	97:18 146:13	63:20 70:21	137:23
17:11,12 19:16	152:3	71:25 129:14	what's 75:4
19:18 26:13	warren 11:21	149:8	91:17 95:16
27:21 33:4,12	waser 11:22	weaponize	whirlwind
33:13 36:12	washington	52:17	152:15
37:23 40:8,14	3:13 5:10	weaponized	white 4:1,9
48:11,20 49:24	waste 23:12	110:8	41:10 67:4
54:18 55:23,24	103:15	weedman 4:16	68:13,22 95:5
56:14 59:19	watch 48:19	week 27:16	97:7 107:12
63:4,12,25	water 106:15	61:2 72:6	112:7 152:22
65:2,9,22	106:16	120:4 155:8	wholly 132:4
66:19 67:17	way 42:15	weekend 16:18	150:17
68:3 69:11	59:11 72:2	weekly 48:14	wide 122:11
71:14 83:13	75:12 76:3,14	weeks 40:17,18	wield 104:24
84:19 91:2	76:15,24 77:11	40:24 108:21	wielen 12:7
93:3 94:15	78:15 82:17	weigh 107:4	wildes 11:23
96:7 97:13,14	83:3,10,14	128:12 153:19	wildly 98:14
99:14 100:17	86:22 87:25	weight 23:6,11	wiles 69:10
100:25 115:13	93:4,12 98:15	25:5 32:23	91:2,3
115:19,21	99:12,23	110:20,23,24	willful 46:22
123:5 125:16	131:22 136:1	111:11,13	47:9
132:6,8 139:16	136:10,12,23	weinberg	william 11:4
141:22,23	137:21 138:23	94:25,25 95:4	11:18 81:9
144:11,16	145:25	welcome 90:5	williams 11:24
147:2 153:1	wayne 10:22	went 24:21	winddown
wanted 18:3	ways 83:6	43:15,22 44:4	21:24 27:23
32:3 36:14	140:1 143:12	46:24 67:13	28:10,21,24
40:5 41:2	145:9	89:20 109:24	29:2 30:3
58:18,18 62:12	we've 15:18	113:12 142:6	38:25 54:16
73:1,13 77:12	16:17,22 17:14	weren't 93:10	81:16 83:2
78:2 89:4	17:15,21,24	west 5:16	97:15,16 99:10
94:21,24	18:7,12,21,23		99:14,20 147:1

[winddown - zoom]

Page 65

<p>147:2,3 window 120:4 wipeout 41:23 wisely 89:12 wish 47:4 71:19 88:15 124:9 142:18 withdraw 37:9 109:6 147:13 148:14 149:1,3 withdrawal 108:25 111:5 118:12 120:6 148:22 149:2 withdrawals 117:13,14 withdrawing 113:2 withdrew 19:6 36:17 37:8 81:3 withheld 137:17 withhold 81:2 withholding 130:16 witness 25:1 109:5 119:17 witnesses 148:13 wofford 4:15 won 53:12 140:12 wonderful 15:11 91:3 won't 91:24</p>	<p>word 21:14 25:21 words 23:22 30:24,24,24 31:9,12,21,21 31:21,23,23 44:15 55:4 work 35:13 38:3 39:15 42:20 55:1 56:7 59:16 65:13 66:25 67:2,17 69:5 71:20 78:25 80:5,14,20 82:16 87:11 91:1 145:25 worked 16:18 17:15 59:6 83:9 working 34:5 42:19 49:18,20 52:6 79:25 80:8 works 29:12 151:17 world 86:8 103:25 104:2 105:20 worse 20:18 worst 52:16 worth 26:11 103:8 104:11 109:24 122:8 146:19,25 148:5</p>	<p>worthless 25:17 45:24 120:16 wouldn't 96:23 97:1 wound 66:11 66:12 write 24:4 135:13 written 112:25 wrong 24:6 105:13 138:16 wrongdoers 42:22 47:20 wrongdoing 33:19 wrote 23:20 24:6 65:5 153:18</p> <p>x</p> <p>x 1:4,10 117:8</p> <p>y</p> <p>yara 9:6 yeah 65:15 68:9 70:20 73:13 91:6 116:18 124:2 134:21 year 15:13,16 34:3 40:12 53:5 80:24 83:2 88:14 123:8 years 106:6 yeung 11:25</p>	<p>yoona 12:1 york 1:2,14 3:20 4:13,21 5:4,17 89:20 90:9 133:12,12 133:15 134:10 you're 76:16 76:21,22,25 77:1,4 114:22 114:25 you've 114:22</p> <p>z</p> <p>zabib 13:3 zach 11:23 zachary 13:3 zaharis 12:2 zamfir 8:21 zero 22:19,19 44:10,19 45:22 119:19 120:18 121:11 141:9 148:5,6 zomo 12:3 zoom 78:4 100:17 155:14 155:22</p>
---	--	---	---